

SUPREME COURT



Media Release

COPIES:

Copies of the orders may be obtained from the Appellate Records Section,
appeals.docrequests@ojd.state.or.us

Contact:

Coty Hollister, Court Manager
Coty.M.Hollister@ojd.state.or.us

On May 21, 2026, the Supreme Court:

1. Allowed the petition for review in¹:

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, Inc. v. Oregon Health & Science University, 346 Or App 38, 583 P3d 1064 (2026) (precedential opinion) (A180181) (S072651) (from the Multnomah County Circuit Court) (Garrett, J., did not participate in the decision to allow review)

Plaintiff has been granted review of a Court of Appeals decision reversing the trial court's supplemental judgment granting plaintiff attorneys' fees and costs.

On review, the issue is:

Whether the Oregon Public Records Law authorizes a court to award reasonable attorney fees and costs to a public records requester who prevails in a suit against a public body for responding to a request with undue delay.

2. Denied petitions for reconsideration in:

State of Oregon v. Ribas (S071443) (A178917)

State of Oregon v. Clay (S072371)

Kadin v. Highberger (S072523) (A183546)

¹ These summaries of cases are prepared for the benefit of members of the media to assist them in reporting the court's activities to the public. Parties and practitioners should not rely on the summaries, or the statement of issues to be decided in the summaries, as indicating the questions that the Supreme Court will consider. Regarding the questions that the Supreme Court may consider, see Oregon Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.20.

3. Denied petitions for review in:

Anworth, Inc. v. Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission (S072468) (A177206)
Garcia Hernandez v. Farmers Insurance Company of Oregon (S072491) (A185111)
(Bushong and Masih, JJ., concurred in denying review but observed that the petition raised an important legal issue that the court should consider in an appropriate case)
State of Oregon v. Gilmore (S072551) (A180362)
State of Oregon v. Alcon-Ayala (S072588) (A180288)
State of Oregon v. Lopez-Martinez (S072601) (A183225)
Hessel v. Board of Parole and Post-Prison Supervision (S072626) (A184331)
Clardy v. Peters (S072628) (A181661) (DeHoog, Bushong, and James, JJ., not participating)
Templeton v. Miller (S072654) (A184026)
State of Oregon v. Giurculete (S072676) (A179353)
State of Oregon v. Partin (S072683) (A182074) (Bushong, J., not participating)
State of Oregon v. Kilby (S072709) (A183424)
State of Oregon v. Snider (S072711) (A180843) (DeHoog, J., would have allowed)
(Bushong, J., concurred in denying review but observed that the petition raised an important legal issue that the court should consider in an appropriate case)
Palm v. Shanks (S072716) (A188024) (Bushong, J., not participating)
State of Oregon v. Vaninetti (S072717) (A181215)
State of Oregon v. Bock (S072731) (A180670)
State of Oregon v. Jenkins (S072735) (A183639)
Cam v. Pedro (S072740) (A184027)
Besson v. Neal (S072744) (A184778)
Edwards v. Miller (S072746) (A186292)
State of Oregon v. Normandy (S072751) (A181945)
State of Oregon v. Williams (S072752) (A184753)
State of Oregon v. Osborne (S072755) (A181755)
State of Oregon v. Sepelak (S072756) (A182580) (Bushong, J., not participating)
State of Oregon v. Meneses (S072757) (A183760)
State of Oregon v. Pace (S072760) (A184533)
State of Oregon v. Keys (S072778) (A184767)
State of Oregon v. Tolbert (S072783) (A184735)
Kelley v. Board of Parole and Post-Prison Supervision (S072786) (A185078)
Estate of James Ritchie v. Helbig (S072790) (A181405) (Bushong and Masih, JJ., would have allowed)
Molina v. Brown (S072793) (A183547)
State of Oregon v. Quirk (S072852) (A184296)
Department of Human Services v. J. N. (S072858) (A187934)
Department of Human Services v. J. J. (S072859) (A187442)
City of Portland v. Zurita-Cortes (S072861) (A184730)

Ledesma v. Reyes (S072875) (A185075) (Bushong, J., not participating)
Huricks v. Reyes (S072881) (A185040)
Wallace v. Miller (S072883) (A184513)
Fial and Fial (S072887) (A188779) (Bushong, J., not participating)

4. Denied petitions for writs of mandamus in:

State of Oregon v. Kelly (S072725) (CC 109709272)
Engel v. McInroe (S072818) (CC 25DR12750)
Burgus v. General Electric Company (S072853) (CC 25CV49887)
(Garrett and DeHoog, JJ., would have allowed)
State of Oregon v. Denney (S072885) (CC 19CR05997)

Between May 15, 2026, and May 21, 2026, an order was issued by a Presiding Justice taking the following action:

1. Dismissed the petition for a writ of habeas corpus in:

Marks v. O'Donnell (S072785) (CC 25CR62923)