

**ORAP COMMITTEE 2022**  
**SUMMARY OF MEETING RESULTS**  
*April 21, 2022 Meeting*

*For "Further Action" Items, Please Submit Any Materials  
to Stephen Armitage by Friday, May 6*

**Further Action:**

- Proposal # 6 -- ORAP 5.45(6) -- No Combined Brief Sections re: Preservation of Error or Standard of Review
  - **Passed for May meeting (Judge Kamins to discuss workgroup proposal with original proposer, Judge Aoyagi)**
- Proposals # 10A & 10B -- ORAP 8.45 -- Delete or Amend Duty to Notify Court of Mootness
  - **Referred Back to Workgroup:**
    - **Ben Gutman**
    - **Stacy Harrop (new)**
    - **Commissioner Kidd**
    - **Ernest Lannet**
    - **Lisa Norris-Lampe**
- Proposal # 11 -- ORAP 10.15 -- Apply Rule to Juvenile Delinquency Cases and Modify Briefing Schedule
  - **Referred to Workgroup:**
    - **Ben Gutman**
    - **Ernest Lannet**
    - **Tiffany Keast**
    - **(possible others to be determined by workgroup)**

**Adopted:**

- Proposal # 1 -- ORAP 2.05(1) -- Service on Non-Appearing Parties
- Proposal # 2 -- ORAP 3.05(1) -- Remove Automatic Designation of Record
- Proposal # 9 -- ORAP 7.05(1)(d), Appendix 7.10-3 -- Change Terminology from "Opposing Counsel" to "Opposing Party" **[with textual modifications]**

- Proposal # 12 -- ORAP 11.35, 11.40 --  
Revisions re: Reapportionment Review

**[Adopted At Feb 17 Meeting:]**

- Proposal # 3 -- ORAP 3.30(2) -- Clarify Party Responsible to File Motion to Extend Time to Prepare Transcript
- Proposal # 4 -- ORAP 5.05(3)(d) -- Delete Cover Page Requirement for Date and Month of Brief Filing
- Proposal # 7 -- ORAP 5.95(2) etc. -- Change Service Requirements from Two Copies to One **[with textual modifications]**
- Proposal # 13 -- ORAP 12.07, Reply Briefs in Certain Expedited Appeals
- Proposal # 14 -- ORAP 12.20 -- Party Designation in Certified Question Cases
- Proposal # 15 -- ORAP 13.10, 14.05 -- Extend Time to Petition for Attorney Fees

**Tabled:**

- Proposal # 5 -- ORAP 5.40(8)(c) -- Expand De Novo Review in Court of Appeals
- Proposal # 8 -- ORAP 6.05(3), 6.10(4) -- Allow Pro Se Parties to Argue in Court of Appeals

**Withdrawn:**

- Proposal # 8.5 -- ORAP 6.30 -- Mandate In-Person or Remote Viewing of All Oral Arguments