ORAP COMMITTEE 2022 SUMMARY OF MEETING RESULTS May 19, 2022 Meeting

Members Present:	Justice Meagan Flynn (Committee Chair), Judge Jacqueline Kamins, Benjamin Gutman, Ernest Lannet, Tiffany Keast, Julie Smith, William Kabeiseman, Crystal Chase, Debbie Spradley
Members Absent:	Chief Judge Erin Lagesen, Travis Eiva
Nonvoting Members Present:	Theresa Kidd, Daniel Parr, Stephen Armitage, Stacy Harrop
Court Liaison Present:	Lisa Norris-Lampe

Results:

- Proposal # 6 -- ORAP 5.45(6) -- No Combined Brief Sections re: Preservation of Error or Standard of Review
 - Alternative proposals adopted for public comment and consideration by appellate courts.
 - Workgroup proposal -- adopted by split vote:
 - For adoption:
 - Crystal Chase
 - Benjamin Gutman
 - Ernest Lannet
 - William Kabeiseman
 - Tiffany Keast
 - Debbie Spradley
 - Against adoption:
 - Justice Meagan Flynn
 - Judge Jacqueline Kamins
 - Julie Smith
 - Proposal by Judge Aoyagi -- adopted unanimously, as fallback alternative if workgroup proposal fails before the appellate courts.

- Proposals # 10A & 10B -- ORAP 8.45 -- Delete or Amend Duty to Notify Court of Mootness
 - Adopted unanimously <u>[with textual</u> <u>modifications]</u>
- Proposal # 11 -- ORAP 10.15 -- Apply Rule to Juvenile Delinquency Cases and Modify Briefing Schedule
 - Original proposal rejected:
 - For adoption:
 - Ernest Lannet
 - Tiffany Keast
 - Against adoption:
 - Justice Meagan Flynn
 - Judge Jacqueline Kamins
 - Crystal Chase
 - Benjamin Gutman
 - William Kabeiseman
 - Julie Smith
 - Debbie Spradley
 - Alternative workgroup proposal -- adopted unanimously.

[Adopted at Apr 21 Meeting:]

- Proposal # 1 -- ORAP 2.05(1) -- Service on Non-Appearing Parties
- Proposal # 2 -- ORAP 3.05(1) -- Remove Automatic Designation of Record
- Proposal # 9 -- ORAP 7.05(1)(d), Appendix 7.10-3 -- Change Terminology from "Opposing Counsel" to "Opposing Party" [with textual modifications]
- Proposal # 12 -- ORAP 11.35, 11.40 --Revisions re: Reapportionment Review

[Adopted At Feb 17 Meeting:]

- Proposal # 3 -- ORAP 3.30(2) -- Clarify Party Responsible to File Motion to Extend Time to Prepare Transcript
- Proposal # 4 -- ORAP 5.05(3)(d) -- Delete Cover Page Requirement for Date and Month of Brief Filing

- Proposal # 7 -- ORAP 5.95(2) etc. -- Change Service Requirements from Two Copies to One [with textual modifications]
- Proposal # 13 -- ORAP 12.07, Reply Briefs in Certain Expedited Appeals
- Proposal # 14 -- ORAP 12.20 -- Party Designation in Certified Question Cases
- Proposal # 15 -- ORAP 13.10, 14.05 -- Extend Time to Petition for Attorney Fees

Tabled:

- Proposal # 5 -- ORAP 5.40(8)(c) -- Expand De Novo Review in Court of Appeals
- Proposal # 8 -- ORAP 6.05(3), 6.10(4) -- Allow Pro Se Parties to Argue in Court of Appeals

Withdrawn:

 Proposal # 8.5 -- ORAP 6.30 -- Mandate In-Person or Remote Viewing of All Oral Arguments