

UNIFORM TRIAL COURT RULES

Including Amendments

**Effective
August 1, 2016**

**(Including Out-of-Cycle Amendments to
UTCRC 5.100, 19.020, UTCRC Chapter 21 Title,
UTCRC 21.040, 21.060, 21.070, and 21.100)**

This document has no copyright and may be reproduced.

In the Matter of the Adoption of
Amendments to the Uniform Trial
Court Rules

)
)
)
)
)

CHIEF JUSTICE ORDER
No. 16-019

ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE
UNIFORM TRIAL COURT RULES

I HEREBY ORDER, pursuant to ORS 1.002, UTCR 1.030, and UTCR 1.050, the following:

1. The Uniform Trial Court Rules, as amended below, are adopted and are effective August 1, 2016, pursuant to ORS 1.002.
2. All current local rules inconsistent with the Uniform Trial Court Rules as amended will be deemed ineffective on August 1, 2016, pursuant to UTCR 1.030.
3. Local rules that are consistent with the Uniform Trial Court Rules as amended remain in effect and are subject to review as provided under UTCR 1.050.
4. Those local rules that are not amended or repealed and are not disapproved on review under UTCR 1.050 remain in effect until so amended, repealed, or disapproved.

Dated this 17th day of May, 2016.

/s/ Thomas A. Balmer
Thomas A. Balmer
Chief Justice

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF OREGON

In the Matter of the Adoption of
Amendments to Uniform Trial Court
Rule 19.020

)
)
)
)
)

SUPREME COURT ORDER
No. 16-018

ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO
UNIFORM TRIAL COURT RULE 19.020

Pursuant to ORS 33.145, the Oregon Supreme Court has approved amendment of Uniform Trial Court Rule (UTCRC) 19.020, therefore I HEREBY ORDER the following:

1. UTCRC 19.020 is amended, as shown below, effective August 1, 2016.
2. All current local rules inconsistent with UTCRC 19.020, as amended, will be deemed ineffective on August 1, 2016, pursuant to UTCRC 1.030.
3. Local rules that are consistent with UTCRC 19.020, as amended, remain in effect and are subject to review as provided under UTCRC 1.050.

Dated this 17th day of May, 2016.

/s/ Thomas A. Balmer

Thomas A. Balmer
Chief Justice

**AMENDED UNIFORM TRIAL COURT RULES
(Effective August 1, 2016)
AND SUMMARY OF OTHER UTCR COMMITTEE ACTIONS**

I. INTRODUCTION

The amended Uniform Trial Court Rules (UTCR) take effect on August 1, 2016. The amendments are the result of suggestions and comments received from the public, bench, bar, and interested agencies. The proposed amendments were posted on the Oregon Judicial Department website to invite public comment. Additional information on the UTCR can be viewed at: <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/programs/utcr/pages/index.aspx>.

II. FUTURE MEETINGS

The next meeting of the UTCR Committee is scheduled for October 14, 2016, at the Office of the State Court Administrator, Salem, Oregon. The committee will review proposed changes to the UTCR and the Supplementary Local Rules. They will make recommendations to the Chief Justice on those proposals. This is the only meeting in the next UTCR cycle at which the committee intends to accept proposals for UTCR changes that would take effect August 1, 2017. Meeting dates for the following year will be scheduled at this meeting.

III. BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF SPRING 2015 ACTIONS

See Section IV for detailed explanations.

A. APPROVED CHANGES

These changes have been approved by the Chief Justice. They become effective on August 1, 2016.

1. 1.050 – PROMULGATION OF SLR; REVIEW OF SLR; ENFORCEABILITY OF LOCAL PRACTICES
Delete UTCR 1.050(1)(e).
2. 1.110 – DEFINITIONS
Amend to create definition of “document.”
3. 1.120 – DISBURSING MONIES; MOTION AND ORDER
Amend to conform to proposed definition of “document” in UTCR 1.110.
4. 1.140 – REQUESTS FOR EXTENDED RETENTION OF COURT RECORDS
Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.
5. 1.150 – HOURS OF COURT OPERATION
Repeal UTCR 1.150
6. 1.160 – FILING OF DOCUMENTS IN COURTS; LOCAL SLR
Amend to clarify when documents are considered filed.

7. 1.170 – COURT WEBSITES
Require judicial districts to include specified information on website.
8. 2.010 – FORM OF DOCUMENTS
Amend to conform to Oregon eCourt requirements.
9. 2.090 – FILINGS FOR CONSOLIDATED CASES
Amend to modify requirements for filing in consolidated cases.
10. 2.100 – PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING
Amend to conform rule to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.130.
11. 2.100 – PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING
Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.
12. 2.110 – PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN INFORMATION ALREADY EXISTS IN A CASE FILE
Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.
13. 2.120 – AFFIDAVITS
Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.
14. 2.130 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION
Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.
15. 2.130 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION
Amend to require use of Confidential Information Form in additional proceedings.
16. 2.130 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION
Amend to conform to proposed definition of “document” in UTCR 1.110.
17. Form 2.130.1 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM
Amend to conform rule to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.130.
18. Form 2.130.2 – NOTICE RE: FILING OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM
Amend to conform rule to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.130.
19. 3.140 – RESIGNATION OF ATTORNEYS
Amend to include “court contact information,” as defined in UTCR 1.110.
20. 4.090 – ELECTRONIC CITATIONS
Amend to eliminate outdated provision.
21. 4.090 – ELECTRONIC CITATIONS
Amend to conform to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.010.
22. 5.060 – STIPULATED AND *EX PARTE* MATTERS
Amend to allow judicial district to identify stipulated or *ex parte* matter that must be filed conventionally.

23. 6.050 – SUBMISSION OF TRIAL MEMORANDA AND TRIAL EXHIBITS
Amend to eliminate specific, paper-based reference, and to require filed documents to be served on opposing parties.
24. 6.060 – PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS
Amend to eliminate specific, paper-based reference, and to require filed documents to be served on opposing parties.
25. 7.060 – AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) ACCOMMODATION
Amend to remove the word “special” from the rule.
26. 8.010 – ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT
Amend to eliminate obligation of filer to file multiple copies of single document.
27. 8.010 – ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT
Amend to establish earlier filing dates for support declarations.
28. 8.040 – PREJUDGMENT RELIEF UNDER ORS 107.095(1)
Amend to establish earlier filing dates for support declarations.
29. 8.050 – JUDGMENT MODIFICATION PROCEEDINGS
Amend to establish earlier filing dates for support declarations.
30. Form 8.080.2 – NOTICE OF STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER PREVENTING THE DISSIPATION OF ASSETS IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACTIONS BETWEEN UNMARRIED PARENTS
Amend to clarify notice.
31. 8.110 – LIMITED SCOPE REPRESENTATION
Adopt new rule creating filing and service requirements for limited scope representation in domestic relations cases.
32. 9.010 – MAILING PROBATE MATERIALS TO THE COURT
Amend to create an exception to the self-addressed, stamped envelope requirement.
33. 13.210 – FORM AND CONTENT OF AWARD
Amend to modify time within which an arbitrator must send award to parties.
34. 13.220 – FILING OF AN AWARD
Amend to modify time period applicable to filing of arbitration award and to account for attorney fee issues.
35. 19.020 – INITIATING INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS AND MAXIMUM SANCTIONS
Amend to clarify filing requirements in remedial contempt cases.
36. 21.010 – DEFINITIONS
Amend to conform to proposed definition of “document” in UTCR 1.110.
37. 21.020 – APPLICABILITY; LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED
Amend to apply chapter 21 to all circuit courts.

38. 21.020 – APPLICABILITY; LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED
Amend to conform to proposed deletion of UTCR 1.050(1)(e).
39. 21.040 – FORMAT OF DOCUMENTS TO BE FILED ELECTRONICALLY
Amend to include cross-reference to proposed amendment to UTCR 21.070.
40. 21.070 – SPECIAL FILING REQUIREMENTS
Amend to address filing issues.
41. 21.100 – ELECTRONIC SERVICE
Amend to update citation to ORCP and conform to proposed definition of “document” in UTCR 1.110.
42. 21.100 – ELECTRONIC SERVICE
Amend to clarify application of ORCP 10C to electronic service.
43. 21.140 – MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING
Amend to reflect statewide implementation of electronic filing system.

B. OUT-OF-CYCLE CHANGES

These changes to the UTCR became effective after August 1, 2015, and before August 1, 2016. They will be incorporated into the 2016 UTCR.

1. 5.100 – SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS
UTCR 5.100 was amended, out-of-cycle, by Chief Justice Order 15-058.

C. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS OF DISAPPROVAL

1. 1.110 – DEFINITIONS
Amend definition of “Trial Court Administrator” to include court staff to whom duties have been delegated.
2. 1.160 – FILING OF DOCUMENTS IN COURTS; LOCAL SLR
Amend to clarify when documents are considered filed.
3. 2.010 – FORM OF DOCUMENTS
Amend to remove line numbering requirement.
4. 6.200 – PRETRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES
Amend rule to apply to criminal cases.
5. 7.020 – SETTING TRIAL DATE IN CIVIL CASES
Amend to modify time period for setting trial dates when venue changes.
6. 21.010 – DEFINITIONS
Amend to conform to proposed changes to UTCR 1.160.
7. 21.060 – FILES OF THE COURT
Amend to clarify trial court administrator’s role in accepting filings.

8. 21.100 – ELECTRONIC SERVICE
Amend to conform to proposed restructuring of UTCR 21.060.

D. PUBLIC COMMENT ON OTHER ACTIONS

1. 13.120 – COMPENSATION OF ARBITRATOR
Amend to modify manner in which arbitrators are compensated.
2. 13.170 – PREHEARING STATEMENT OF PROOF
Amend to preclude admission of evidence if arbitrator's fees remain unpaid.

E. OTHER

1. 5.100 – SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS
Review proposed amendments addressing the scope of the rule, the contents and placement of the certificate of readiness, service and language choices.
2. UTCR – ALL CHAPTERS
Consider proposal to identify the case types to which UTCR chapters apply.
3. Committee Membership Update
4. Fall Meeting Date

IV. DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF SPRING 2015 ACTIONS

A. APPROVED CHANGES

These changes have been approved by the Chief Justice. They will go into effect on August 1, 2016.

Deletions are shown in [*brackets and italics*]. Additions are shown in {**braces, underline, and bold**}. A proposed revision (in lieu of a simpler amendment) consists of a complete rewriting of a rule or form so there is no use of [*brackets and italics*] or {**braces, underline, and bold**}. The same is true of a new rule or form.

1. **1.050** – PROMULGATION OF SLR; REVIEW OF SLR; ENFORCEABILITY OF LOCAL PRACTICES

PROPOSAL

Delete UTCR 1.050(1)(e).

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal deletes

subsection (1)(e) of the rule. That subsection allows courts to adopt Supplementary Local Rules (SLR) chapter 24 as they implement the Oregon eCourt Program. Rollout of that program is scheduled for completion statewide in June of 2016. The relevant portions of chapter 24 will be added to the UTCR so subsection (1)(e) and SLR chapter 24 will no longer be needed.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

1.050 PROMULGATION OF SLR; REVIEW OF SLR; ENFORCEABILITY OF LOCAL PRACTICES

(1) Promulgation of SLR

- (a) Pursuant to ORS 3.220, a court may make and enforce local rules consistent with and supplementary to these rules for the purpose of giving full effect to these rules and for the prompt and orderly dispatch of the business of the court.

* * * * *

[(e) For the sole purpose of facilitating the Oregon eCourt Program, a court may adopt a Chief Justice-approved, standardized series of SLR to implement the Oregon eCourt Program in that court, even though some of those SLR may conflict with the UTCR in certain respect. Those SLR take precedence over any conflicting UTCR.]

(2) * * *

* * * * *

2. 1.110 – DEFINITIONS

PROPOSAL

Amend to create definition of “document.”

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal adds a definition of “document” that will apply to all of the UTCR. The definition makes clear that a document can be paper or electronic.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

1.110 DEFINITIONS

As used in these rules:

- (1) "Court contact information" means * * *
- (2) "Days" mean * * *
- (3) "Defendant" or "Respondent" means * * *
- (4) {**"Document" means any instrument filed or submitted in any type of proceeding, including any exhibit or attachment referred to in the instrument. Depending on the context, "document" may refer to an instrument in either paper or electronic form.**}
- (~~5~~)[4] "Party" means * * *
- (~~6~~)[5] "Plaintiff" or "Petitioner" means * * *
- (~~7~~)[6] "Trial Court Administrator" means the court administrator, the administrative officer of the records section of the court, and where appropriate, the trial court clerk.

3. 1.120 – DISBURSING MONIES; MOTION AND ORDER

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to proposed definition of "document" in UTCR 1.110.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal amends subsection (2)(b) of the rule so that it is consistent with the proposed change to UTCR 1.110 to add a definition of "document" that will apply to all of the UTCR.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

1.120 DISBURSING MONIES; MOTION AND ORDER

- (1) The trial court administrator will not disburse monies without order of the court in any instance where the trial court administrator is unable to determine any of the following:
 - (a) * * *

* * * * *
- (2) In any instance described under subsection (1), the trial court administrator must give notice to the presiding judge and to any parties the trial court administrator can reasonably determine might have an interest in the monies. The following apply to notice under this subsection:

- (a) Notice must be in writing.
- (b) Notice must include all the following to the extent possible: an indication that it is being given under this section, the amount of the money in question, identification of the source from which the trial court administrator received the money, a copy of any {document}[papers] received with the money, a description of the circumstances of receiving the money, identification of any case to which the trial court administrator can determine the monies may be related, and a description of the reasons for not disbursing monies.

(c) * * *

(3) * * *

* * * * *

4. 1.140 – REQUESTS FOR EXTENDED RETENTION OF COURT RECORDS

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 15, 2015. It amends subsection (3)(a) in an effort to use consistent wording in the UTCR regarding affidavits.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

1.140 REQUESTS FOR EXTENDED RETENTION OF COURT RECORDS

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(2) EVERY REQUEST under this rule must:

- (a) Be in writing, or where available, on the form specified by the court.
- (b) Be submitted to the trial court administrator for the court where the records are maintained.
- (c) Where the records subject to a request relate to a specific case, specify the case number and case title for the applicable case.
- (d) Indicate that the request is being made under this rule.

- (3) In addition to the requirements under subsection (2) of this rule, every request for an AUTOMATIC EXTENSION under this rule must:
 - (a) Be [*notarized*]{**accompanied by an affidavit**}.
 - (b) Specify the records described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule to which the request applies.
 - (c) Be a separate request for each case.
 - (4) In addition to the requirements under subsection (2) of this rule, every request for a JUDICIAL EXTENSION under this rule must:
 - (a) Be accompanied by a supporting affidavit giving the reason for the request.
 - (b) Include a proposed order which provides a specific date to which the extended retention will run.
 - (c) If the request relates to records not described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule, specify the records with sufficient detail for the court clerk to be able to identify the records to be retained. A request does not meet the requirement to specify records with sufficient detail for purposes of this paragraph if a request requires a clerk to perform substantial research to either identify the records or determine whether the records exist.
 - (d) If the request relates to records described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule, specify the records described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule to which the request applies.
 - (5) * * *
- * * * * *

5. 1.150 – HOURS OF COURT OPERATION

PROPOSAL
Repeal UTCR 1.150

ACTION TAKEN
The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION
This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal simplifies the UTCR by moving the provisions of this rule to UTCR 1.170.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

[1.150 HOURS OF COURT OPERATION

Each judicial district must adopt an SLR to announce where the following information can be found: when each court location in the judicial district is open to conduct business; the hours when papers will be received and may be filed at each location, if different from when the court location is open to conduct business; and special arrangements, if any exist or may be made, for filing of documents at times when the court location is not open to conduct business. SLR 1.151 is reserved for SLR adopted under this section.]

6. 1.160 – FILING OF DOCUMENTS IN COURTS; LOCAL SLR PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify when documents are considered filed.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal is meant to clarify which court staff may accept filings, incorporate the concept of efiled documents, and account for efilings by eliminating the reference to "original" documents.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

1.160 FILING OF DOCUMENTS ~~{WITH}~~[/IN] COURTS; LOCAL SLR

- (1) *[Except as provided in subsection (2) of this rule, a] {A} document to be filed with the court{,} [or the clerk of court or the trial court administrator must be filed with the office of the local trial court administrator or designee. No]{including any} document {submitted}[delivered] to a judge{or judicial}[, judge's] staff, [judge's mailbox, courtroom, or chambers] is {not considered} filed until it is {accepted}[received] by {court staff designated by the trial court administrator to accept court filings}[the office of the trial court administrator or designee. For every document to be filed, other than an order or judgment submitted to a judge for signature, the original is to be delivered to the trial court administrator's office].*
- (2) *[Notwithstanding subsection (1) of this rule,]{A} local court[s] may adopt{an} SLR[s] to {designate where a filing may be submitted}[allow filing of documents in places other than required by subsection (1)]. [Such SLRs may allow such filing generally or in specific circumstances as convenient to the court adopting the SLR.] SLR [number]1.161 is reserved for {courts to designate where filings may be submitted}[the purposes of such SLRs].*

{(3) Proposed orders and judgments awaiting judicial signature may be delivered to a judge or judicial staff as otherwise permitted or required under these rules.}

((4)[3]) A judicial district must accept a filing that is substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, if the proper fee is tendered when required and the document is filed in compliance with all applicable statutes and rules.

7. 1.170 – COURT WEBSITES

PROPOSAL

Require judicial districts to include specified information on website.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal simplifies the UTCR by moving the provisions of UTCR 1.150 to this rule.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

1.170 COURT WEBSITES{; **HOURS OF COURT OPERATION**}

{(1)} SLR 1.171 is reserved for {**each**} judicial district[s] to {**identify**}[**announce**] the website addresses of {**its**}[**their**] court[s]. Links to these websites may also be found at the Oregon Judicial Department website:
<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/Pages/index.aspx>.

{(2) Each judicial district must announce on its website the following information: when each court location in the judicial district is open to conduct business; the hours when documents will be received for filing at each location, if different from when the court location is open to conduct business; and special arrangements, if any exist or may be made, for delivery of documents for filing at times when the court location is not open to conduct business, other than by electronic filing.}

8. 2.010 – FORM OF DOCUMENTS

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to Oregon eCourt requirements.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received two comments on the proposal. The first comment suggested that the definition of "document" in this rule should be consistent with

the definition of “document” contained in UTCR 1.110. The committee concluded that the proposal adequately addressed this concern by deleting the definition in UTCR 2.010 and relying exclusively on the definition in UTCR 1.110. The second comment identified a sentence fragment contained in subsection (3)(c) of the rule and suggested that it be deleted. After briefly discussing an alternative approach, the committee recommended approval of the proposal with the errant language deleted.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was originally submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal was modified at the October 16, 2015, meeting. The proposal makes various changes to accommodate the Oregon eCourt Program, efilings, and the move away from a paper-based environment. It incorporates provisions from SLR chapter 24 (use of electronic signatures by judges; motions and orders to be filed separately; prohibition on the use of staples). It removes the definition of “document” in light of the proposal to add a definition to UTCR 1.110. It removes the reference to backing sheets.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.010 FORM OF DOCUMENTS

{Except where a different form is specified by statute or rule, the form of any document, including pleadings and motions, filed in any type of proceeding must be as prescribed in this rule.} *[The form of all documents, including pleadings and motions, except where a different procedure is specified by statute or rule, must be:]*

(1) *[Definitions]*

- (a) “Document,” as used in this rule, means every paper filed in any type of proceeding.
- (b)]”Printed document{,}” **{as used in this rule,}** means **{any}** document[s] wholly or partially printed.

(2) Size of Documents

All documents, except exhibits and wills, must be prepared **{in a manner that, if printed, would be}** *[on]* letter-size (8-1/2 x 11 inches) *[paper]*, except that smaller size **{s}** *[paper]* may be used for bench warrants, commitments, uniform citations and complaints and other documents otherwise designated by the court.

(3) Documents Must be Printed or Typed{; **Binding Documents; Use of Staples Generally Prohibited**}

- {(a)}** All documents must be printed or typed, except that blanks in preprinted forms may be completed in handwriting and notations by the trial court administrator or judge may be made in handwriting.
- {(b) Pleadings and other documents submitted to the court for filing that are not electronically filed must be bound by paperclip or**

binder clip and must not contain staples. If the document includes an attachment, including a documentary exhibit, an affidavit, or a declaration, then the attachment must be bound in one packet to the document being filed by paperclip or binder clip.

(c) A document or document with attachments submitted to chambers must be stapled as one packet or otherwise bound as practical, depending on the size of the document and attachments.

(4) Spacing, Paging and Numbered Lines

- (a) All pleadings, motions and requested instructions must be double-spaced and prepared [*on paper*] with numbered lines.
- (b) All other documents may be single-spaced and the lines need not be numbered.
- (c) On the first page of each pleading or similar document, [*not less than*] two inches [*or more than four inches*] at the top of the page shall be left blank.
- (d) All documents, except exhibits and wills, shall be prepared with [*at least*] a one-inch [*binding*] margin { **on each side**}. [*The binding margin shall be at the edge of each sheet of paper in the document corresponding to the top of the first page printed on the sheet of paper, unless a different location is specified by SLR. All documents containing printing on the back side of a sheet shall be printed in such a manner so that when the page is turned on the binding edge, print on the back side is oriented in the same direction as the print on the front side of the following sheet.*]

(5) Backing Sheets

The use of backing sheets is { **prohibited** } [*discouraged. If used, they must be 8-1/2 x 11 inches, no heavier than 16-pound weight and not folded over at the top*].

(6) { **Party Signatures and Electronic Court s** } {S}ignature { **s** }

{ **(a)** } The name of the party or attorney signing any pleading or motion must be typed or printed immediately below the signature. All signatures must be dated.

{ **(b) The court may issue judicial decisions electronically and may affix a signature by electronic means.**

(i) The trial court administrator must maintain the security and control of the means for affixing electronic signatures.

(ii) Only the judge and the trial court administrator, or the judge's or trial court administrator's designee, may access the means for affixing electronic signatures.

(7) * * *

* * * * *

(12) Orders, Judgments or Writs

- (a) **{Except for electronically filed documents subject to UTCR 21.040(3), t}**[T]he judge’s signature portion of any order, judgment or writ prepared for the court must appear on a page containing at least two lines of the text. Orders, judgments or writs embodying the ruling of a particular judge must have the name of the judge typed, stamped or printed under the signature line.
- (b) If the order, judgment or writ is prepared by a party, the name and identity of the party submitting the order must appear therein, preceded by the words “submitted by.” See the commentary to this subsection, located at the end of this rule.
- (c) **{A m}**[M]otion[s and orders may be submitted as a single document only if the motion is stipulated, subject to ex parte ruling, not contested or otherwise specifically allowed by SLR. Any other motion] must be submitted as a separate document from any proposed form of order deciding the motion. A motion submitted as a single document with an order may not be filed unless the order has been ruled upon and signed by a judge.
- [(d) *When allowed to be submitted as a single document under paragraph (c) of this subsection, motions and orders submitted as a single document must contain a double solid line across the page separating the motion portion of the document from the order portion. The caption of the document must be labeled “Motion xxxxxxxx and Order” in the upper right-hand corner of the document. The full description of the motion must be included in the title. The order portion must be clearly labeled “Order” in the upper left-hand corner of the order portion of the document. A 2-inch by 2-inch space must be provided below the double solid line in the upper right-hand corner of the order portion for the file/date stamp of the order. The order portions must be written as clearly and simply as possible. Where appropriate, the order must consist of only two check boxes as follows: one for allowed, the other for denied. Where such check boxes are used in the order portion, they must be placed above the standard date and signature lines.*]

(13) * * *

* * * * *

9. **2.090 – FILINGS FOR CONSOLIDATED CASES**

PROPOSAL

Amend to modify requirements for filing in consolidated cases.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. The committee modified the original proposal in two respects. First, the committee numbered the first subsection of the rule and renumbered the remaining subsections accordingly. Second, the committee modified the text of the last sentence in the proposal by replacing “singly” with “only.” With these changes included, the proposal was recommended for approval by consensus.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal clarifies the procedures for conventional (paper) filing in consolidated cases and creates exceptions. The proposed change to UTCR 21.070 (see below) addresses electronic filing in consolidated cases.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.090 FILINGS FOR CONSOLIDATED CASES

- {(1)}** Cases that are consolidated are consolidated for purposes of hearing or trial only. **{A party filing any}***{A/}* pleading[s], memorandum~~**{um}**~~*{a}*, ~~**{or}**~~*{and}* other document[s] applicable to more than one ~~**{case}**~~*{file}* **{must file the document}***{will be filed}* in each case ~~**{using}**~~*{under}* existing ~~**{case numbers and}**~~*captions[and case numbers]* unless otherwise ordered by the court **{or provided by SLR}**. *[Unless otherwise ordered by the court, any document applicable to only a single file will be singly filed.]***{If such a document is not electronically filed, the filing party must}***{It is the duty of counsel to}* provide the trial court administrator with sufficient ~~**{copies}**~~*{documents to allow filings consistent with this rule or a court order pursuant to this rule}*.
- {(2) A court order or SLR under this rule may permit designation of a lead case and require that parties file documents using only the case number and caption of the lead case.}**
- {(3) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a party filing a document applicable to only one case must file only in that case.}**

10. 2.100 – PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform rule to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.130.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 22, 2015. The proposal amends subsection (1)(c) to add statutory citations to conform this rule to the changes proposed to UTCR 2.130.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.100 PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING

(1) Purpose

(a) * * *

* * * * *

(c) UTCR 2.130 establishes separate procedures and processes for protecting personal information in proceedings brought under ORS chapters 25, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, and 416{ **or initiated under ORS 24.190, ORS 30.866, ORS 124.010, or ORS 163.763**}.

(2) * * *

* * * * *

11. **2.100** – PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment addressing the proposal. The committee did, however, receive a comment suggesting an update to a statutory citation in light of the 2015 adoption of the updated Uniform Interstate Family Support Act. Specifically, the comment suggested replacing “110.375” with “110.575” in subsection (3)(d)(ii). With this modification, the committee recommended final approval of the proposal by consensus.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 15, 2015. It amends subsection (4)(b) in an effort to use consistent wording in the UTCR regarding affidavits.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.100 PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(3) * * *

(a) * * *

* * * * *

(d) Nothing in this rule affects or applies to procedures for identifying and protecting contact information:

(i) Of crime victims that is submitted to courts for processing restitution payments when restitution is sought and the information about a crime victim is kept confidential under ORS 18.048(2)(b).

(ii) That can be made confidential under ORS 25.020(8)(d), 109.767(5), **{110.575}**[110.375], or 192.445.

(4) Procedure to Follow. A person may only request protected personal information be segregated and protected under this rule when submitting it to a court in a case. The procedures under this rule may be used to identify and separately present protected personal information from any submitted document or form that is used to give information to a court. To do so, a person must do the following:

(a) Place in the document from which the protected personal information is being segregated a written notation to the effect that the information is being separately submitted under UTCR 2.100.

(b) Complete an affidavit in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.100.4a. The affidavit[:]

(i) *Need not be notarized but must be signed by the requestor and contain language that the person knowingly gives the information under an oath or affirmation attesting to the truth of what is stated and subject to sanction by law if the person provides false information to the court.*

(ii) *M}{m}ust describe generally the protected personal information and set out the legal authority for protecting the information.*

(c) Complete an information sheet in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.100.4b to duplicate the protected personal information sought to be segregated. The information sheet must be submitted as a separate document, not as an attachment to the affidavit prepared under UTCR 2.100(4)(b).

(d) File the completed forms and attachments with the court along with, but not attached to, the document from which the protected personal information is segregated.

- (e) For purposes of UTCR 2.080, mail or deliver to parties a copy of the affidavit only, and not the information sheet or any attachments to the information sheet.

(5) * * *

* * * * *

12. 2.110 – PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, PROCEDURE TO SEGREGATE WHEN INFORMATION ALREADY EXISTS IN A CASE FILE

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment addressing the proposal. The committee did, however, receive a comment suggesting an update to a statutory citation in light of the 2015 adoption of the updated Uniform Interstate Family Support Act. Specifically, the comment suggested replacing “110.375” with “110.575” in subsection (3)(c)(ii). With this modification, the committee recommended final approval of the proposal by consensus.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 15, 2015. It amends subsection (4)(a) in an effort to use consistent wording in the UTCR regarding affidavits

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.110 PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, PROCEDURE TO SEGREGATE WHEN INFORMATION ALREADY EXISTS IN A CASE FILE

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(3) * * *

(a) * * *

* * * * *

(c) Nothing in this rule affects or applies to procedures for identifying and protecting contact information:

- (i) Of crime victims that is submitted to courts for processing restitution payments when restitution is sought and the information about a crime victim is kept confidential under ORS 18.048(2)(b).

- (ii) That can be made confidential under ORS 25.020(8)(d), 109.767(5), {110.575}[110.375], or 192.445.
- (4) Procedure to Follow. A person may only request protected personal information be segregated under this rule when the information is already in a document that has become part of a court case file. To do so, a person must do all the following:
 - (a) Complete an affidavit in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.110.4a. The affidavit {must}:
 - [(i)]{(i)} [Must d]{D}escribe generally the protected personal information and set out the legal authority for protecting the information.*
 - [(iii)]{(ii)} [Must s]{S}pecifically identify the case file, document in the case file, and the page number of the page that is sought to be redacted.*
 - [(iv)]{(iii)} [Must b]{B}e accompanied by a copy of that page sought to be redacted showing specifically the protected personal information to be redacted.*
 - (b) Complete an information sheet in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.100.4b to duplicate the protected personal information sought to be segregated. The information sheet must be submitted as a separate document, not as an attachment to the affidavit prepared under UTCR 2.110(4)(a).
 - (c) File the completed forms and attachments with the court.
 - (d) Pay the required fee set by Chief Justice Order.
 - (e) For purposes of UTCR 2.080, mail or deliver to parties a copy of the affidavit only and not the information sheet or any attachments to the information sheet.

(5) * * *

* * * * *

13. 2.120 – AFFIDAVITS

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received a comment suggesting that the rule expressly recognize that a specific UTCR may prohibit the substitution of a declaration under penalty of perjury for an affidavit. With the additional modification to add “or UTCR” to the proposal, the committee recommended final approval of the proposal by consensus.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 15, 2015. It amends the rule in an effort to use consistent wording in the UTCR regarding affidavits.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.120 AFFIDAVITS

Unless otherwise mandated by statute {or UTCR}, *[an affidavit required by the UTCR need not be notarized, but it must be signed by the affiant and must include a sentence, in prominent letters immediately above the signature of the affiant, that is in substantially the same form as the sentence for a declaration under penalty of perjury as specified in ORCP 1 E.]***{a declaration under penalty of perjury, in substantially the same form as specified in ORCP 1E, may be used in lieu of an affidavit required or allowed by these rules.}**

14. 2.130 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify text relating to affidavits.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received two comments. First, the committee received a comment suggesting that the requirement to use a declaration under penalty of perjury be limited to statements described in UTCR 2.130(6) – as opposed to, for example, the statements described in UTCR 2.130(10). Further, the comment suggested that the substantive rule of law follow the specific statements to which it applied and be numbered as subsection (6)(d) rather than (6)(k). Second, the committee received a comment suggesting an update to a statutory citation in light of the 2015 adoption of the updated Uniform Interstate Family Support Act. Specifically, the comment suggested replacing “110.375” with “110.575” in subsection (11)(b)(iii). With these modifications, the committee recommended final approval of the proposal by consensus.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 15, 2015. It amends the rule in an effort to use consistent wording in the UTCR regarding affidavits and to clarify that a declaration under penalty of perjury cannot be used in lieu of an affidavit required by this rule.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.130 FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(6) Access and Confidentiality

- (a) A party may inspect a CIF that was filed by that party.
- (b) A party to a proceeding may inspect a CIF filed by another party:
 - (i) upon filing[*a written, notarized*] **an affidavit of** consent{,} signed and dated by the party whose information is to be inspected{,} that states the dates during which the consent is effective; or
 - (ii) upon entry of an order allowing inspection under UTCR 2.130(10)(a); or
 - (iii) if the CIF sought to be inspected contains only the inspecting party's confidential personal information.
- (c) A person other than a party to the proceeding may inspect a CIF upon filing [*a written, notarized*] **an affidavit of** consent{,} signed and dated by the party whose information is to be inspected[. *The consent must state*] {, **that states**} the dates during which the consent is effective.
- {(d) Notwithstanding UTCR 2.120, a declaration under penalty of perjury may not be used in lieu of an affidavit required by this subsection.}**
- (~~{e}~~)[d]) This rule does not limit a person's legal right to inspect a CIF as otherwise allowed by statute or rule.
- (~~{f}~~)[e]) Oregon Judicial Department personnel may have access to a CIF when required for court business.
- (~~{g}~~)[f]) Courts will share a CIF with the entity primarily responsible for providing support enforcement services under ORS 25.080 or 42 USC 666. A person receiving information under this section must maintain its confidentiality as required by ORS 25.260(2) and 192.502(10).
- (~~{h}~~)[g]) Courts will share a CIF with other government agencies as required or allowed by law for agency business. Those agencies must maintain the confidentiality of the information as required by ORS 192.502(10).
- (~~{i}~~)[h]) Any person inspecting a CIF must not further disclose the confidential personal information except:
 - (i) within the course and scope of the client-lawyer relationship, unless limited or prohibited by court order;

(ii) as authorized by law; or

(iii) as ordered by the court.

(~~(j)~~[l]) An order entered under UTCR 2.130(10)(d) may further limit disclosure of confidential personal information.

(~~(k)~~[j]) Violation of subsection [(h) or (i)](**(i) or (j)**) in this section may subject a person to contempt of court under ORS 33.015 to 33.155.

(7) * * *

* * * * *

(11) Other Court Orders

(a) This rule is not the exclusive means for a court to protect personal information from public inspection.

(b) Nothing in this rule:

(i) Precludes a court from protecting information by appropriate court order.

(ii) Limits procedures for identifying and protecting contact information of crime victims that is submitted to courts for processing restitution payments when restitution is sought and the information about a crime victim is kept confidential under ORS 18.048(2)(b).

(iii) Limits the availability of procedures for protecting information, other than confidential personal information protected by this rule, under ORS 25.020(8)(d), 109.767(5), **{110.575}**[110.375], 192.445, or any other rule or law.

15. 2.130 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION

PROPOSAL

Amend to require use of Confidential Information Form in additional proceedings.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal expands the requirements of the rule to apply to other types of proceedings that are subject to the federal Violence Against Women Act (VAWA). Specifically, the proposal adds citations to proceedings initiated under ORS 24.190, ORS 30.866, ORS 124.010, or ORS 163.763.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.130 [FAMILY LAW]CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION{ IN FAMILY LAW AND CERTAIN PROTECTIVE ORDER PROCEEDINGS }

(1) Definitions. As used in this rule:

- (a) “Confidential personal information” means a party’s or a party’s child’s Social Security number; date of birth; driver license number; former legal names; and employer’s name, address, and telephone number.
- (b) “Confidential Information Form” (CIF) means a document substantially in the form provided in UTCR Form 2.130.1.
- (c) “Inspect” means the ability to review and copy a CIF to the same extent as any other document contained in a court file.
- (d) “Document” has the same meaning as used in UTCR 21.010(2).

(2) Mandatory Use of the CIF

- (a) When confidential personal information is required by statute or rule to be included in any document filed in a proceeding initiated under ORS chapters 25, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, or 416, {or initiated under ORS 24.190, ORS 30.866, ORS 124.010, or ORS 163.763, }the party providing the information:
 - (i) must file the information in a CIF,
 - (ii) must not include the information in any document filed with the court, and
 - (iii) must redact the information from any exhibit or attachment to a document filed with the court, but must not redact the information from a court-certified document required to be filed by statute or rule.
- (b) This rule does not apply to:
 - (i) the information required in a money award under ORS 18.042,
 - (ii) the former legal name of a party pursuant to a name change request under ORS 107.105(1)(h), or
 - (iii) a document filed in an adoption proceeding initiated under ORS 109.309.
- (c) Documents filed in a contempt action filed in a proceeding under ORS chapters 25, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, or 416, {or a proceeding initiated under ORS 24.190, ORS 30.866, ORS 124.010, or ORS 163.763, } are also subject to this rule.

- (d) A party must file a separate CIF for each person about whom the party is required to provide confidential personal information.
- (e) The confidential personal information of a minor child must be included in the CIF of the party providing the information.

(3) * * *

* * * * *

16. 2.130 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to proposed definition of “document” in UTCR 1.110.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 15, 2015. The proposal amends subsection (1) of the rule to remove the definition of “document” in light of the proposal to add a definition of document to UTCR 1.110 that would apply to all UTCR.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

2.130 FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION

(1) Definitions. As used in this rule:

- (a) “Confidential personal information” means a party’s or a party’s child’s Social Security number; date of birth; driver license number; former legal names; and employer’s name, address, and telephone number.
- (b) “Confidential Information Form” (CIF) means a document substantially in the form provided in UTCR Form 2.130.1.
- (c) “Inspect” means the ability to review and copy a CIF to the same extent as any other document contained in a court file.

[(d) “Document” has the same meaning as used in UTCR 21.010(2).]

(2) * * *

* * * * *

17. Form 2.130.1 – FAMILY LAW CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform rule to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.130.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal makes changes to the form so that it is consistent with the proposed changes to UTCR 2.130.

APPROVED AMENDMENT (see next page)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
FOR _____ COUNTY

☐ Petitioner ☐ Co-Petitioner,
{☐} and {☐ v.}

☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner.

☐ Child At Least 18 But Under 21
☐ Other _____

Case No.: _____

{UTC**R 2.130**}[*FAMILY LAW*] **CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION FORM (CIF)**
☐ Amended CIF

**This document is not accessible to the public
or other parties. Exceptions may apply. See
UTC**R 2.130.

**ATTENTION COURT STAFF: THIS IS A RESTRICTED-ACCESS
DOCUMENT.**

The information below is about: ☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner _____

☐ Child at least 18 but under 21: _____

☐ Other: _____

Name (Last, First, Middle): _____

The names of the parties and the children, as well as the children's ages, are NOT confidential.

Former Legal Name(s) (if applicable):
Date of Birth:
Social Security Number:
Driver License (Number and State):
Employer's Name, Address, and Telephone Number:

Children's Names (Last, First, Middle)	Date of Birth	Social Security Number

Please attach an additional sheet if there are more than five children involved in the proceeding.

I hereby declare that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I understand they are made for use as evidence in court and are subject to penalty for perjury.

Date: _____ Signature: _____

Type or Print Name: _____

COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED BY:

☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner _____

☐ Child who is at least 18 and under 21: _____

☐ Other: _____

NOTE TO COURT STAFF: Unless ordered or authorized under UTCR 2.130, this Confidential Information Form is not available to the opposing party or his/her attorney, or to the public; except for the state.

18. Form 2.130.2 – NOTICE RE: FILING OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform rule to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.130.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal makes changes to the form so that it is consistent with the proposed changes to UTCR 2.130.

APPROVED AMENDMENT (see next page)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
FOR _____ COUNTY

_____,
☐ Petitioner ☐ Co-Petitioner,
{☐} and {☐ v.}

_____,
☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner.

☐ Child At Least 18 But Under 21
☐ Other _____

Case No.: _____

NOTICE OF FILING OF
☐ **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM (CIF)**
☐ **AMENDED CIF**

NOTICE: Confidential Information Form Has Been Filed

- Uniform Trial Court Rule (UTCRC) 2.130 requires that parties to domestic relations {**or other specified types of**} cases place certain information about themselves and other parties in a CIF when such information is required in a document filed with the court.
- The CIF is not available for public inspection except as authorized by law.
- Parties are allowed to see a CIF that contains information about them.
- A party who wants to see a CIF that contains information about another party must ask for permission from the court or the other party by following the procedures set out in UTCRC 2.130.

I am the (check one box):

☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner _____
☐ Child at least 18 but under 21: _____
☐ Other: _____

I filed Confidential Information Forms with the court about the following parties to this case (complete a section for each party for whom you have filled out a CIF):

1) Name (Last, First, Middle): _____
☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner ☐ Adult Child ☐ Other: _____

Confidential Personal Information contained in CIF (check all that apply):

☐ party's social security number, ☐ party's date of birth, ☐ children's social security number,
☐ children's date of birth, ☐ employer's name, address, and telephone number, ☐ driver license
number, ☐ former legal name(s).

2) Name (Last, First, Middle): _____
☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner ☐ Adult Child ☐ Other: _____

Confidential Personal Information contained in CIF (check all that apply):

☐ party's social security number, ☐ party's date of birth, ☐ children's social security number,
☐ children's date of birth, ☐ employer's name, address, and telephone number, ☐ driver license
number,
☐ former legal name(s).

3) Name (Last, First, Middle): _____
☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner ☐ Adult Child ☐ Other: _____

Confidential Personal Information contained in CIF (check all that apply):

☐ party's social security number, ☐ party's date of birth, ☐ children's social security number,
☐ children's date of birth, ☐ employer's name, address, and telephone number, ☐ driver license
number,
☐ former legal name(s).

4) Name (Last, First, Middle): _____
☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent ☐ Co-Petitioner ☐ Adult Child ☐ Other: _____

Confidential Personal Information contained in CIF (check all that apply):

☐ party's social security number, ☐ party's date of birth, ☐ children's social security number,
☐ children's date of birth, ☐ employer's name, address, and telephone number, ☐ driver license number,
☐ former legal name(s).

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____

Signature

Print Name

Contact Address

City, State, Zip

Contact Telephone

19. 3.140 – RESIGNATION OF ATTORNEYS

PROPOSAL

Amend to include “court contact information,” as defined in UTCR 1.110.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on October 6, 2015. The proposal utilizes the definition of “court contact information” under UTCR 1.110.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

3.140 RESIGNATION OF ATTORNEYS

- (1) An application to resign, a notice of termination, or a notice of substitution made pursuant to ORS 9.380 must contain the [*name, address and telephone number*] {**court contact information under UTCR 1.110**} of the party and of the new attorney, if one is being substituted, and the date of any scheduled trial or hearing. The attorney’s fax number and email address, if any, must also be included. It must be served on that party and the opposing party’s attorney. If no attorney has appeared for the opposing party, the application must be served on the opposing party. A notice of withdrawal, termination, or substitution of attorney must be promptly filed.
- (2) The attorney who files the initial appearance for a party, or who personally appears for a party at arraignment on an offense, is deemed to be that party’s attorney-of-record, unless at that time the attorney otherwise notifies the court and opposing party(ies) in open court or complies with subsection (1).
- (3) When an attorney is employed or appointed to appear in an already pending case, the attorney must immediately notify the court and the opposing party in writing or in open court. That attorney shall be deemed to be the attorney-of-record unless that attorney otherwise notifies the court.

20. 4.090 – ELECTRONIC CITATION

PROPOSAL

Amend to eliminate outdated provision.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal deletes subsection (12). It is redundant under the Oregon eCourt Program since this is how all disclosable documents, not just electronic citations, will be provided to the public.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

4.090 ELECTRONIC CITATIONS

(1) * * *

* * * * *

[(12) A member of the public may obtain from the circuit court a printed image of an electronic citation in the same manner as for a paper record of the circuit court. Fees applicable to court records apply to requests for images of electronically filed citations.]

21. 4.090 – ELECTRONIC CITATION

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to proposed amendment to UTCR 2.010.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 22, 2015. The proposal removes a subsection, applicable to electronic signatures, that has been included in the proposed changes to UTCR 2.010.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

4.090 ELECTRONIC CITATIONS

(1) * * *

* * * * *

[(9) A circuit court may issue judicial decisions and signatures electronically and may affix a judge's signature by electronic means.

(a) The trial court administrator must maintain the security and control of the methods for affixing electronic judicial signatures.

(b) Those methods must be accessible by only the signer and the trial court administrator or the trial court administrator's designee.

~~(10)~~~~{(9)}~~ Citations that are electronically filed or manually scanned, including those to which additional information, judicial orders, judgments, and judicial signatures have been added, are the original and legal court record.

~~[(11)]~~~~{(10)}~~ SLR 4.091 is reserved for judicial districts to adopt a local rule regarding electronic citations.

~~[(12)]~~~~{(11)}~~ A member of the public may obtain from the circuit court a printed image of an electronic citation in the same manner as for a paper record of the circuit court. Fees applicable to court records apply to requests for images of electronically filed citations.

22. 5.060 – STIPULATED AND *EX PARTE* MATTERS

PROPOSAL

Amend to allow judicial district to identify stipulated or *ex parte* matter that must be filed conventionally.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. During the statewide roll out of the Oregon eCourt Program, implementing courts adopted an SLR chapter 24 to address issues peculiar to eCourt. The roll out is scheduled to be completed in June, 2016. Important provisions of chapter 24, including this one, need to be added to the UTCR once the program is operative statewide. This proposal allows courts to adopt an SLR requiring conventional (paper) filing of certain *ex parte* and stipulated matters and it clarifies the process for conventional (paper) filing.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

5.060 STIPULATED AND *EX PARTE* MATTERS

(1) **{A judicial district may adopt a local rule regarding specific stipulated or *ex parte* matters for which the documents must be presented conventionally as defined in UTCR 21.010 and may not be electronically filed. SLR 2.501 is reserved for judicial districts to adopt a local rule for that purpose.}**

~~(2)~~[1] Any stipulated or *ex parte* matter **{that may be presented conventionally}** may be delivered by mail or messenger to the trial court administrator for distribution to a judge for signature. An *ex parte* default, a stipulated order, or a stipulated judgment **{that may be presented conventionally}** also may be personally presented to a judge by the attorney or the attorney's agent. Other types of *ex parte* matters personally presented to a judge must be presented by the attorney.

~~(3)~~[2] A motion for an *ex parte* order must contain the term “*ex parte*” in the caption and must be accompanied by a proposed order.

~~(4)~~[3] *Ex parte* matters **{that are presented conventionally}** shall be presented anytime during court hours, except as modified by SLR promulgated pursuant to UTCR 1.050[*and except as provided in UTCR 21.080*]. Until such local rules are adopted, stipulated and *ex parte* matters may be personally presented anytime during court hours.

23. 6.050 – SUBMISSION OF TRIAL MEMORANDA AND TRIAL EXHIBITS

PROPOSAL

Amend to eliminate specific, paper-based reference, and to require filed documents to be served on opposing parties.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received a comment suggesting that “clerk of the court” be replaced with “the court” in subsection (3). With that modification, the committee recommended final approval of the proposal by consensus.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. This proposal modernizes the rule and clarifies the procedure for submitting trial memoranda and trial exhibits.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

6.050 SUBMISSION OF TRIAL MEMORANDA AND TRIAL EXHIBITS

(1) **{A party must file any trial memorandum. The court also may require that a party submit a copy of the trial memo, in the manner and time that the court specifies.}***[Trial memoranda, if any, must be filed with the trial court administrator, and copies must be delivered concurrently to the court and to opposing parties.]*

(2) **{All trial memoranda must be served on the opposing party.}***[Trial exhibits must be delivered or submitted as ordered by the assigned judge and not filed with the clerk of court.]*

{(3) Trial exhibits must be delivered or submitted as ordered by the assigned judge and not filed with the court.}

24. 6.060 – PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS

PROPOSAL

Amend to eliminate specific, paper-based reference, and to require filed documents to be served on opposing parties.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comments, but briefly discussed the requirement that litigants submit a copy of jury instructions in the manner and time

specified by the trial judge. One committee member raised a concern involving the potential number of different rules in courts with several judges, and the related absence of a default delivery mechanism in the rule. While one committee member noted the trend towards electronic delivery, others noted a wide variety of preferences among the judges. Another committee member noted that the rule would have the beneficial effect of encouraging communication between the litigants and the court.

No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. This proposal modernizes the rule and clarifies the procedure for submitting proposed jury instructions and verdict forms.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

6.060 PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS

- (1) **{A party must file any requested jury instruction or verdict form. The party must also submit a copy of the jury instructions and verdict forms to the trial judge in the manner and time specified by the judge}***[All requested jury instructions and verdict forms must be in writing and delivered concurrently to the trial judge and to opposing parties].*
- (2) **{All requested jury instructions and verdict forms must be in writing and served on the opposing party}***[The original of the requested jury instructions and verdict forms must be submitted to the court. The court also may require that a party submit a copy of the jury instructions and verdict forms, in the manner and time that the court specifies].*
- (3) Requested instructions may include any Uniform Oregon Jury Instruction by reference only to its instruction number and title: such as "Instruction No. 70.04 - Lookout." If the uniform instruction contains blanks or alternative choices, the appropriate material to complete the instruction must be supplied in the request.
- (4) Requested jury instructions, including references to Uniform Oregon Jury Instructions, must be prepared as follows:
 - (a) * * *
 - * * * * *
 - (c) Except for requested uniform instructions, not more than one proposed instruction must appear on each **{page}***[sheet of paper]*.
 - (d) * * *
 - * * * * *

(5) * * *

* * * * *

25. 7.060 – AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) ACCOMMODATION

PROPOSAL

Amend to remove the word “special” from the rule.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Brenda Wilson, OJD Statewide ADA Coordinator, Executive Services Division. The proposal deletes the word “special” from section (1) because that word is not used in the ADA, it adds nothing to the rule, and it may be interpreted as pejorative.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

7.060 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) ACCOMMODATION

(1) If [*special*] {**an**} accommodation under the ADA is needed for an individual in a court proceeding, the party needing accommodation for the individual must notify the court in the manner required by the court as soon as possible, but no later than four judicial days in advance of the proceeding. For good cause shown, the court may waive the four-day advance notice.

(2) * * *

26. 8.010 – ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT

PROPOSAL

Amend to eliminate obligation of filer to file multiple copies of single document.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal adds a provision that the notice of dissolution is available on the OJD website. It also eliminates the need to file multiple copies of the judgment. The need for that requirement was eliminated with implementation of the Oregon eCourt Program.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

8.010 ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT

- (1) Petitioners, when serving respondents, must attach to the petition a copy of the Notice to Parties of A Marriage Dissolution as required by ORS 107.092. Copies of the notice may be obtained from the trial court administrator's office **{or from the Oregon Judicial Department website}**.

- (2) * * *

* * * * *

- [(8) *Parties who have been requested to submit a proposed judgment must submit to the trial court administrator the following so the court may comply with its obligation to forward copies of these documents to the DCS.*

(a) *The original and one copy of the proposed judgment; and*

(b) *If personal information has been segregated pursuant to UTCR 2.130, one copy each of the most current confidential information form(s) required by UTCR 2.130(2) and (3).]*

- (~~(8)~~[9]) Parties to proceedings under ORS 107.085 or 107.485 must follow UTCR 2.130 to segregate all Social Security numbers from documents the parties submit in the proceedings so the numbers will be protected as required by ORS 107.840.

27. 8.010 – ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT

PROPOSAL

Amend to establish earlier filing dates for support declarations.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received several public comments. One comment characterized the proposed filing deadline as unreasonable and noted that a support declaration filed at that time may no longer be fully accurate by the time a hearing is held. Another comment took the opposite position, noting that support declarations submitted immediately prior to trial were of limited usefulness in settling cases. A third comment suggested a hybrid approach; the support declaration should be submitted 30 days before a hearing or trial addressing support, but in no event later than 45 days after filing. This comment also suggested that the proposed time line be applied in nearly all contexts, rather than having different rules for temporary support, dissolution trials and modifications.

One committee member emphasized the importance of connecting the filing deadline to the beginning of the case, while utilizing a time period that is consistent with the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure (e.g., 30 or 45 days). Several committee members believed that the proposal would encourage the early settlement of cases and would not result in a substantial increase in the filing of updated support declarations. One committee member noted that the proposal

would make it easier to comply with local rules that require a completed worksheet to be filed in advance of the support declaration. Finally, the committee noted that the proposed rule establishes a default statewide rule that only applies in the absence of a controlling local rule.

No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Craig Cowley, Attorney and Committee Member, on October 6, 2015. It changes the time to file a Uniform Support Declaration from at least 14 days before the hearing to within 30 days of service of the petition, absent SLR to the contrary. The new time line is intended to correspond to statutory discovery time frames and to distribute information sooner to prompt earlier settlement. At the meeting on October 16, 2015, the committee discussed eliminating the exception for contrary SLR in order to promote a more uniform practice, but decided against that change. The committee reorganized the proposal to eliminate redundant provisions.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

8.010 ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT

(1) * * *

* * * * *

- (3) In all contested dissolution of marriage, separate maintenance or annulment actions, each party must file with the trial court administrator and serve on the other party a statement listing all marital and other assets and liabilities, the claimed value for each asset and liability and the proposed distribution of the assets and liabilities. In the alternative, the parties may elect to file with the trial court administrator a joint statement containing this information.
- (4) In all proceedings under ORS chapter 107, 108, or 109 wherein child support or spousal support is contested, each party must file with the trial court administrator and serve on the other party a Uniform Support Declaration in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms. A Uniform Support Declaration required by this subsection must be completed as follows:
 - (a) In all such cases, the parties must complete the declaration and required attachments.
 - (b) In all such cases, the parties must also complete the schedules and the attachments required by the schedules if:
 - (i) Spousal support is requested by either party, or
 - (ii) Child support is requested by either party in an amount that deviates from the uniform support guidelines.

- (5) If the Division of Child Support (DCS) of the Department of Justice or a district attorney child support office (DA) either initiates or responds to a proceeding falling under section (4) of this rule, the DCS or DA must be allowed to file and serve, in lieu of the Uniform Support Declaration, an affidavit which sets out the following information:
 - (a) The name of the legal or physical custodian of the child(ren).
 - (b) The name and date of birth of each child for whom support services is being sought.
 - (c) A statement of the amount of public assistance being provided.
 - (d) A statement of the value of food stamp benefits being provided.
 - (e) A statement of whether medical insurance (Medicaid) is being provided.
 - (f) A statement of any other known income of the physical custodian.
 - (g) A statement concerning any special circumstances which might affect the determination of support.
- (6) *[Except as required in UTCR 8.040(3), the documents required to be filed under subsections (3), (4), and (5) above must be filed and served:*
 - (a) *at the time designated in the relevant SLR;*
 - (b) *in}{In} the absence of an SLR to the contrary, **{the documents required to be filed under subsection (3) above must be filed and served}** not less than 14 days before the hearing on the merits unless both parties stipulate otherwise, but in any event before the beginning of trial. **{Subject to the requirements of UTCR 8.040 or UTCR 8.050, when applicable, and in the absence of an SLR to the contrary, the documents required to be filed under subsections (4) and (5) above must be filed and served within 30 days of service of a petition or other pleading that seeks child support or spousal support on other than a temporary basis.}***

(7) * * *

* * * * *

28. 8.040 – PREJUDGMENT RELIEF UNDER ORS 107.095(1)

PROPOSAL

Amend to establish earlier filing dates for support declarations.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received several public comments. One comment characterized the proposed filing deadline as unreasonable and noted that a support declaration filed at that time may no longer be fully accurate by the time a hearing is held. Another comment took the opposite position, noting that support declarations

submitted immediately prior to trial were of limited usefulness in settling cases. A third comment suggested a hybrid approach; the support declaration should be submitted 30 days before a hearing or trial addressing support, but in no event later than 45 days after filing. This comment also suggested that the proposed time line be applied in nearly all contexts, rather than having different rules for temporary support, dissolution trials and modifications.

One committee member emphasized the importance of connecting the filing deadline to the beginning of the case, while utilizing a time period that is consistent with the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure (e.g., 30 or 45 days). Several committee members believed that the proposal would encourage the early settlement of cases and would not result in a substantial increase in the filing of updated support declarations. One committee member noted that the proposal would make it easier to comply with local rules that require a completed worksheet to be filed in advance of the support declaration. Finally, the committee noted that the proposed rule establishes a default statewide rule that only applies in the absence of a controlling local rule.

No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Craig Cowley, Attorney and Committee Member, on October 6, 2015. It changes the time for the opposing party to file a Uniform Support Declaration from at least 7 days before the hearing to within 14 days of service of the motion for temporary support, absent SLR to the contrary. The new time line is intended to more closely match the requirement on the moving party and to distribute information sooner to prompt earlier settlement.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

8.040 PREJUDGMENT RELIEF UNDER ORS 107.095(1)

- (1) An order for relief authorized by ORS 107.095(1) may be granted on motion supported by affidavit setting forth sufficient facts to establish a right to the requested relief.
- (2) Any motion regarding temporary custody of a minor child must be supported by an affidavit which must state the present location of the minor child, the person with whom the child presently resides, the persons with whom and the places where the child has resided for the last 6 months, including the length of time with each person and at each residence, and the reasons why a temporary custody order is sought.
- (3) Any motion regarding temporary support must be accompanied by a Uniform Support Declaration in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms. A Uniform Support Declaration required by this subsection must be completed as provided under subsection (4) of UTCR 8.010.
- (4) *[At least 7 days before the hearing, t{I}]*he opposing party also must serve and file a Uniform Support Declaration on the moving party, when support is

to be an issue. [A]{~~The~~} Uniform Support Declaration required by this subsection must be completed in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms and as provided for completion of the declaration under subsection (4) of UTCR 8.010. **{The Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served at the time designated in the relevant SLR. In the absence of an SLR to the contrary, the Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served within 14 days of service of the motion regarding temporary support.}**

29. 8.050 – JUDGMENT MODIFICATION PROCEEDINGS

PROPOSAL

Amend to establish earlier filing dates for support declarations.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received several public comments. One comment characterized the proposed filing deadline as unreasonable and noted that a support declaration filed at that time may no longer be fully accurate by the time a hearing is held. Another comment took the opposite position, noting that support declarations submitted immediately prior to trial were of limited usefulness in settling cases. A third comment suggested a hybrid approach; the support declaration should be submitted 30 days before a hearing or trial addressing support, but in no event later than 45 days after filing. This comment also suggested that the proposed time line be applied in nearly all contexts, rather than having different rules for temporary support, dissolution trials and modifications.

One committee member emphasized the importance of connecting the filing deadline to the beginning of the case, while utilizing a time period that is consistent with the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure (e.g., 30 or 45 days). Several committee members believed that the proposal would encourage the early settlement of cases and would not result in a substantial increase in the filing of updated support declarations. One committee member noted that the proposal would make it easier to comply with local rules that require a completed worksheet to be filed in advance of the support declaration. Finally, the committee noted that the proposed rule establishes a default statewide rule that only applies in the absence of a controlling local rule.

No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Craig Cowley, Attorney and Committee Member, on October 6, 2015. It changes the time for the opposing party to file a Uniform Support Declaration from at least 7 days before the hearing to within 30 days of service of the order to show cause, absent SLR to the contrary. The new time line is intended to more closely match the requirement on the moving party and to distribute information sooner to prompt earlier settlement.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

8.050 JUDGMENT MODIFICATION PROCEEDINGS

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(3) *[At least 7 days before the hearing, t{I}he opposing party also must serve and file a Uniform Support Declaration on the moving party, when support is to be an issue. The Uniform Support Declaration must be completed in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms and as provided {for completion of the declaration }under subsection (4) of UTCR 8.010. {The Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served at the time designated in the relevant SLR. In the absence of an SLR to the contrary, the Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served within 30 days of service of the order to show cause.}*

(4) * * *

* * * * *

**30. Form 8.080.2 – NOTICE OF STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER
PREVENTING THE DISSIPATION OF ASSETS IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS
ACTIONS BETWEEN UNMARRIED PARENTS**

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify notice.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal updates the form to eliminate confusing wording and to make it consistent with the companion form, Form 8.010.1.

APPROVED AMENDMENT (see next page)

[Attach to Summons per ORS 109.103(5)]

**NOTICE OF STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER
PREVENTING THE DISSIPATION OF ASSETS
IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACTIONS BETWEEN UNMARRIED PARENTS**

REVIEW THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY. BOTH PARTIES MUST OBEY EACH PROVISION OF THIS ORDER TO AVOID VIOLATING THE LAW.
{YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO A HEARING. }SEE INFORMATION
{BELOW}{ON YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING BELOW}.

TO THE PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT:

Under ORS 109.103(5) and UTCR 8.080, neither Petitioner nor Respondent may:

Insurance Policies

(1) Cancel, modify, terminate, or allow to lapse for nonpayment of premiums, any policy of health insurance that one party maintains to provide coverage for the other party or a minor child of the parties, or any life insurance policy that names either of the parties or a minor child of the parties as a beneficiary.

Insurance Beneficiaries

(2) Change beneficiaries or covered parties under any policy of health insurance that one party maintains to provide coverage for a minor child of the parties, or any life insurance policy.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

The above provisions are in effect immediately upon service of the *Petition* and *Summons* on the respondent. They remain in effect until a final judgment is issued, until the petition is dismissed, or until further order of the court.

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either Petitioner or Respondent may request a hearing to modify or revoke one or more terms of this restraining order by filing with the court the *Request for Hearing re: Statutory Restraining Order* form specified in Form 8.080.3 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.

31. 8.110 – LIMITED SCOPE REPRESENTATION

PROPOSAL

Adopt new rule creating filing and service requirements for limited scope representation in domestic relations cases.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Samantha Benton, Family Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. It is based on a suggestion from the State Family Law Advisory Board Committee. At the meeting on October 16, 2015, the committee discussed the following:

- this rule applies only to court appearances in family law cases (not preparation of documents and forms)
- good communication between the attorneys will alleviate improper contact issues
- termination of the representation can occur before judgment; and a limited scope written agreement may be a best practice.

The committee changed the structure of the rule for clarity, recommended placing the associated forms on the Oregon Judicial Department website instead of in the UTCR appendix, and suggested a grammatical change to the Notice of Limited Scope Representation form.

APPROVED RULE

8.110 LIMITED SCOPE REPRESENTATION

(1) Applicability

This rule applies to limited scope representation in domestic relations cases when an attorney intends to appear in court on behalf of a party.

(2) Notice of Limited Scope Representation

When an attorney intends to appear in court on behalf of a party, the attorney shall file and serve, as soon as practicable, a Notice of Limited Scope Representation in substantially the form as set out on the Oregon Judicial Department website (<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/pages/index.aspx>).

(3) Termination of Limited Scope Representation

When the attorney has completed all services within the scope of the Notice of Limited Scope Representation, the attorney shall file and serve a Notice of Termination of Limited Scope Representation in substantially the form as set out on the Oregon Judicial Department website

(<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/pages/index.aspx>), in accordance with UTCR 3.140.

(4) Service of Documents

After an attorney files a Notice of Limited Scope Representation in accordance with this section, service of all documents shall be made upon the attorney and the party represented on a limited scope basis. The service requirement terminates as to the attorney when a Notice of Termination of Limited Scope Representation is filed and served, or when an attorney withdraws.

32. 9.010 – MAILING PROBATE MATERIALS TO THE COURT

PROPOSAL

Amend to create an exception to the self-addressed, stamped envelope requirement.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The rule requires submission of a self-addressed, stamped envelope. The proposal makes an exception to that requirement for electronically filed documents.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

9.010 MAILING PROBATE MATERIALS TO THE COURT

{Except for a document that is electronically filed, any p}[P]etition[s], motion[s], order[s] **{or}**[and] judgment[s] not requiring a court appearance may be mailed to the trial court administrator, with **{a}** self-addressed stamped envelope[s] or postcard[s] for response[s].

33. 13.210 – FORM AND CONTENT OF AWARD

PROPOSAL

Amend to modify time within which an arbitrator must send award to parties.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jeffrey L. Pugh, Attorney, on May 26, 2015. He explained that the time requirements in UTCR 13.210 and 13.220 are too short and unworkable for arbitrators. At the meeting on October 16, 2015, the committee discussed:

- the unfairness of the timelines to the arbitrators
- the lack of compliance with the rule
- the amount of time needed after an award is issued to deal with requests for attorney fees and costs
- the impact of ORCP 68
- inconsistent wording in the rules
- the appropriate overall time to file an award and a subsequent award of attorney fees and costs to complete an arbitration.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

13.210 FORM AND CONTENT OF AWARD

(1) * * *

* * * * *

- (5) Within [7] **{28}** days after the conclusion of the arbitration hearing, the arbitrator shall send the award to the parties without filing with the court and shall establish procedures for determining attorney fees and costs.
- (6) In dissolution cases, the arbitrator shall send the award to the parties within [7] **{28}** days after the conclusion of the arbitration hearing and shall direct a party to prepare and submit a form of judgment. The arbitrator, upon request of any party, shall give the parties an opportunity to be heard on the form of judgment. The arbitrator shall then approve a form of judgment and file the award, along with the approved form of judgment, per UTCR 13.220.

34. 13.220 – FILING OF AN AWARD

PROPOSAL

Amend to modify time period applicable to filing of arbitration award and to account for attorney fee issues.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jeffrey L. Pugh, Attorney, on May 26, 2015. He explained that the time requirements in UTCR 13.210 and 13.220 are too short and unworkable for arbitrators. At the meeting on October 16, 2015, the committee discussed:

- the unfairness of the timelines to the arbitrators
- the lack of compliance with the rule

- the amount of time needed after an award is issued to deal with requests for attorney fees and costs
- the impact of ORCP 68
- inconsistent wording in the rules
- the appropriate overall time to file an award and a subsequent award of attorney fees and costs to complete an arbitration.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

13.220 FILING OF AN AWARD

- (1) {In all cases, t}[7]he arbitrator shall file the award with the trial court administrator, together with proof of service of a copy of the award[,] upon each party{, } within {42 days}[the following times] after the {conclusion}[completion] of the arbitration hearing[:

(a) *In dissolution cases within 21 days.*

(b) *In all other cases within 14 days].*

- (2) * * *

* * * * *

35. 19.020 – INITIATING INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS AND MAXIMUM SANCTIONS

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify filing requirements in remedial contempt cases.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal clarifies the procedures a party must follow when seeking remedial contempt in an existing case. Due to current limitations in the eFiling system, a party must file the motion conventionally (paper filing, see UTCR 21.070(3)(d)) and the court must create a new case. The system relates the new case to the existing case. For subsequent filings in the contempt matter, the proposal requires parties to include the case number of the contempt proceeding and the case number of the existing, related case. Pursuant to ORS 33.145, the Oregon Supreme Court must approve changes to this rule.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

19.020 INITIATING INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS AND MAXIMUM SANCTIONS

(1) * * *

- (2) If a party is initiating a contempt proceeding under ORS 33.055 (remedial) and a related circuit court case exists, the party must initiate the contempt proceeding by filing a motion in the related case.

{(a) For purposes of the court's electronic case management system, the trial court administrator will treat the contempt proceeding as a separate case, but the motion and filings pertaining to the motion are deemed to have been filed in the related case within the meaning of ORS 33.055.}

{(b) Any subsequent filing by any party in the contempt proceeding must include both case numbers, with the contempt proceeding case number appearing first.}

- (3) An initiating instrument in a contempt proceeding under ORS 33.055 (remedial) that initiates a new circuit court case must state, in the first paragraph:

- (a) if arising from a justice court or municipal court proceeding, the court name, the case name and number, and a description of the nature of that proceeding;
- (b) if arising from an agency proceeding other than a child support proceeding, the agency name, the agency case name and number, and a description of the nature of that proceeding; or
- (c) if arising from an agency proceeding that is a juvenile proceeding, the information required in paragraph (b) of this section as to any applicable agency or department, and any applicable juvenile department petition number.

(4) * * *

* * * * *

36. 21.010 – DEFINITIONS

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to proposed definition of “document” in UTCR 1.110.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal removes the definition of “document” from this rule. It is no longer necessary in light of the proposal to add a definition of document to UTCR 1.110, which will apply to the entire UTCR.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.010 DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply to this chapter:

- (1) “Conventional filing” means a process whereby a filer files a paper document with the court.

[(2) “Document” means a pleading, a paper, a motion, a declaration, an application, a request, a brief, a memorandum, an exhibit, or other instrument submitted by a filer, including any exhibit or attachment referred to in the instrument. Depending on the context, as used in this chapter, “document” may refer to an instrument in either paper or electronic form.]

[(2)[3)] “Electronic filing” means * * *

[(3)[4)] “Electronic filing system” means * * *

[(4)[5)] “Electronic service” means * * *

[(5)[6)] “Filer” means * * *

[(6)[7)] “Service contact” means * * *

[(7)[8)] “Other service contact” means * * *

37. 21.020 – APPLICABILITY; LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED

PROPOSAL

Amend to apply chapter 21 to all circuit courts.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal removes subsection (1) from the rule. Subsection (1) was originally intended to make chapter 21 applicable to only those courts that had implemented the Oregon eCourt Program. Soon all circuit courts will have implemented the program so this subsection is no longer necessary.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.020 [APPLICABILITY;]LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED

- [(1) *As authorized by ORS 1.002, this chapter applies to those circuit courts that have approval from the State Court Administrator to accept filings electronically for designated case types and filers. The Oregon Judicial Department's website lists the circuit courts approved to accept filing electronically for designated case types and filers*
[\(<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/Pages/index.aspx>\).](http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/Pages/index.aspx)
- (2)]No circuit court may make or enforce any local rule, other than those local rules authorized by UTCR 1.050(1)(e) or {**UTCR**} 4.090, governing the electronic filing and electronic service of documents.

38. 21.020 – APPLICABILITY; LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to proposed deletion of UTCR 1.050(1)(e).

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Joshua Nasbe, Civil Law Staff Counsel, Oregon Judicial Department, on September 15, 2015. The proposal removes the reference to UTCR 1.050(1)(e) from UTCR 21.020(2) to conform to the proposed deletion of that paragraph.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.020 APPLICABILITY; LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED

- (1) * * *
- (2) No circuit court may make or enforce any local rule, other than those local rules authorized by UTCR [1.050(1)(e) or]4.090, governing the electronic filing and electronic service of documents.

39. 21.040 – FORMAT OF DOCUMENTS TO BE FILED ELECTRONICALLY

PROPOSAL

Amend to include cross-reference to proposed amendment to UTCR 21.070.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received a public comment suggesting that certificates of service be included among the examples of documents subject to the "unified single PDF" rule. The committee did not disagree with the conclusion that a certificate of service should be included in a PDF with the document to which it relates. The

committee was informed that Oregon Judicial Department staff intend to propose modifications to the rule in the near future and that this suggestion would be included for consideration at that time.

No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal clarifies the procedure for efilings confidential attachments in filings that aren't otherwise confidential and it adds a cross-reference to UTCR 21.070(6).

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.040 FORMAT OF DOCUMENTS TO BE FILED ELECTRONICALLY

(1) * * *

(2) Except as provided in subsections (a) ~~{or}~~*[through]* (~~{b}~~*[c]*) of this section, when a document to be electronically filed includes one or more attachments, including but not limited to a documentary exhibit, an affidavit, or a declaration, the electronic filing must be submitted as a unified single PDF file, rather than as separate electronically filed documents, to the extent practicable. An electronic filing submitted under this section that exceeds 25 megabytes must comply with section (1) of this rule.

(a) If an electronic filing consists of a motion or similar document and a corresponding proposed order, judgment, or any other document that requires court signature, the filer must submit the document requiring court signature through the electronic filing system as a separate electronically filed document from the motion. A filer submitting separate documents under this subsection must include in the Filing Comments field for each submission a description that clearly identifies the filing, for example, "Motion for Summary Judgment" and "Proposed Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment."

(b) If an electronic filing is not confidential but includes an attachment that is confidential or otherwise exempt from disclosure, the filer must submit the attachment through the electronic filing system as a separate electronically filed document. **{Unless UTCR 21.070(6) applies, a}***[A]* filer submitting a confidential document under this subsection must **{designate the document as}***[select the]* confidential **{in the eFiling system}***[checkbox after attaching the confidential document]*. A filer submitting separate documents under this subsection must include in the Filing Comments field for each submission a description that clearly identifies the filing, for example, "Motion for Stay" and "Confidential Attachment to Motion for Stay."

(c) The reference in section (2) to an affidavit and a declaration applies to only an affidavit or a declaration that is an attachment to another document.

(3) * * *

* * * * *

40. 21.070 – SPECIAL FILING REQUIREMENTS

PROPOSAL

Amend to address filing issues.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal includes a variety of changes to the rule:

- subsection (1) is updated to reflect that the Oregon eCourt Program will soon be implemented in all of the circuit courts
- subsection (2) is updated to account for mandatory efilers
- subsection (3)(n) adds an undertaking with a deposit as security to the list of items that must be conventionally (paper) filed
- subsections (3)(o) and (p) add certain exhibits that were previously mentioned in section (4)
- subsection (4) is amended to require a party in a consolidated case to file documents separately in each of the cases that have been consolidated
- new subsection (6) establishes procedures for filing documents in confidential cases.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.070 SPECIAL FILING REQUIREMENTS

(1) Courtesy Copies and Other Copies

(a) * * *

* * * * *

- (c) **{If the petitioner i}**[/I]n a post-conviction relief proceeding filed under ORS 138.510 [as limited by paragraphs (i) and (ii) of this subsection, if the petitioner] intends to rely on the contents of the underlying circuit court criminal case file to support the allegations in the petition filed under ORS 138.580, then the petitioner must so state in the petition. If the petitioner intends to rely on some, but not all, of the contents of the underlying case file, then the petitioner must identify with reasonable specificity the materials on which the petitioner intends to rely. The petitioner need not attach to the petition, as part of evidence

supporting the allegations, any document from the underlying case file.
[This subsection applies only if:

(i) Both the post-conviction court and the circuit court on the underlying criminal case are using the Oregon eCourt Case Information system; and]

((i)[ii]) **{This subsection applies only if }**[7]{t}he underlying criminal case was filed on or after the date that the circuit court **{in which the conviction was entered }** began using the Oregon eCourt Case Information system.

{(ii)} The date that each [Oregon eCourt Case Information]circuit court began using **{the Oregon eCourt Case Information }**[that] system is available at
<http://courts.oregon.gov/Oregonecourt/pages/Implementation-Schedule.aspx>.

(2) Court Order Requiring Electronic Filing and Electronic Service

Except for any document that requires service under ORCP 7 or that requires personal service, the court may, on the motion of any party or on its own motion, order **{any party not already otherwise so required}**[all parties] to file **{or}**[and] serve all documents electronically, after finding that such an order would not cause undue hardship or significant prejudice to any party.

(3) Documents that Must be Filed Conventionally

The following documents must be filed conventionally:

(a) * * *

* * * * *

{(n) An undertaking that is accompanied by a deposit as security for the undertaking.

{(o) A demonstrative or oversized exhibit.

{(p) Trial exhibits, which must be submitted or delivered as provided in UTCR 6.050.}

(4) **{Consolidated Cases}**[Limits on Exhibits]

{Unless provided otherwise by court order or SLR adopted under UTCR 2.090, a party electronically filing a document that is applicable to more than one case file must electronically file the document in each case using existing case numbers and captions.}

[(a) A demonstrative or oversized exhibit must be filed conventionally.

(b) Trial exhibits may not be filed electronically and must be submitted or delivered as provided in UTCR 6.050(2).]

(5) Expedited Filings

* * * * *

{(6) Filings in Confidential Cases

(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, if a case is confidential by statute, a filer submitting a document for filing in the case through the eFiling system must not designate the document as confidential, because the case itself is designated as confidential.

(b) Notwithstanding subsection (a) of this section, if a particular document type is deemed confidential by statute within a case type deemed confidential by statute, a filer must designate the document as confidential when submitting the document.

(c) Subsection (b) of this section applies to a statement and all exhibits required under ORS 109.317 in an adoption proceeding. The statement must be filed as a single PDF file that includes only the statement and all exhibits required under ORS 109.317.

41. 21.100 – ELECTRONIC SERVICE

PROPOSAL

Amend to update citation to ORCP and conform to proposed definition of “document” in UTCR 1.110.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee’s final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The rule includes citations to UTCR 21.010 and ORCP 10 that need to be updated.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.100 ELECTRONIC SERVICE

(1) * * *

(2) Contact Information

(a) * * *

(b) A filer described in subsection (1)(a) of this rule may enter in the electronic filing system, as an other service contact in the action:

(i) an alternative email address for the filer; and

- (ii) the name and email address of any additional person whom the filer wishes to receive electronic notification of documents electronically served in the action, as defined in UTCR 21.010({Z}[8]). If a lawyer enters a client's name and contact information as an other service contact under this subsection, then the lawyer is deemed to have consented for purposes of Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2 to delivery to the client of documents electronically served by other filers in the action.

(c) * * *

- (d) A filer may seek court approval to remove a person entered by another filer as an other service contact in an action if the person does not qualify as an other service contact under UTCR 21.010({Z}[8]).

(3) * * *

* * * * *

(6) Applicability of ORCP 10 {B}[C]

Electronic service performed in accordance with this chapter is equivalent to service by mail as provided in ORCP 10 {B}[C].

(7) * * *

* * * * *

42. 21.100 – ELECTRONIC SERVICE

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify application of ORCP 10 C to electronic service.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received two public comments that were not directly responsive to the instant proposal. First, Gary Hill, Docketing Supervisor at Lane Powell PC submitted a comment in support of a requirement to make eService mandatory. Mr. Hill described a fact pattern involving multiple parties and cases, with multiple modes of service and corresponding deadlines for responding. Mr. Hill suggested that mandatory eService would eliminate this unnecessary complexity. Second, John Bachofner, Attorney at Jordan Ramis PC, suggested requiring parties who electronically file to simultaneously serve other parties by email or otherwise.

The committee briefly discussed these comments, noting that historically the UTCR has governed the relationship between the parties and the court, rather than the relationship between the parties. Additionally, it was noted that education may alleviate some of the concern; it may be that many practitioners believe that service occurs automatically upon electronic filing, rather than requiring an additional, affirmative step. Finally, the committee noted that the commenters were welcome to submit a more detailed proposal in advance of the Fall Meeting, consistent with the committee's historical practice of considering new proposals at that time.

No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by The Honorable Thomas Ryan, Multnomah County Circuit Court and committee member, on March 18, 2013. ORCP 10 B was amended to address electronic service so UTCR 21.100(6) is no longer necessary and should be deleted.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.100 ELECTRONIC SERVICE

(1) * * *

* * * * *

[(6) *Applicability of ORCP 10 C*

Electronic service performed in accordance with this chapter is equivalent to service by mail as provided in ORCP 10 C.]

(~~(6)~~[7]) Proof of Electronic Service

A filer must attach at the end of any document submitted electronically a list of names of all parties requiring conventional paper service, followed by a clearly identified list of the names of all parties requiring service that will be served electronically by the electronic filing system.

(~~(7)~~[8]) Service Other than by Electronic Means

The filing party is responsible for accomplishing service in any manner permitted by the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure and for filing a proof of service with the court for the following documents:

- (a) a document required to be filed conventionally under this chapter;
- (b) a document that cannot be served electronically on a party who appeared in the action; and
- (c) a document subject to a protective order.

43. 21.140 – MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING

PROPOSAL

Amend to reflect statewide implementation of electronic filing system.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of approval. Therefore, by committee

convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of approval became the committee's final recommendation of approval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Lisa Norris-Lampe, on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 31, 2015. The proposal updates the rule to reflect that all circuit courts will soon have implemented the Oregon eCourt Program and to make clear that the rule applies to members of the Oregon State Bar.

APPROVED AMENDMENT

21.140 MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING

- (1) An active member of the Oregon State Bar must file a document using the electronic filing system, instead of using conventional filing, ~~{unless:}~~*[if the document is not required to be conventionally filed under UTCR 21.070(3) and if it]*
 - (a) **{The document is required to be conventionally filed under UTCR 21.070(3)}***[is filed in any of the following circuit courts: Benton, Clatsop, Columbia, Crook, Jackson, Jefferson, Linn, Multnomah, Polk, Tillamook, or Yamhill]; or*
 - (b) **{The filer has obtained a waiver under subsection (2) of this rule}***[is filed in a circuit court not listed in subsection (a) of this section on or after the mandatory electronic filing date applicable to that court, as set out in section (2) of this rule].*
- [(2) For purposes of subsection (1)(b), the "mandatory electronic filing date" of a circuit court is 30 business days after the date on which the court began using the electronic filing system. The mandatory electronic filing date is available, once the court begins using the electronic filing system, at: <http://courts.oregon.gov/Oregonecourt/pages/oregoneCourtMap.aspx>.]*
- ~~((2)[3])~~ **{An active member of the Oregon State Bar}***[A person]* may seek a waiver of the requirement in section (1) of this rule as follows:
 - (a) The **{Bar member}***[person]* must file one of the following:
 - (i) A petition for waiver in all cases in a specific judicial district for a specific period of time.
 - (ii) A motion in an existing case for waiver in that specific case.
 - (b) A petition or motion must include an explanation describing good cause for the waiver.
 - (c) A separate petition for waiver *[under subsection (a)(i) of this section]* must be filed in each judicial district in which the person desires a waiver.
 - (d) If the court grants a petition **{for waiver}***[filed under subsection (a)(i) of this section]*, the **{Bar member}***[person]* obtaining the waiver must

- (i) File a copy of the court's order in each case subject to the waiver; and
 - (ii) Include the words "Exempt from eFiling per Waiver Granted [DATE]" in the caption of all documents conventionally filed during the duration of the waiver.
- (e) If the court grants a motion **{for waiver}***[filed under subsection (a)(ii) of this section]*, the **{Bar member}***[person]* obtaining the waiver must include the words "Exempt from eFiling per Waiver Granted [DATE]" in the caption of all documents conventionally filed in the case.
- (3)[4]** If the electronic filing system is continuously unavailable for a period of more than 24 hours, an active member of the Oregon State Bar may file documents using conventional filing until the end of the first full business day after the day on which the electronic filing system becomes available.
- (4)[5]** If a filer submits a document for conventional filing in contravention of section (1) of this rule and the filer has not obtained a waiver pursuant to section **(2)[3]** of this rule nor is the electronic system unavailable as described in section **(3)[4]** of this rule, then court staff may, to the extent allowed by policy adopted by the presiding judge, take any of the following actions:
- (a) Direct the filer to the court's kiosk to complete the filing electronically.
 - (b) Refuse to accept the document for filing.
 - (c) Return the document to the filer as unfiled.
 - (d) Refer the filing to a judge for consideration of sanctions under UTCR 1.090.

B. OUT-OF-CYCLE CHANGES

These changes to the UTCR became effective after August 1, 2015, and before August 1, 2016. They will be incorporated into the 2016 UTCR.

1. 5.100 – SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS

EXPLANATION

The rule was amended by CJO 15-058, signed October 26, 2015, effective January 1, 2016. Additional amendments are under consideration. Please see Item E.1. below. This proposal initially was submitted on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law & Policy Work Group by Lisa Norris-Lampe, Chair, on March 13, 2015, and previously circulated for public comment in March 2015. The proposal was updated in response to public comment, and this explanation also has been updated.

The proposal was in response to concerns expressed by circuit court judges who sign proposed orders and judgments in the Oregon eCourt system. The revision is intended to address several procedural issues that have arisen as the circuit courts transition to the Oregon eCourt system and that otherwise persist with

proposed orders and judgments, namely, (1) the need for efficiency in judicial determination, within the Oregon eCourt system, as to whether a proposed order or judgment indeed is ready for judicial signature; (2) the need to provide a sufficient and uniform time period to object to a proposed order or judgment, regardless of whether a party is represented; (3) the need to clarify objection instructions and timelines for the opposing party; and (4) the need to ensure that the parties first work to resolve any objection before submitting a disputed proposed order or judgment to the court.

The revision to UTCR 5.100 breaks the current rule into three parts: service, objection, and submission. Set out below is a description of the key changes to each part, including changes made since the previous circulation for public comment.

As amended, the service component (subsection (1)) requires service on the opposing party, and an opportunity for objection, as to any proposed order or judgment unless an exception applies. The rule is no longer limited to only those proposed orders and judgments submitted “in response to a ruling of the court.” The purpose of the updated wording is to ensure that the opposing party has a reasonable opportunity to object.

The service component also sets out specific notice requirements and lengthens the time between service and submission, to 10 days from 3 days (current, if opposing party represented) or from 7 days (current, if opposing party not represented). The previous circulation provided for a 14-day period before submission of the proposed order or judgment to the court, which -- in response to comments received and as just noted -- has been shortened to 10 days. Also in response to public comment received, the notice requirement specifies that an opposing party has 7 days to object, with an additional 3 days for mailing, consistently with ORCP 10 C. Additionally, the service component retains the exceptions-to-service provisions currently set out in 5.100(1)(d) and (3), and adds additional exceptions.

The objection component (subsection (2)) is new and is intended to clarify the objection process for a proposed order or judgment that falls within the scope of subsection (1). The objection component requires service of a written, dated, and signed objection within 7 days of the date that the proposed order or judgment was sent to the opposing party. The 7-day period was shortened from 14 days in response to public comment. Also in response to public comment, the objection component now clarifies that the drafting party may submit the opposing party’s objection to the court or the opposing party may file an objection by an identified date.

The submission component (subsection (3)) retains the current certificate of service requirement and also clarifies that a proposed order or judgment subject to subsection (1) may be submitted sooner than the 7-day period for objection, if the opposing party has stipulated to or approved the order or judgment, or the opposing party has objected and the objections are resolved or ready for resolution. Most notably, the submission component requires, for any proposed order or judgment submitted to the court, that a “certificate of readiness” be included, certifying that the proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial signature or that objections are ready for resolution, and also stating the “readiness” reason. Currently, in the Oregon eCourt system, a judge must access multiple electronic files and records to ensure that a proposed order or judgment

is ready for signature; the “certificate of readiness” requirement will serve to more efficiently assure the court that the document is ready for signature, which in turn will lessen the potential for disputes, benefitting both the parties and the courts.

ADOPTED AMENDMENT

5.100 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS

- (1) **{~~Except as provided in subsection (3) of this rule, a~~[A]ny proposed judgment or proposed order submitted {to} [in response to a ruling of] the court must be:**
- (a) **{S}[s]erved on opposing counsel not less than 3 days prior to submission to the court, or**
 - (b) **{A}[a]ccompanied by a stipulation by opposing counsel that no objection exists as to the [form of the] judgment or order, or**
 - (c) **{M}[m]ailed to a self-represented party at the party’s last known address not less than 7 days prior to submission to the court {and be accompanied by notice of the time period to object.}, or]**

[(d) presented in open court with the parties present.]

- (2) **{The drafting party must attach to any proposed judgment or order a dated and signed certificate that describes:}[A certificate describing the manner of compliance with subsection (1)(a) or (1)(c) of this rule must be attached to a proposed judgment or order submitted to the court.]**

{(a) The manner of compliance with any applicable service requirement under this rule; and

(b) The reason that the submission is ready for judicial signature or otherwise states that any objection is ready for resolution, in substantially the following form:

“This proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial signature because:

“1. [] Each opposing party affected by this order or judgment has stipulated to the order or judgment, as shown by each opposing party’s signature on the document being submitted.

“2. [] Each opposing party affected by this order or judgment has approved the order or judgment, as shown by signature on the document being submitted or by written confirmation of approval sent to me.

“3. [] I have served a copy of this order or judgment on all parties entitled to service and:

“a. [] No objection has been served on me.

“b. [] I received objections that I could not resolve with the opposing party despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed a copy of the objections I received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.

“c. [] After conferring about objections, [role and name of opposing party] agreed to independently file any remaining objection.

“4. [] The relief sought is against an opposing party who has been found in default.

“5. [] An order of default is being requested with this proposed judgment.

“6. [] Service is not required pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, or by statute, rule, or otherwise.

“7. [] This is a proposed judgment that includes an award of punitive damages and notice has been served on the Director of the Crime Victims’ Assistance Section as required by subsection (4) of this rule.”}

(3) The requirements of subsection (1) of this rule do not apply to:

{(a) A proposed order or judgment presented in open court with the parties present;

(b) A proposed order or judgment that may be presented ex parte by law or rule and is so submitted;

(c) A proposed judgment when an order of default already has been entered or is simultaneously being requested against the opposing party;}

([a]{d}) {A} proposed judgment[s] subject to UTCR 10.090{;}, and]

[(b)]{(e)} {U}[u]ncontested probate and protective proceedings{; and}[.]

{(f) Matters certified to the court under ORS 416.422, ORS 416.430, ORS 416.435, and ORS 416.448.}

(4) Any proposed judgment containing an award of punitive damages shall be served on the Director of the Crime Victims’ Assistance Section, Oregon Department of Justice, 1162 Court Street NE, Salem, OR 97301, not less than 3 days prior to submission to the court.

C. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS OF DISAPPROVAL

1. 1.110 – DEFINITIONS

PROPOSAL

Amend definition of “Trial Court Administrator” to include court staff to whom duties have been delegated.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of disapproval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee’s October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of disapproval becomes the committee’s final recommendation of disapproval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jim Nass, Appellate Commissioner and member of Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 28, 2015. It is a response and a minority report to the proposed amendment to UTCR 1.160, which has been preliminarily recommended for approval. The committee felt that the proposal was thoughtful, but that it would create more problems than it would solve.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

1.110 DEFINITIONS

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(6) "Trial Court Administrator" *[means the court administrator, the administrative officer of the records section of the court, and where appropriate, the trial court clerk]* **{includes any employee to whom the trial court administrator has delegated any power of the office of trial court administrator}**.

2. 1.160 – FILING OF DOCUMENTS IN COURTS; LOCAL SLR

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify when documents are considered filed.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of disapproval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of disapproval becomes the committee's final recommendation of disapproval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jim Nass, Appellate Commissioner and member of Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 28, 2015. It is a response and a minority report to the proposed amendment to UTCR 1.160, which has been preliminarily recommended for approval. The committee felt that the proposal was thoughtful, but that it would create more problems than it would solve.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

1.160 **{CONVENTIONAL}** FILING OF DOCUMENTS IN COURTS; LOCAL SLR

[(1) *Except as provided in subsection (2) of this rule, a document to be filed with the court or the clerk of court or the trial court administrator must be filed with the office of the local trial court administrator or designee. No document delivered to a judge, judge's staff, judge's mailbox, courtroom, or chambers is filed until it is received by the office of the trial court administrator or designee. For every document to be filed, other than an order or judgment submitted to a judge for signature, the original is to be delivered to the trial court administrator's office.*

- (2) *Notwithstanding subsection (1) of this rule, local courts may adopt SLRs to allow filing of documents in places other than required by subsection (1). Such SLRs may allow such filing generally or in specific circumstances as convenient to the court adopting the SLR. SLR number 1.161 is reserved for the purposes of such SLRs.*
- (3) *A judicial district must accept a filing that is substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, if the proper fee is tendered when required and the document is filed in compliance with all applicable statutes and rules.]*
- {(1) A document being conventionally filed with a court is considered filed when the original of a document is delivered to the trial court administrator, the trial court administrator receives the document, and the trial court administrator accepts the document for filing. If the trial court administrator accepts a document for filing, the filing date relates back to the date the document was delivered to the trial court administrator for filing.**
- (2) A court may adopt an SLR, to be numbered 1.161, to designate persons or specific places for delivery of a document for filing with the trial court administrator.**
- (3) No document delivered to a judge, judge's staff, courtroom, or chambers is considered filed until the document is received by the trial court administrator unless the court has adopted an SLR under subsection (2) so providing.**
- (4) A party may present a form of order or judgment to a judge in the courtroom. If the judge signs the order or judgment, the judge is responsible to ensure that the order or judgment is delivered to the trial court administrator for filing. If the judge does not sign the form of order or judgment and the party wants it to be part of the record, the party must deliver it to the trial court administrator for filing.**
- (5) If a party presents a motion or other document at an *ex parte* proceeding and the judge retains the document, the judge is responsible to ensure that the document is delivered to the trial court administrator for filing. If the judge does not retain the document, the party is responsible for delivering the document to the trial court administrator for filing. The filing date of the document relates back to the date the party presented the document at the *ex parte* proceeding.**
- (6) Definitions**
- (a) As used in this rule, "conventional filing" means delivery of a document for filing in person, including delivery in person, by attorney or other agent for a party in person, by U.S. Postal Service, or delivery service. Conventional filing excludes electronic filing under UTCR 21.060.**
- (b) As used in this rule, "trial court administrator" includes any employee of the trial court administrator to whom the trial court**

administrator has delegated the authority to receive a document for filing.}

3. 2.010 – FORM OF DOCUMENTS

PROPOSAL

Amend to remove line numbering requirement.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of disapproval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of disapproval becomes the committee's final recommendation of disapproval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Julie A. Smith, Attorney, on October 14, 2015. She has thought about:

- e-briefing and how it looks on a computer screen
- the trend to declutter these documents
- how it can be difficult to make the numbers line up properly
- how pleadings usually have paragraph numbers
- the notion that the Oregon federal courts do not have this requirement.

At the meeting on October 16, 2015, the committee discussed that:

- numbered lines are helpful in post-conviction relief cases, as well as other cases
- attorneys often cite to page and line
- judges find the lines useful
- the lines may be required by the ORCP for some motions.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

The proponent did not submit specific wording for amendment of the rule.

4. 6.200 – PRETRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES

PROPOSAL

Amend rule to apply to criminal cases.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment but did discuss the proposal in greater detail. While the committee recognized the potential value of settlement conferences, several committee members expressed concerns about the significant use of judicial resources the proposal would require, the potential that scheduling a mandatory settlement conference would ultimately delay resolution of the case, and the need to respect the decisions of a coequal branch of government. One committee member noted the existence of a Supplemental Local Rule authorizing, but not requiring, their court to hold a settlement conference. One committee member expressed a desire to resubmit the proposal to the committee, after consulting with the affected stakeholders.

No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of disapproval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of disapproval becomes the committee's final recommendation of disapproval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Laura Fine Moro, Attorney, on April 15, 2015. The proposal would require the court to conduct a settlement conference in a criminal case upon the request of a party and it arises from an incident where a judge refused to grant her a settlement conference. At the meeting on October 16, 2015, the committee discussed:

- some counties already require a settlement conference in all cases
- this would create a significant workload issue in some counties with a large case load
- this would be create a significant workload issue in some counties with an individual docket
- the ORS already allows a presiding judge to order a settlement conference
- limiting the proposal to certain felonies
- whether this would impinge on a legislative policy issue
- whether this would foster delay

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

6.200 PRETRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES

- (1) Each judicial district may adopt an SLR 6.012, or an SLR in Chapter 12 if that chapter is dedicated to alternative dispute resolution, providing for a uniform pretrial settlement conference procedure for use in all circuit court civil cases, including dissolution of marriage and postjudgment modification proceedings{, and criminal cases}. The SLR shall be designed to most effectively meet the needs of the judges, lawyers, and litigants in each district and to promote early pretrial settlements.

- (2) * * *

* * * * *

5. 7.020 – SETTING TRIAL DATE IN CIVIL CASES

PROPOSAL

Amend to modify time period for setting trial dates when venue changes.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received two comments in support of the proposal. The first comment indicated that the proposal would avoid unnecessary dismissals and subsequent attempts by the plaintiff to obtain relief from the dismissal. The comment also suggested reducing the proposed 60-day extension period to 30 days. The second comment noted the practical challenges, and multi-month delays, frequently associated with identifying the residence of the defendant in collection actions. The comment observed that this delay can have adverse systemic consequences by making the case ineligible for arbitration in some jurisdictions and otherwise substantially increasing the costs of litigation.

The committee's discussion focused largely on the impediments to a technological solution to the described problem and the resulting likelihood that the proposal, if adopted, would have to be implemented manually. The committee noted the existence of a lengthy list of desired technological improvements to the Oregon eCourt program that both predated the proposal and were likely to be prioritized above it. The committee noted that each of these technological modifications to eCourt would require a substantial investment of financial and human resources. The committee also discussed the possibility for error that a manual solution would inject into the process and the potential for complicated fact patterns that could require court staff to make legal judgments. The committee observed that the existing rule contains a good cause exception that would alleviate the concerns of the proponent, while ensuring an appropriate level of judicial supervision.

The motion to recommend disapproval passed unanimously.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by David H. DeBlasio, Attorney, on July 29, 2015. His issue arose with the change from OJIN to Odyssey. Under OJIN, when a change of venue was granted the case got a new case number and the time for dismissal for want of prosecution started over. Under Odyssey, the case number stays the same and the time until dismissal does not restart. He finds that this is a problem in debt collection cases. His practice is to send a demand to the consumer at the last known address. If the consumer does not respond he files suit. If he then learns that the consumer moved before suit was filed he has to file a motion for change of venue. It is a violation of federal law to serve the defendant before venue has been changed so the time for dismissal continues to run. He then has to file a motion for an extension of time, which is often (but not always) granted. These motions add workload and cost to the plaintiff and court. He prefers to file suit in the consumer's county of residence, rather than where the cause of action arose, because it gives the consumer easier access to the court. He represents two debt buyers and this is a problem in approximately 30% of his cases. At the meeting on October 16, 2015, the committee discussed:

- plaintiff's need to file in the county where the consumer actually lives
- plaintiff's ability to file where the cause of action arose
- plaintiff's ability to overcome this problem by filing a motion for an extension of time (possibly at the same time as the motion for change of venue)
- workload issues with motions, orders, and restarting the time
- additional timelines may needlessly complicate the rule
- the need to know the number of cases in which this issue arises
- the likelihood that the Odyssey system will not permit a clerk to restart the time

The committee did not make a recommendation on this proposal at the October 16, 2015, committee meeting.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

7.020 SETTING TRIAL DATE IN CIVIL CASES

- (1) After service is made, the serving party must forthwith file the return or acceptance of service with the trial court administrator.

- (2) If no return or acceptance of service has been filed by the 63rd day after the filing of the complaint, written notice shall be given to the plaintiff that the case will be dismissed for want of prosecution 28 days from the date of mailing of the notice unless proof of service is filed within the time period, good cause to continue the case is shown to the court on motion supported by affidavit and accompanied by a proposed order, or the defendant has appeared.
- (3) If proof of service has been filed and any defendant has not appeared by the 91st day from the filing of the complaint, the case shall be deemed not at issue and written notice shall be given to the plaintiff that the case will be dismissed against each nonappearing defendant for want of prosecution 28 days from the date of mailing of the notice unless one of the following occurs:
 - (a) An order of default has been filed and entry of judgment has been applied for.
 - (b) Good cause to continue the case is shown to the court on motion supported by affidavit and accompanied by a proposed order.
 - (c) The defendant has appeared.

{(4) In the event that a motion for a change of venue has been granted and a necessary party has not been served, the plaintiff shall be granted, without a separate order of the court, an additional 60 days to serve the non-appearing party. Thereafter written notice shall be given to the plaintiff that the case will be dismissed for want of prosecution 28 days from the date of mailing of the notice unless proof of service is filed within the time period, good cause to continue the case is shown to the court on motion supported by affidavit and accompanied by a proposed order, or the defendant has appeared. Federal law prohibits the service of a consumer claim in a county other than the consumer's residence.}

{(5)[4]} If all defendants have made an appearance, the case will be deemed at issue 91 days after the filing of the complaint or when the pleadings are complete, whichever is earlier.

{(6)[5]} The trial date must be no later than one year from date of filing for civil cases or six months from the date of the filing of a third-party complaint under ORCP 22 C, whichever is later, unless good cause is shown to the presiding judge or designee.

{(7)[6]} Parties have 14 days after the case is at issue or deemed at issue to:

- (a) Agree among themselves and with the presiding judge or designee on a trial date within the time limit set forth above.
- (b) Have a conference with the presiding judge or designee and set a trial date.

{(8)[7]} If the parties do neither (a) nor (b) of (6) above, the calendar clerk will set the case for trial on a date that is convenient to the court.

6. 21.010 – DEFINITIONS

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to proposed changes to UTCR 1.160.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of disapproval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of disapproval becomes the committee's final recommendation of disapproval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jim Nass, Appellate Commissioner and member of Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 28, 2015. It is a response and a minority report to the proposed amendment to UTCR 1.160, which has been preliminarily recommended for approval. The committee felt that the proposal was thoughtful, but that it would create more problems than it would solve.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

21.010 DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply to this chapter:

- (1) "Conventional filing" [*means a process whereby a filer files a paper document with the court*]{**has the same meaning as provided in UTCR 1.160**}.

- (2) * * *

* * * * *

7. 21.060 – FILES OF THE COURT

PROPOSAL

Amend to clarify trial court administrator's role in accepting filings.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of disapproval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of disapproval becomes the committee's final recommendation of disapproval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jim Nass, Appellate Commissioner and member of Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 28, 2015. It is a response and a minority report to the proposed amendment to UTCR 1.160, which has been preliminarily recommended for approval. The committee felt that the proposal was thoughtful, but that it would create more problems than it would solve.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

21.060 FILES OF THE COURT

(1) Electronic Filing

[(a)]The electronic filing of a document is accomplished when a filer submits a document [*electronically to*]{**via**} the court{**'s electronic filing system**}, the electronic filing system receives the document, and the [*court*]{**trial court administrator**} accepts the document for filing. **{If the trial court administrator accepts the document for filing:**

(a) The filing date relates back to the date the filer submitted the document via the court's electronic filing system; and

(b) [*When the court accepts the electronic document for filing, t*]{**T**}he electronic document constitutes the court's record of the document.

(2) Converting a Conventional Filing into an Electronic Format

The [*court*]{**trial court administrator**} may digitize, microfilm, record, scan, or otherwise reproduce a document that is filed conventionally into an electronic record, document, or image. The [*court*]{**trial court administrator**} subsequently may destroy a document that is filed conventionally in accordance with the protocols established by the State Court Administrator under ORS 8.125(11) and ORS 7.124.

8. 21.100 – ELECTRONIC SERVICE

PROPOSAL

Amend to conform to proposed restructuring of UTCR 21.060.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee received no public comment. No motions were made to change the preliminary recommendation of disapproval. Therefore, by committee convention, the committee's October 16, 2015, preliminary recommendation of disapproval becomes the committee's final recommendation of disapproval.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jim Nass, Appellate Commissioner and member of Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, on August 28, 2015. It is a response and a minority report to the proposed amendment to UTCR 1.160, which has been preliminarily recommended for approval. The committee felt that the proposal was thoughtful, but that it would create more problems than it would solve.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

21.100 ELECTRONIC SERVICE

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(4) Court Notification and Transmission Constituting Service

When the court accepts an electronic document for filing under UTCR 21.060[(1)(a)], the electronic filing system sends an email to the email address of each person whom the filer selected as a service contact or other service contact under section (3) of this rule. The email contains a hyperlink to access the document or documents that have been filed electronically. Transmission of the email by the electronic filing system to the selected service contacts in the action constitutes service.

(5) * * *

* * * * *

D. PUBLIC COMMENT ON OTHER ACTIONS

1. 13.120 – COMPENSATION OF ARBITRATOR

PROPOSAL

Amend to modify manner in which arbitrators are compensated.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee examined ORS 36.400(4) in greater detail and concluded that the statute likely did not preclude adoption of a UTCR or SLR that is consistent with the proposal. While one committee member expressed a preference for a statewide rule, another committee member believed the matter would be better left to local regulation. Recognizing that, in either event, the proposal addressed a reasonably recurring problem, the committee expressed an interest in revisiting this issue at its Fall Meeting. The committee instructed committee staff to work with The Honorable Tracy Prall and Katharine von Ter Stegge, Attorney, to develop a proposal.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jeffrey L. Pugh, Attorney, on May 26, 2015. He is concerned about the difficulties he has in getting paid as an arbitrator. He would like an amendment that allows an arbitrator to refuse to accept evidence from a party who fails to pay the arbitrator fee. He cites a Marion County SLR 13.095(4), which grants such authority. He would also like an amendment to make clear that an arbitrator can take the full fee from the amount tendered by one party (where another party has failed to pay). At the October 16, 2015, meeting the committee discussed ORS 36.400(4), which may require an arbitrator to refuse to take evidence from a party who has not paid the fee. In light of that discussion, Mr. Pugh withdrew his proposal.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

The proponent did not submit specific wording for amendment of the rule.

2. 13.170 – PREHEARING STATEMENT OF PROOF

PROPOSAL

Amend to preclude admission of evidence if arbitrator's fees remain unpaid.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee examined ORS 36.400(4) in greater detail and concluded that the statute likely did not preclude adoption of a UTCR or SLR that is consistent with the proposal. While one committee member expressed a preference for a statewide rule, another committee member believed the matter would be better left to local regulation. Recognizing that, in either event, the proposal addressed a reasonably recurring problem, the committee expressed an interest in revisiting this issue at its Fall Meeting. The committee instructed committee staff to work with The Honorable Tracy Prall and Katharine von Ter Stegge, Attorney, to develop a proposal.

EXPLANATION

This proposal was submitted by Jeffrey L. Pugh, Attorney, on May 26, 2015. He is concerned about the difficulties he has in getting paid as an arbitrator. He would like an amendment that allows an arbitrator to refuse to accept evidence from a party who fails to pay the arbitrator fee. He cites a Marion County SLR 13.095(4), which grants such authority. He would also like an amendment to make clear that an arbitrator can take the full fee from the amount tendered by one party (where another party has failed to pay). At the October 16, 2015, meeting the committee discussed ORS 36.400(4), which may require an arbitrator to refuse to take evidence from a party who has not paid the fee. In light of that discussion, Mr. Pugh withdrew his proposal.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

The proponent did not submit specific wording for amendment of the rule.

3. UTCR – ALL CHAPTERS

PROPOSAL

Adopt a rule identifying the case types to which UTCR chapters apply.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee was concerned that the proposal would result in a protracted review that could potentially create more confusion than clarity. No action was taken.

EXPLANATION

The proposal was submitted by The Honorable Donald R. Letourneau on February 5, 2016.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

The proponent did not submit a proposed amendment.

E. OTHER

1. 5.100 – SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS

PROPOSAL

Review proposed amendments addressing the scope of the rule, the contents and placement of the certificate of readiness, service and language choices.

ACTION TAKEN

The committee was provided with a summary of issues that have been raised by practitioners, judges, and court staff since amendments to the rule became effective on January 1, 2016, and a corresponding proposal to address many of these issues. After discussing the proposal in detail, the committee suggested that amendments to the rule become effective on August 1, 2016, and that the Chair of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group, Lisa Norris-Lampe, form a workgroup to address concerns in the interim. The Honorable Timothy Gerking and Janet Schroer, Attorney, volunteered to serve on the work group.

The committee identified several outstanding issues that warranted further discussion by the work group, including: the absence of an express objection period, a mechanism for parties to verbally approve the form of proposed orders and judgments, combining duplicative exceptions to streamline the rule and whether to require an explanation when service is not required by law. With the understanding that the work group would review these issues, the committee voted unanimously to send the proposed amendments out for public comment.

EXPLANATION

UTCRC 5.100 was amended out-of-cycle in 2015 based on a proposal submitted on behalf of the Oregon eCourt Law and Policy Work Group by Lisa Norris-Lampe, Chair. The original 2015 proposal was circulated twice for public comment before being adopted by Chief Justice Order, 15-058, effective January 1, 2016.

The 2015 amendment was intended to address procedural issues that have arisen as the circuit courts transition to the Oregon eCourt system and that otherwise persist with proposed orders and judgments, namely, (1) the need to ensure that self-represented parties are notified of their opportunity to object to a proposed order or judgment; and (2) the need for efficiency in judicial determination, within the Oregon eCourt system, whether a proposed order or judgment indeed is ready for judicial signature.

Because the 2015 amendment was adopted out-of-cycle, the amendment was sent out for a public comment period that ended March 18, 2016. In addition to receiving public comment, various Oregon Judicial Department (OJD) staff received informal questions and suggestions regarding the amendment. A work group within OJD evaluated all comments and questions received and proposed the amended version of UTCRC 5.100, set out below, to the Uniform Trial Court Rules Committee. The Committee approved that version to be sent out for public comment. If adopted, the amendment would be adopted out-of-cycle, with a projected effective date of August 1, 2016.

The proposed amendment would make the following changes to UTCRC 5.100:

Service Requirement: In paragraph (1)(c), the proposed amendment replaces the current requirement that a proposed order or judgment be “mailed to” a self-represented party at the party’s last known address with a clarified requirement that the document must be “served on” such a party.

Certificates of Service and Readiness, Placement: In subsection (2), the proposed amendment clarifies that the required certificates of service and readiness should be included in the proposed order or judgment document (not an attachment).

Certificate of Readiness, Reasons: In paragraph (2)(b), the proposed amendment clarifies that the purpose of the certificate of readiness is to identify the reason that the proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial action. The proposed amendment also eliminates the “default” reasons from the model certificate, which had been based on concepts of *ex parte* service and so are subsumed by the “service not required” reason. The proposed amendment also adds a new, “other,” reason why the proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial action.

Service Requirement, Exceptions: In subsection (3), the proposed amendment rewords the current service exception for proposed orders or judgments submitted “*ex parte* by law or rule,” to state that the service requirement does not apply when “service is not required by statute, rule, or otherwise.” The proposed amendment then would delete the current service exceptions based on default, which in turn had been based on concepts of *ex parte* service.

Certificate of Readiness Requirement, Exceptions: In subsection (4), the proposed amendment creates an exception to the certificate of readiness requirement, for a proposed order or judgment that is both submitted and signed in open court with the parties present.

New Reporter’s Note: The proposed amendment adds a Reporter’s Note that clarifies the types of cases to which the rule does not apply and further notes that the computation of UTCR time requirements is subject to ORCP 10.

Other Minor Wording Changes: Throughout, the proposed amendment incorporates some minor wording changes and eliminates unnecessary wording.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

5.100 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS

- (1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this rule, any proposed judgment or proposed order submitted to the court must be:
 - (a) Served on opposing counsel not less than 3 days prior to submission to the court, or
 - (b) Accompanied by a stipulation by opposing counsel that no objection exists as to the judgment or order, or
 - (c) **{Served on}***[Mailed to]* a self-represented party *[at the party’s last known address]* not less than 7 days prior to submission to the court and be accompanied by notice of the time period to object.
- (2) *[The drafting party must attach to]***{Except as provided in subsection (4) of this rule}** any proposed judgment or order **{submitted to the court must include, following the space for judicial signature, }**a dated and signed certificate that describes:
 - (a) The manner of compliance with any applicable service requirement under this rule; and

- (b) The reason that the submission is ready for judicial signature or otherwise states that any objection is ready for resolution, **{identifying the reason}** in substantially the following form:

“This proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial signature because:

“1. [] Each opposing party affected by this order or judgment has stipulated to the order or judgment, as shown by each opposing party’s signature on the document being submitted.

“2. [] Each opposing party affected by this order or judgment has approved the order or judgment, as shown by **{each opposing party’s}** signature on the document being submitted or by written confirmation of approval sent to me.

“3. [] I have served a copy of this order or judgment on **{each opposing party}**[*all parties*] entitled to service and:

“a. [] No objection has been served on me.

“b. [] I received objections that I could not resolve with the opposing party despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed a copy of the objections I received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.

“c. [] After conferring about objections, [role and name of opposing party] agreed to independently file any remaining objection.

“4. [] [*The relief sought is against an opposing party who has been found in default.*

“5. [] *An order of default is being requested with this proposed judgment.*

“6. [] Service is not required pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, or by statute, rule, or otherwise.

“**{5}**[7]. [] This is a proposed judgment that includes an award of punitive damages and notice has been served on the Director of the Crime Victims’ Assistance Section as required by subsection (**{5}**)[4] of this rule.[”

{“6. [] Other: _____.”}

- (3) The requirements of subsection (1) of this rule do not apply to:

(a) A proposed order or judgment presented in open court with the parties present;

(b) A proposed order or judgment **{for which service is not required by statute, rule, or otherwise}**[*that may be presented ex parte by law or rule and is so submitted*];

[(c) *A proposed judgment when an order of default already has been entered or is simultaneously being requested against the opposing party;*]

(**{c}**)[d]) A proposed judgment subject to UTCR 10.090;

(**{d}**)[e]) Uncontested probate and protective proceedings; and

{(e)}[f] Matters certified to the court under ORS 416.422, ORS 416.430, ORS 416.435, and ORS 416.448.

- (4) **{The requirements of subsection (2) of this rule do not apply to a proposed order or judgment presented and signed in open court with the parties present:}***[Any proposed judgment containing an award of punitive damages shall be served on the Director of the Crime Victims' Assistance Section, Oregon Department of Justice, 1162 Court Street NE, Salem, OR 97301, not less than 3 days prior to submission to the court.]*

- {(5) Any proposed judgment containing an award of punitive damages shall be served on the Director of the Crime Victims' Assistance Section, Oregon Department of Justice, 1162 Court Street NE, Salem, OR 97301, not less than 3 days prior to submission to the court.}**

{REPORTER'S NOTE (xx-xx- 2016): This rule does not apply in the following types of cases: criminal; contempt cases seeking punitive sanctions; juvenile under ORS chapter 419A, 419B, or 419C; or violations, parking violations, or small claims (see UTCR 1.010(3)). Nothing in this rule prohibits a court from adopting an SLR that applies this rule to matters under SLR chapters other than chapter 5.}

Pursuant to UTCR 1.130, computation of Uniform Trial Court Rule time requirements is subject to ORCP 10.}

2. Committee Membership

The committee discussed the existing attorney vacancy and the need to recruit three judges to fill the terms expiring at the end of the year. The UTCR Reporter indicated that an attorney recruitment would be posted in the Oregon State Bar Bulletin and that the Reporter would work with the Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court and Presiding Judges across the state, to identify judicial members.

3. Fall Meeting Schedule

The Fall Meeting of the UTCR Committee will be held on October 14, 2016. Please submit proposed UTCR changes to the UTCR Reporter by August 31, 2016, so that they may be included in the fall meeting agenda. You may submit proposals by email or tradition mail: utcr@ojd.state.or.us or UTCR Reporter, Supreme Court Building, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97301-2563.

2016 UNIFORM TRIAL COURT RULES

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 1 —General Provisions.....	1.1
1.010 SCOPE OF THESE RULES.....	1.1
1.020 AMENDMENT OF THESE RULES; EFFECTIVE DATE.....	1.1
1.030 TRANSITION TO THESE RULES.....	1.2
1.040 LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED; EXCEPTION.....	1.2
1.050 PROMULGATION OF SLR; REVIEW OF SLR; ENFORCEABILITY OF LOCAL PRACTICES.....	1.2
1.060 NUMBERING OF COURT RULES.....	1.4
1.070 CITATION OF COURT RULES.....	1.4
1.080 FORMAT AND LOCATION OF COURT RULES.....	1.4
1.090 SANCTIONS.....	1.5
1.100 RELIEF FROM APPLICATION OF COURT RULES	1.5
1.110 DEFINITIONS.....	1.5
1.120 DISBURSING MONIES; MOTION AND ORDER	1.6
1.130 TIME COMPUTATION.....	1.7
1.140 REQUESTS FOR EXTENDED RETENTION OF COURT RECORDS	1.7
1.160 FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH COURTS; LOCAL SLR	1.9
1.170 COURT WEBSITES; HOURS OF COURT OPERATION.....	1.9
1.200 INFORMATION ON FREE OR LOW-COST LOCAL LEGAL SERVICES	1.10
CHAPTER 2 —Standards for Pleadings and Documents.....	2.1
2.010 FORM OF DOCUMENTS	2.1
2.020 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	2.4
2.030 MATTERS UNDER ADVISEMENT MORE THAN 60 DAYS	2.4
2.050 ATTORNEY FEES ON WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS	2.5
2.060 ENTERING JUDGMENT ON FACE OF NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT	2.5
2.070 NOTICE IN PLEADINGS	2.5
2.080 COMMUNICATION WITH COURT	2.5
2.090 FILINGS FOR CONSOLIDATED CASES	2.5
2.100 PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING ...	2.6
2.110 PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN INFORMATION ALREADY EXISTS IN A CASE FILE	2.10
2.120 AFFIDAVITS.....	2.13
2.130 CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION IN FAMILY LAW AND CERTAIN PROTECTIVE ORDER PROCEEDINGS.....	2.13
2.140 APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OR DEFERRAL OF FEES OR COURT COSTS.....	2.16
CHAPTER 3 —Decorum In Proceedings	3.1
3.010 PROPER APPAREL	3.1
3.020 PROPER APPAREL FOR INCARCERATED WITNESSES AND DEFENDANTS APPEARING IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	3.1
3.030 MANNER OF ADDRESS	3.1
3.040 ADVICE TO CLIENTS AND WITNESSES OF COURTROOM FORMALITIES	3.1
3.050 PROPER POSITION OF PARTIES BEFORE COURT.....	3.1
3.060 DEFENDANT IN CRIMINAL TRIAL	3.2
3.070 PERSONS PERMITTED WITHIN BAR OF COURT.....	3.2
3.080 PROCEDURE FOR SWEARING WITNESSES	3.2

	<u>Page</u>
3.090	UNDUE RECOGNITION OR FAMILIARITY BY JUDGE3.2
3.100	PROPER USE OF COURT CHAMBERS3.2
3.110	CONFERENCES IN CHAMBERS3.2
3.120	COMMUNICATION WITH JURORS3.3
3.130	DISCLOSURE OF RELATED MATTERS WHEN SEEKING COURT ORDER3.3
3.140	RESIGNATION OF ATTORNEYS3.3
3.150	NO REACTION TO JURY VERDICT3.4
3.160	EXPLANATION OF PROCEEDINGS TO JURORS3.4
3.170	ASSOCIATION OF OUT-OF-STATE COUNSEL (<i>PRO HAC VICE</i>)3.4
3.180	MEDIA OR OTHER PUBLIC ACCESS COVERAGE OF COURT EVENTS3.6
CHAPTER 4	—Proceedings in Criminal Cases4.1
4.010	TIME FOR FILING PRETRIAL MOTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES4.1
4.030	PROCEDURE FOR ORDER OF TRANSPORTATION4.1
4.050	ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES4.1
4.060	MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE4.2
4.070	DISMISSAL OF CHARGES FOLLOWING SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF DIVERSION4.2
4.080	APPEARANCE AT CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS BY MEANS OF SIMULTANEOUS ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION4.2
4.090	ELECTRONIC CITATIONS4.3
4.100	CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS – PROSECUTOR'S NOTIFICATION AND CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS VIOLATION CLAIM4.4
CHAPTER 5	—Proceedings in Civil Cases5.1
5.010	CONFERRING ON MOTIONS UNDER ORCP 21, 23 and 36-465.1
5.020	AUTHORITIES IN MOTIONS AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS5.1
5.030	OPPOSING PARTY'S RESPONSE; TIME FOR FILING RESPONSE AND REPLY ..5.1
5.040	MOTIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY THE PRESIDING JUDGE OR DESIGNEE5.1
5.050	ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTIONS IN CIVIL CASES; APPEARANCE AT NONEVIDENTIARY HEARINGS AND MOTIONS BY TELECOMMUNICATION5.2
5.060	STIPULATED AND <i>EX PARTE</i> MATTERS5.2
5.070	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PLEADING5.3
5.080	STATEMENT FOR ATTORNEY FEES, COSTS, AND DISBURSEMENTS5.3
5.090	NOTICE TO COURT IN WATER RIGHTS CASES; NOTICE TO COURT IN CASES SUBJECT TO SECTIONS 7, 13, 21 and 23, CHAPTER 5 OREGON LAWS 2013, REGARDING COMMENCING AN ACTION AGAINST A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER OR A HEALTH CARE FACILITY5.3
5.100	SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS5.4
5.110	CLASS ACTIONS5.5
5.120	NOTICE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, CRIME VICTIMS' ASSISTANCE SECTION, OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES5.5
5.130	INTERSTATE DEPOSITION INSTRUMENTS—OBTAINING AN OREGON COMMISSION5.6
5.140	OREGON DISCOVERY IN FOREIGN PROCEEDINGS5.6
5.150	EXPEDITED CIVIL JURY CASES5.7
5.160	SEALED DOCUMENTS5.9
CHAPTER 6	—Trials6.1
6.010	CONFERENCES IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS6.1
6.020	COURT NOTIFICATION ON SETTLEMENT OR CHANGE OF PLEA6.1
6.030	POSTPONEMENT OF TRIAL6.2
6.040	RESOLVING SCHEDULING CONFLICTS6.3
6.050	SUBMISSION OF TRIAL MEMORANDA AND TRIAL EXHIBITS6.3

	<u>Page</u>
6.060	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS6.3
6.070	JURY INSTRUCTIONS.....6.4
6.080	MARKING EXHIBITS.....6.4
6.090	PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES IN CIVIL CASES.....6.5
6.100	EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES6.5
6.110	SPECIAL AND GENERAL FINDINGS IN SEPARATE DOCUMENT6.5
6.120	DISPOSITION OF EXHIBITS.....6.6
6.130	WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL IN CIVIL CASES6.6
6.140	PROCEDURES FOR USE OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE6.7
6.150	WEAPONS AND DANGEROUS INSTRUMENTS IN THE COURTROOM.....6.7
6.160	CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES IN THE COURTROOM6.8
6.170	JUROR HANDLING OF CONTROLLED, HAZARDOUS, OR INFECTIOUS SUBSTANCES AND CHEMICALS6.8
6.180	WEAPONS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES IN THE COURT FACILITIES6.8
6.190	EVIDENCE SUBMITTED IN AN ELECTRONIC FORMAT6.9
6.200	PRETRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES.....6.9
CHAPTER 7	—Case Management and Calendaring7.1
7.010	PLEAS, NEGOTIATIONS, DISCOVERY AND TRIAL DATES IN CRIMINAL CASES 7.1
7.020	SETTING TRIAL DATE IN CIVIL CASES7.1
7.030	COMPLEX CASES7.2
7.040	NOTIFY COURT OF SETTLEMENTS AND OTHER MATTERS.....7.3
7.050	EFFECT OF BANKRUPTCY PETITION7.3
7.060	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) ACCOMMODATION7.4
7.070	FOREIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS7.4
7.080	INTERPRETERS' REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.....7.5
CHAPTER 8	—Domestic Relations Proceedings8.1
8.010	ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT8.1
8.020	SUPPORT ORDERS8.2
8.040	PREJUDGMENT RELIEF UNDER ORS 107.095(1)8.3
8.050	JUDGMENT MODIFICATION PROCEEDINGS8.3
8.060	FILING DCS WORK SHEETS REQUIRED IN CHILD SUPPORT CASES8.4
8.070	STANDARDIZED PARENTING PLANS.....8.4
8.080	STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER TO PREVENT DISSIPATION OF ASSETS IN CERTAIN DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACTIONS8.5
8.090	CERTIFICATE REGARDING PENDING CHILD SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS AND/OR EXISTING CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS AND/OR JUDGMENTS8.5
8.100	PROCEDURE FOR WAIVER OF MARRIAGE FEE UNDER ORS 106.1208.5
8.110	LIMITED SCOPE REPRESENTATION.....8.6
CHAPTER 9	—Probate and Adoption Proceedings9.1
9.010	MAILING PROBATE MATERIALS TO THE COURT.....9.1
9.020	APPROVAL OF BONDS.....9.1
9.030	ADDRESSES AND TELEPHONE NUMBERS REQUIRED.....9.1
9.040	SETTLEMENT OF PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMS IN PROBATE CASES9.1
9.050	RESTRICTED ACCOUNTS9.1
9.060	FEES IN ESTATES, GUARDIANSHIPS AND CONSERVATORSHIPS9.1
9.070	SUMMARY DETERMINATION OF CLAIM UNDER ORS 115.145(1)(a) AND 115.1659.2
9.080	ORAL OBJECTIONS IN PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS AND NOTICE OF FREE AND LOW-COST LEGAL SERVICES.....9.2
9.160	FORM OF ACCOUNTINGS9.2

	<u>Page</u>
9.170 FIDUCIARY DISCLOSURE IN ACCOUNTINGS	9.5
9.180 VOUCHERS AND DEPOSITORY STATEMENTS	9.5
9.190 RETURN OF VOUCHERS AND DEPOSITORY STATEMENTS.....	9.6
9.300 APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIANS IN ADOPTIONS	9.6
9.310 PRESENTATION OF ADOPTION JUDGMENTS.....	9.6
9.320 CHANGE OF NAME AND CHANGE OF SEX PROCEEDINGS.....	9.6
9.400 COURT VISITOR'S REPORT	9.6
9.410 PROTECTIVE PROCEEDING – CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ORDER	9.6
 CHAPTER 10 —Proceedings Relating to Vehicle Laws and Driving Privilege Suspensions	
.....	10.1
10.010 PETITION FOR REVIEW OF ORDER OF SUSPENSION UNDER ORS 813.410 ...	10.1
10.020 PREPARATION AND DELIVERY OF THE RECORD ON REVIEW	10.1
10.030 FORM OF TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS	10.1
10.040 SETTLEMENT OF THE RECORD	10.2
10.050 PETITIONER'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.....	10.2
10.060 OPPOSING PARTY'S RESPONSE	10.2
10.070 SETTING HEARING DATE.....	10.2
10.080 ORAL ARGUMENT AT HEARING	10.2
10.090 ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	10.3
 CHAPTER 11 —Juvenile Court Proceedings	11.1
11.010 APPLICATION FOR COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL.....	11.1
11.020 COMPENSATION AND APPOINTMENT OF COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL	11.1
11.040 ADMISSION OR STIPULATION TO JURISDICTION; DISMISSAL	11.1
11.060 PREDISPOSITION INVESTIGATION	11.1
11.070 TEMPORARY SUSPENSION OF VISITATION RIGHTS WHEN TERMINATION PETITION FILED.....	11.2
 CHAPTER 12 —Mediation.....	12.1
REPORTER'S NOTE	12.1
 CHAPTER 13 —Arbitration	13.1
13.010 APPLICATION OF CHAPTER	13.1
13.030 ARBITRATION COMMISSION.....	13.1
13.040 RELATIONSHIP TO COURT JURISDICTION AND APPLICABLE RULES.....	13.1
13.050 ARBITRATION WHEN CASE ALREADY SET FOR TRIAL.....	13.2
13.060 PLEADINGS IN CASES SUBJECT OR NOT SUBJECT TO ARBITRATION	13.2
13.070 EXEMPTION FROM ARBITRATION.....	13.2
13.080 ASSIGNMENT TO ARBITRATOR	13.2
13.090 ARBITRATORS	13.3
13.100 AUTHORITY OF ARBITRATORS	13.3
13.110 ARBITRATOR'S OATH.....	13.4
13.120 COMPENSATION OF ARBITRATOR	13.4
13.130 RESTRICTIONS ON COMMUNICATION BETWEEN ARBITRATOR, PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS	13.4
13.140 DISCOVERY.....	13.4
13.150 SUBPOENA.....	13.5
13.160 SCHEDULING OF THE HEARING	13.5
13.170 PREHEARING STATEMENT OF PROOF	13.5
13.180 CONDUCT OF HEARING.....	13.6
13.190 CERTAIN DOCUMENTS ADMISSIBLE	13.6
13.200 ABSENCE OF PARTY AT HEARING	13.7
13.210 FORM AND CONTENT OF AWARD.....	13.7

	<u>Page</u>
13.220 FILING OF AN AWARD	13.8
13.240 JUDGMENT ON AWARD	13.9
13.250 REQUEST FOR TRIAL <i>DE NOVO</i>	13.9
13.260 PROCEDURE AT TRIAL <i>DE NOVO</i>	13.9
13.280 TRIAL DOCKET.....	13.9
13.300 PRETRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES AND ARBITRATION.....	13.10
CHAPTER 14 —Reference Judges.....	14.1
This chapter reserved for future use.....	14.1
CHAPTER 15 —Small Claims	15.1
15.010 SMALL CLAIMS FORMS	15.1
15.020 DISMISSAL OF SMALL CLAIMS FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION.....	15.1
CHAPTER 16 —Violations	16.1
This chapter reserved for future use.....	16.1
CHAPTER 17 —Local Parking Violations	17.1
REPORTER'S NOTE	17.1
CHAPTER 18 —Forcible Entry and Detainer (FED) Actions.....	18.1
This chapter reserved for future use.....	18.1
CHAPTER 19 —Contempt Proceedings	19.1
19.010 SCOPE, CONSTRUCTION, APPLICATION	19.1
19.020 INITIATING INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS AND MAXIMUM SANCTIONS	19.1
19.030 ALLOWING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS	19.2
19.040 APPLICABILITY OF ORCP AND OTHER UTCR	19.3
19.050 EXCEPTIONS TO AND LIMITATIONS ON APPLICABLE ORCP IN REMEDIAL PROCEEDINGS	19.3
CHAPTER 20 —Voluntary Arbitration.....	20.1
This chapter reserved for future use.....	20.1
CHAPTER 21 —Filing and Service by Electronic Means; Electronic Files of the Court	21.1
21.010 DEFINITIONS	21.1
21.020 LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED	21.1
21.030 FILERS.....	21.1
21.040 FORMAT OF DOCUMENTS TO BE FILED ELECTRONICALLY	21.2
21.050 PAYMENT OF FEES	21.3
21.060 FILES OF THE COURT	21.4
21.070 SPECIAL FILING REQUIREMENTS.....	21.4
21.080 ELECTRONIC FILING AND ELECTRONIC FILING DEADLINES	21.8
21.090 ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES.....	21.9
21.100 ELECTRONIC SERVICE	21.10
21.110 HYPERLINKS.....	21.12
21.120 RETENTION OF DOCUMENTS BY FILERS AND CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINAL SIGNATURES	21.12
21.130 PROTECTED INFORMATION.....	21.13
21.140 MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING	21.13
CHAPTER 22 —Enterprise Content Management System	22.1
This chapter reserved for future use.....	22.1

CHAPTER 23 —Oregon Complex Litigation Court	23.1
23.010 OREGON COMPLEX LITIGATION COURT	23.1
23.020 ASSIGNMENT OF CASES TO THE OCLC.....	23.1
23.030 REMOVAL OF CASES FROM THE OCLC	23.2
23.040 CASE MANAGEMENT	23.2
23.050 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER	23.3
23.060 SETTLEMENTS AND DISCONTINUANCES	23.4

Appendix of Forms

Form 2.010.7	CERTIFICATE OF DOCUMENT PREPARATION
Form 2.100.4a	REQUEST TO SEGREGATE PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION FROM CONCURRENTLY FILED DOCUMENT
Form 2.100.4b	UTCR 2.100 SEGREGATED INFORMATION SHEET
Form 2.100.8	REQUEST TO INSPECT UTCR 2.100 SEGREGATED INFORMATION SHEET
Form 2.110.4a	REQUEST TO REDACT PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION FROM EXISTING CASE FILE
Form 2.130.1	UTCR 2.130 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM (CIF)
Form 2.130.2	NOTICE RE: FILING OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM (CIF)
Form 4.100.1a	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY'S NOTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH CRIME VICTIMS' CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
Form 4.100.1b	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY'S NOTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH CRIME VICTIMS' CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
Form 4.100.2a	CLAIM OF VIOLATION OF CRIME VICTIM'S RIGHT(S) UNDER ARTICLE I, SECTION 42(1)(a) TO (g) OR 43, OF THE OREGON CONSTITUTION
Form 4.100.2b	CLAIM OF VIOLATION OF CRIME VICTIM'S RIGHT(S) UNDER ARTICLE I, SECTION 42(1)(a) TO (g) OR 43, OF THE OREGON CONSTITUTION
Form 5.080	STATEMENT FOR ATTORNEY FEES, COSTS, AND DISBURSEMENTS
Form 5.120.1	UNIFORM NOTICE OF ENTRY OF VERDICT/ENTRY OF JUDGMENT INCLUDING AN AWARD OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES
Form 5.130.1a	DECLARATION, MOTION, AND ORDER FOR COMMISSION TO TAKE FOREIGN DEPOSITION
Form 5.130.1b	COMMISSION TO TAKE FOREIGN DEPOSITION
Form 5.140.1c	DECLARATION AND REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUBPOENA PURSUANT TO ORCP 38 C
Form 5.140.2	PETITION AND ORDER TO REGISTER FOREIGN DEPOSITION INSTRUMENT AND ISSUE SUBPOENAS
Form 5.150.1a	MOTION FOR AN EXPEDITED CIVIL JURY CASE DESIGNATION
Form 5.150.1b	ORDER DESIGNATING AN EXPEDITED CIVIL JURY CASE
Form 8.010.5	UNIFORM SUPPORT DECLARATION
Form 8.080.1	NOTICE OF STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER PREVENTING THE DISSIPATION OF ASSETS IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACTIONS
Form 8.080.2	NOTICE OF STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER PREVENTING THE DISSIPATION OF ASSETS IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACTIONS BETWEEN UNMARRIED PARENTS
Form 8.080.3	REQUEST FOR HEARING RE: STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER
Form 8.090	CERTIFICATE RE: PENDING CHILD SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS AND/OR EXISTING CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS/JUDGMENTS

Form 8.100.1a	FORM TO REQUEST WAIVER OF FEE (ORS 106.120) WHEN MARRIAGE HANDLED BY A COURT
Form 9.160	UTCR 9.160 ACCOUNTING FORM
Form 9.180.3	DEPOSITORY CERTIFICATION OF FUNDS ON DEPOSIT
Form 9.400.1	COURT VISITOR'S REPORT ADULT GUARDIANSHIP
Form 9.410.1	ORDER REGARDING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSED BY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES OR THE OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY
Form 10.010.a	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ORDER OF DMV
Form 10.010.b	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR PETITION OF JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ORDER OF DMV

CHAPTER 1—General Provisions

1.010 SCOPE OF THESE RULES

- (1) Effective October 1, 1985, these rules apply uniformly to all proceedings and actions in circuit court except those proceedings and actions specified in UTCR 1.010(3) or proceedings and actions for which a limited application is specifically provided by these rules.
- (2) These rules shall be construed so as to achieve consistency with statutory provisions and to promote the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of every proceeding and action as well as the efficient use of judicial time and resources.
- (3) Chapters 2 to 13 of the UTCR do not apply to small claims or violations or parking violations, except that:
 - (a) UTCR 7.050 applies to all cases that may be subject to a federal bankruptcy stay, including small claims cases.
 - (b) SLR relating to these subjects are placed in chapters as provided by UTCR 1.080(3).
- (4) These rules apply to attorneys and to persons representing themselves.

1.020 AMENDMENT OF THESE RULES; EFFECTIVE DATE

- (1) The UTCR may be amended by order of the Chief Justice.
- (2) The effective date of any amendments to the UTCR shall be August 1 of each year, unless otherwise ordered by the Chief Justice.
- (3) Proposed amendments to the UTCR will be posted on the Oregon Judicial Department website (<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/programs/utcr/pages/utcrrules.aspx>) and will allow no less than a 49-day period for public comment, unless otherwise ordered by the Chief Justice.
- (4) Once approved by the Chief Justice, the final rules with any amendments which are adopted will be posted on the Oregon Judicial Department website (<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/programs/utcr/pages/utcrrules.aspx>) no less than 49 days before their effective date, unless otherwise ordered by the Chief Justice.
- (5) When either of the time limits set forth in subsections (3) and (4) of this rule have been waived by order of the Chief Justice, the amendment shall be posted for public comment as soon after adoption as is practicable, and the amendment shall be placed on the agenda of the next regularly scheduled UTCR Committee meeting.
- (6) The UTCR Reporter may correct typographical errors, grammatical errors, and inaccurate website addresses if the correction does not change the substance of the rule. The UTCR Reporter shall give appropriate notice of corrections to the public.

1.030 TRANSITION TO THESE RULES

- (1) On their effective date, these rules, and any amendments, shall apply to all actions and proceedings pending on or commenced after that date, except to the extent that, in the opinion of the court, application of the amendments in a particular action pending when the amendments take effect would not be feasible or would work injustice, in which event, the former rules or procedures apply.
- (2) Upon the effective date of these rules, and any amendments, all supplementary local rules (SLR) or portions thereof which are inconsistent with these rules or their amendments, are superseded, except that, when justice requires, a judge may order that an action or proceeding pending on that date be governed by the previous SLR or practice of the court.

1.040 LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED; EXCEPTION

No circuit court may make or enforce any local rule except as provided in UTCR 1.030, 1.050 and 1.060.

1.050 PROMULGATION OF SLR; REVIEW OF SLR; ENFORCEABILITY OF LOCAL PRACTICES

- (1) Promulgation of SLR
 - (a) Pursuant to ORS 3.220, a court may make and enforce local rules consistent with and supplementary to these rules for the purpose of giving full effect to these rules and for the prompt and orderly dispatch of the business of the court.
 - (b) A court must incorporate into its SLR any local practice, procedure, form, or other requirement ("local practice") with which the court expects or requires parties and attorneys to comply. Except as provided in paragraph (e), a court may not adopt SLR that duplicate or conflict with the constitutions, statutes, ORCP, UTCR, Chief Justice Orders, Supreme Court Orders, disciplinary rules for lawyers, judicial canons, or ORAP. A court may not adopt SLR that establish internal operating procedures of the court or trial court administrator that do not create requirements or have potential consequences for parties or attorneys.
 - (c) Every court must promulgate an SLR governing the scheduling and notification of parties for criminal trials, show cause hearings, and motions. A temporary rule may be issued for a specified period of time with Chief Justice approval if the procedures are under revision or study by the affected court.
 - (d) All forms required by SLR must be submitted as part of the SLR. Such forms shall be placed in an appendix and organized by chapter and SLR number. SLR and related forms shall contain cross-references to one another.
- (2) Review of SLR
 - (a) The presiding judge must give written notice of any new rules and changes to existing rules to the president(s) of the bar association(s) in the affected district and allow the bar association(s) at least 49 days before the date of submission of the rules to the Office of the State Court Administrator (OSCA) to provide the presiding judge with public comment. Subsequent changes made to those SLR in response to

recommendations from the UTCR Committee do not need to be submitted to the president(s) of the bar association(s) in the affected district.

- (b) Proposed local rules will be considered by the Chief Justice or designee not more often than once each year. To be considered, the proposed rules and a written explanation of each proposed new rule and change to an existing rule must be received by OSCA on or before September 1.
 - (c) The Chief Justice or designee shall issue any disapprovals on or before December 15 of the same year.
 - (d) Judicial districts shall file with OSCA a final certified copy and a final electronic copy in PDF which must be received by OSCA no later than January 1 of the next year. Those SLR shall become effective on February 1 of the next year.
 - (e) Proposed local rules submitted to the Chief Justice for review under subsection (2)(b) of this rule must show the proposed changes to the local rule as follows: proposed new wording in the SLR and proposed new SLR will be in bold and underlined and have braces placed before and after the new wording ({...}), wording proposed to be deleted and SLR proposed to be repealed will be in italics and have brackets placed before and after the deleted wording ([...]). When final SLR are submitted to the State Court Administrator after review under subsection (2)(b) of this rule, changes shall not be indicated as required by this subsection.
 - (f) The Chief Justice may waive the time limits in this section upon a showing of good cause.
 - (g) If a local rule is disapproved, notice of that action shall be given to the presiding judge of the court submitting the rule.
- (3) Enforceability of Local Practices Not Contained in SLR

When any local practice is not contained in a court's SLR, the court may not enforce such local practice or impose any sanction therefore, unless the court has first afforded the party or attorney a reasonable opportunity to cure the violation by complying with the local practice.

1987 Commentary:

Subsection (2) renumbered as paragraph (1)(c) as of August 1, 1994: This subsection requires a court to promulgate local rules governing the scheduling and notification of counsel for trials, show cause hearings, and for motions. The purpose of this subsection is to give counsel, everywhere in the state, notice of how critical case events are scheduled by each local court. The purpose of this subsection, therefore, is not to promote any particular calendaring procedure, but rather to eliminate unwritten rules of court.

1.060 NUMBERING OF COURT RULES

UTCR shall be numbered as follows:

- (1) Chapters and sections shall be numbered with Arabic numerals. Chapters shall be designated to the left of the decimal point. Sections shall be designated to the right of the decimal point. There shall be three decimal places to the right of the decimal point.
- (2) When a section consists of more than one primary paragraph, each shall be numbered with an Arabic number in parentheses.
- (3) If a section contains only one primary paragraph, which includes secondary paragraphs, the primary paragraph shall not be numbered, but the secondary paragraphs shall be numbered with Arabic numbers in parentheses.
- (4) If a section contains more than one primary paragraph, any one or more of which includes a secondary paragraph, the secondary paragraphs shall be designated by lower case letters in parentheses.
- (5) The use of paragraphs beyond primary and secondary paragraphs should be avoided.
- (6) SLR approved pursuant to UTCR 1.050 must conform to this rule.

1.070 CITATION OF COURT RULES

- (1) The Uniform Trial Court Rules (UTCR) shall be cited as UTCR by chapter and section number. Paragraph numbers and letters shall be included in the citation when appropriate.
- (2) Supplementary local rules of the trial courts shall be cited as SLR by chapter and section number. Paragraph numbers and letters shall be included in the citation when appropriate. Identification of the particular court, county or judicial district which issued the rules also shall be included when such identification is necessary.

1.080 FORMAT AND LOCATION OF COURT RULES

- (1) All UTCR and SLR must include a table of contents; must be printed on paper measuring 8-1/2 x 11 inches; printing must be on both sides when practical; each sheet must be three-hole punched to fit a standard three-ring binder.
- (2) Each page of the SLR must include a footer that shows the following: the page number, the revision date applicable to the set of SLR, the judicial district number, and the name of the court.
- (3) A court that wishes to have a chapter dedicated to alternative dispute resolution (ADR) must use Chapter 12 for all rules pertaining to the court's ADR program. All other SLR must be numbered as closely as possible to and in the same chapter as related UTCR, without using numbers reserved for UTCR. The following chapter numbers are reserved for the placement of SLR related to the subjects described for the chapter numbers:
 - (a) Chapter 12, SLR relating to mediation.

- (b) Chapter 14, SLR relating to reference judges.
- (c) Chapter 15, SLR relating to small claims.
- (d) Chapter 16, SLR relating to violations.
- (e) Chapter 17, SLR relating to local parking violations.
- (f) Chapter 18, SLR relating to Forcible Entry and Detainer (FED) actions.
- (g) Chapter 20, SLR relating to voluntary arbitration.

1991 Commentary:

For purposes of UTCR 1.080(3) the Committee did not intend that SLR required by UTCR 1.050(1)(c) be placed in Chapter 1 but intended that such SLR be placed in Chapter 7 or other chapters related to the particular subject.

1.090 SANCTIONS

- (1) For failure to file a pleading or other document in the manner, the form or the time required by these rules or SLR, the court may strike the pleading or document.
- (2) For willful and prejudicial resistance or refusal to comply with UTCR or SLR, the court, on its own motion or that of a party after opportunity for a hearing, may do any of the following:
 - (a) Assess against the noncompliant party or attorney or both reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys fees incurred by a party, attorney or the court.
 - (b) Otherwise award reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys fees incurred by a party, attorney or the court.
 - (c) Strike the offending pleading or other document.
 - (d) Treat as established an allegation or claim.

1.100 RELIEF FROM APPLICATION OF COURT RULES

Relief from application of these rules or SLR in an individual case may be given by a judge on good cause shown if necessary to prevent hardship or injustice.

1.110 DEFINITIONS

As used in these rules:

- (1) "Court contact information" means the following information about a person submitting a document: the person's name, a mailing address, a telephone number, and an email address and a facsimile transmission number, if any, sufficient to enable the court to communicate with the person and to enable any other party to the case to serve the

person under UTCR 2.080(1). Court contact information can be other than the person's actual address or telephone or fax number, such as a post office box or message number, provided that the court and adverse parties can contact the person with that information.

- (2) "Days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise specified in these rules.
- (3) "Defendant" or "Respondent" means any party against whom a claim for relief is asserted.
- (4) "Document" means any instrument filed or submitted in any type of proceeding, including any exhibit or attachment referred to in the instrument. Depending on the context, "document" may refer to an instrument in either paper or electronic form.
- (5) "Party" means a litigant or the litigant's attorney.
- (6) "Plaintiff" or "Petitioner" means any party asserting a claim for relief, whether by way of claim, third-party claim, crossclaim, or counterclaim.
- (7) "Trial Court Administrator" means the court administrator, the administrative officer of the records section of the court, and where appropriate, the trial court clerk.

1.120 DISBURSING MONIES; MOTION AND ORDER

- (1) The trial court administrator will not disburse monies without order of the court in any instance where the trial court administrator is unable to determine any of the following:
 - (a) The amount to be disbursed including, but not limited to, instances where the trial court administrator is required to calculate interest, past payments, or proceeds remaining from a sale.
 - (b) The specific party or parties to whom the trial court administrator is to disburse monies.
- (2) In any instance described under subsection (1), the trial court administrator must give notice to the presiding judge and to any parties the trial court administrator can reasonably determine might have an interest in the monies. The following apply to notice under this subsection:
 - (a) Notice must be in writing.
 - (b) Notice must include all the following to the extent possible: an indication that it is being given under this section, the amount of the money in question, identification of the source from which the trial court administrator received the money, a copy of any document received with the money, a description of the circumstances of receiving the money, identification of any case to which the trial court administrator can determine the monies may be related, and a description of the reasons for not disbursing monies.
 - (c) The trial court administrator shall enter in the register the fact of giving the notice, the time of giving notice, the manner of giving notice, and the persons to whom notice was given.
- (3) At any time the trial court administrator does not disburse monies for reasons described under subsection (1) of this section or for any other reason, the court or any person with

an interest in the money may submit a motion for an order to disburse the monies. The following apply to a motion under this subsection:

- (a) Notice of the motion must be given to persons which the submitting party reasonably determines might have an interest in the money.
 - (b) The motion must indicate that it is being submitted under this section.
 - (c) The motion must include all the following: an explanation of the party's interest in the money, supporting mathematical calculations showing the amount of money that should be disbursed, any supporting documentation or affidavits that might assist the court in its determination, the name and address of the person to whom the monies should be disbursed, a proposed order to disburse.
 - (d) The motion is not a new filing or appearance but a continuation of an existing proceeding and no fee is required for filing the motion.
- (4) If the court determines money is to be disbursed, the court must enter an order to disburse directing specific amounts of money held by the trial court administrator to be disbursed and specific persons to whom the trial court administrator is to disburse the monies.
 - (5) A trial court administrator must hold any monies subject to this section in the court trust account and follow the established accounting procedures until the trial court administrator receives the order to disburse.

1990 Commentary (statutory citations updated August 1, 2014):

Situations to which this section applies include, but are not limited to, a trial court administrator receiving and being unable to disburse monies under ORS 18.422(3), 18.872(2), 18.950, 87.475(3), or 88.100.

1.130 TIME COMPUTATION

ORCP 10 shall be followed in computing any time period prescribed by these rules.

1.140 REQUESTS FOR EXTENDED RETENTION OF COURT RECORDS

- (1) Notwithstanding the retention period established in the schedule adopted by the State Court Administrator under ORS 8.125, the following procedures allow persons to extend records retention as described:
 - (a) **AUTOMATIC EXTENSION.** Any party to a case may request an automatic extension of retention for records described in this paragraph that are related to the person's case. A trial court administrator will automatically grant a request under this paragraph. The court will not discard records subject to the request before one year from the date of entry of the request for automatic extension in the register of actions. A party may submit a new request under this paragraph prior to the expiration of a previous request. An automatic extension of records retention under this paragraph can apply only to the following records for the requestor's case:

- (i) Records shown by the register maintained under ORS 7.020 as having been received by the court in the case, other documents maintained in the court file specifically established for the case, and the register of actions and judgment docket for that specific case.
 - (ii) The audio or video recordings and logs, court reporter notes or transcripts for that case which the court has and which are identified with the case number.
- (b) JUDICIAL EXTENSION. Any person may request a judicial extension of the retention period for any records maintained by a court as described by this paragraph. Granting a request under this paragraph is at the court's discretion. The court will not discard records for which an extension is granted under this paragraph before the date certain set in the extension order. Where an extension order under this paragraph does not establish a specific date for extended retention, the extension runs for one year from the date an order granting the extension is entered in the register of actions. A request for a judicial extension under this paragraph can be made:
 - (i) For records not covered by paragraph (a) of this subsection.
 - (ii) By a person seeking an extension for records subject to paragraph (a) of this subsection for a period longer than provided under paragraph (a).
 - (iii) By any person not allowed to request an automatic extension under paragraph (a) of this subsection.
- (2) EVERY REQUEST under this rule must:
 - (a) Be in writing, or where available, on the form specified by the court.
 - (b) Be submitted to the trial court administrator for the court where the records are maintained.
 - (c) Where the records subject to a request relate to a specific case, specify the case number and case title for the applicable case.
 - (d) Indicate that the request is being made under this rule.
- (3) In addition to the requirements under subsection (2) of this rule, every request for an AUTOMATIC EXTENSION under this rule must:
 - (a) Be accompanied by an affidavit.
 - (b) Specify the records described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule to which the request applies.
 - (c) Be a separate request for each case.
- (4) In addition to the requirements under subsection (2) of this rule, every request for a JUDICIAL EXTENSION under this rule must:
 - (a) Be accompanied by a supporting affidavit giving the reason for the request.

- (b) Include a proposed order which provides a specific date to which the extended retention will run.
 - (c) If the request relates to records not described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule, specify the records with sufficient detail for the court clerk to be able to identify the records to be retained. A request does not meet the requirement to specify records with sufficient detail for purposes of this paragraph if a request requires a clerk to perform substantial research to either identify the records or determine whether the records exist.
 - (d) If the request relates to records described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule, specify the records described under paragraph (1)(a) of this rule to which the request applies.
- (5) No fee will be charged for a request under this rule.
- (6) Where the schedule adopted under ORS 8.125 specifies that a retention period runs from last document entry in the register of actions, entry in the register of a request or order granting or denying a request under this rule changes that retention period only to the extent granted under, according to the provisions of, and for the times established by this rule.

1.160 FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH COURTS; LOCAL SLR

- (1) A document to be filed with the court, including any document submitted to a judge or judicial staff, is not considered filed until it is accepted by court staff designated by the trial court administrator to accept court filings.
- (2) A local court may adopt an SLR to designate where a filing may be submitted. SLR 1.161 is reserved for courts to designate where filings may be submitted.
- (3) Proposed orders and judgments awaiting judicial signature may be delivered to a judge or judicial staff as otherwise permitted or required under these rules.
- (4) A judicial district must accept a filing that is substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, if the proper fee is tendered when required and the document is filed in compliance with all applicable statutes and rules.

1.170 COURT WEBSITES; HOURS OF COURT OPERATION

- (1) SLR 1.171 is reserved for each judicial district to identify the website addresses of its court. Links to these websites may also be found at the Oregon Judicial Department website: <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/Pages/index.aspx>.
- (2) Each judicial district must announce on its website the following information: when each court location in the judicial district is open to conduct business; the hours when documents will be received for filing at each location, if different from when the court location is open to conduct business; and special arrangements, if any exist or may be made, for delivery of documents for filing at times when the court location is not open to conduct business, other than by electronic filing.

1.200 INFORMATION ON FREE OR LOW-COST LOCAL LEGAL SERVICES

Each judicial district must post in a conspicuous location information, including the telephone numbers, of any free or low-cost legal services and other relevant services available in the district and the nature of those services.

CHAPTER 2—Standards for Pleadings and Documents

2.010 FORM OF DOCUMENTS

Except where a different form is specified by statute or rule, the form of any document, including pleadings and motions, filed in any type of proceeding must be as prescribed in this rule.

(1) “Printed document,” as used in this rule, means any document wholly or partially printed.

(2) Size of Documents

All documents, except exhibits and wills, must be prepared in a manner that, if printed, would be letter-size (8-1/2 x 11 inches), except that smaller sizes may be used for bench warrants, commitments, uniform citations and complaints and other documents otherwise designated by the court.

(3) Documents Must be Printed or Typed; Binding Documents; Use of Staples Generally Prohibited

- (a) All documents must be printed or typed, except that blanks in preprinted forms may be completed in handwriting and notations by the trial court administrator or judge may be made in handwriting.
- (b) Pleadings and other documents submitted to the court for filing that are not electronically filed must be bound by paperclip or binder clip and must not contain staples. If the document includes an attachment, including a documentary exhibit, an affidavit, or a declaration, then the attachment must be bound in one packet to the document being filed by paperclip or binder clip.
- (c) A document or document with attachments submitted to chambers must be stapled as one packet or otherwise bound as practical, depending on the size of the document and attachments.

(4) Spacing, Paging and Numbered Lines

- (a) All pleadings, motions and requested instructions must be double-spaced and prepared with numbered lines.
- (b) All other documents may be single-spaced and the lines need not be numbered.
- (c) On the first page of each pleading or similar document, two inches at the top of the page shall be left blank.
- (d) All documents, except exhibits and wills, shall be prepared with a one-inch margin on each side.

(5) Backing Sheets

The use of backing sheets is prohibited.

(6) Party Signatures and Electronic Court signatures

- (a) The name of the party or attorney signing any pleading or motion must be typed or printed immediately below the signature. All signatures must be dated.

- (b) The court may issue judicial decisions electronically and may affix a signature by electronic means.
 - (i) The trial court administrator must maintain the security and control of the means for affixing electronic signatures.
 - (ii) Only the judge and the trial court administrator, or the judge's or trial court administrator's designee, may access the means for affixing electronic signatures.

(7) Attorney or Litigant Information

All documents must include the author's court contact information under UTCR 1.110(1) and, if prepared by an attorney, the name, email address, and the Bar number of the author and the trial attorney assigned to try the case. Any document not bearing the name and Bar number of an attorney as the author or preparer of the document must bear or be accompanied by a certificate in substantially the form as set out in Form 2.010.7 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.

(8) Distinct Paragraphs

All paragraphs in a pleading or motion must be numbered consecutively in the center of the page with Arabic numerals, beginning with the first paragraph of the document and continuing through the last. Subdivisions within a paragraph must be designated by lower case letters, enclosed in parentheses, placed at the left margin of each subdivision.

(9) Exhibits

- (a) When an exhibit is appended to a filed document, each page of the exhibit must be identified by the word "Exhibit" or "Ex" to appear at the bottom right-hand side of the exhibit, followed by an Arabic numeral identifying the exhibit. Each page number of the exhibit must appear in Arabic numerals immediately below the exhibit number;
e.g.: "Exhibit 2
Page 10"
- (b) Exhibits appended to a pleading may be incorporated by reference in a later pleading.
- (c) Except where otherwise required by statute, an exhibit appended to a document must be limited to only material, including an excerpt from another document, that is directly and specifically related to the subject of, and referred to in, the document. A responding party may timely file an additional excerpt or the complete document that the party believes is directly and specifically related. The court may require a party to file an additional excerpt or the complete document.

(10) Information at Bottom of Each Page

The name of the document, and the page number expressed in Arabic numerals, must appear at the bottom left-hand side of each page of each document.

(11) Caption

- (a) Each document submitted to the court for filing must include a caption located near the top of the first page that identifies the following:

- (i) the court to which the document is being submitted for filing;
 - (ii) the names of the parties;
 - (iii) an identification of the parties' roles;
 - (iv) the case number; and
 - (v) a document title that identifies the document being filed, for example, "complaint," "answer," or "motion for stay." Except for the complaint or petition initiating the case, or the initial answer or response, the document title must identify the filing party, for example, "Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment." When there are multiple parties on a side, the document title must suitably identify the party submitting the document, for example, "Plaintiff Smith's Motion for Stay" or "Defendant MegaCorp.'s Motion to Dismiss."
- (b) The document title of each complaint or petition must indicate the type of claim, such as "personal injury," "breach of contract," "specific performance," or "reformation of contract." If more than one claim for relief is requested, then the body of the pleading also must indicate the type of claim, at the beginning of each claim for relief.
 - (c) Every motion directed at a pleading must show in the document title the name of the pleading against which it is directed.

(12) Orders, Judgments or Writs

- (a) Except for electronically filed documents subject to UTCR 21.040(3), the judge's signature portion of any order, judgment or writ prepared for the court must appear on a page containing at least two lines of the text. Orders, judgments or writs embodying the ruling of a particular judge must have the name of the judge typed, stamped or printed under the signature line.
- (b) If the order, judgment or writ is prepared by a party, the name and identity of the party submitting the order must appear therein, preceded by the words "submitted by." See the commentary to this subsection, located at the end of this rule.
- (c) A motion must be submitted as a separate document from any proposed form of order deciding the motion. A motion submitted as a single document with an order may not be filed unless the order has been ruled upon and signed by a judge.

(13) Citation of Oregon Cases

In all matters submitted to the circuit courts, Oregon cases must be cited by reference to the Oregon Reports as: Blank v. Blank, ___ Or ___ (year) or as State v. Blank, ____ Or App ____ (year). Parallel citations may be added.

(14) Notice of Address or Telephone Number Change

An attorney or self-represented party whose court contact information changes must immediately provide notice of that change to the trial court administrator and all other parties.

(15) Application to Court Forms

Forms created by the Oregon Judicial Department are not required to comply with the provisions of UTCR 2.010(4) or (8) where the Oregon Judicial Department determines variation from those provisions will promote administrative convenience for courts or parties. Such forms and exact copies of such forms may be used and submitted to courts without challenge under UTCR 2.010(4) or (8).

1993 Commentary to section (12)(b):

Subsection (b) of Section (12) requires that the information include the author's name (signature not required), followed by an identification of party being represented, plaintiff or defendant.

Example: Submitted by:

A. B. Smith

Attorney for Plaintiff (or Defendant)

An exception to this style would be in cases where there is more than one plaintiff or one defendant. In those situations, the author representing one defendant or plaintiff, but not all, should include the last name (full name when necessary for proper identification) after the designation of plaintiff or defendant.

Example: Submitted by:

A. B. Smith

Attorney for Plaintiff Clarke

1996 Commentary:

The UTCR Committee strongly encourages the use of recycled paper and strongly recommends that all original pleadings, motions, requested instructions, copies, and service copies be on recycled paper having the highest available content of postconsumer waste.

2.020 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When a summons or other civil process is served by one other than a sheriff or deputy sheriff, the certificate of service must include the name, telephone number and address of the person who served the summons or process.

2.030 MATTERS UNDER ADVISEMENT MORE THAN 60 DAYS

- (1) If any judge shall have any matter under advisement for a period of more than 60 days, it shall be the duty of all parties to call the matter to the court's attention forthwith, in writing.
- (2) If the matter remains under advisement for 90 days, all parties are required again to call the matter to the judge's attention forthwith, in writing, with copies to the presiding judge, if any, and the Chief Justice.

2.050 ATTORNEY FEES ON WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS

When attorney fees are based on a written instrument, the original or a true copy of the instrument must be submitted to the court with the requested judgment, unless a true copy is attached to or set out in the pleadings. This rule also applies to reciprocal fees claimed under ORS 20.096. If an original or copy is not available, the court may require proof by affidavit or testimony.

2.060 ENTERING JUDGMENT ON FACE OF NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT

- (1) In all cases when a judgment is to be based on a negotiable instrument, as defined in ORS 73.0104, the party obtaining judgment must tender the original instrument to the court before the entry of judgment, unless the court has found that such party is entitled to enforce the instrument under ORS 73.0309, and the court must enter a notation of the judgment on the face of the instrument.
- (2) The trial court administrator shall return the original instrument only after filing a certified copy of the instrument.

1987 Commentary:

The rule is silent on the time when the judgment notation is to be entered on the face of the instrument. The rule permits the holding of documents submitted at the time the judgment is entered while delaying endorsement until after the court receives confirmation of the sheriff's sale.

2.070 NOTICE IN PLEADINGS

The title of a pleading, including a claim, counterclaim, cross claim, or third-party claim, must comply with UTCR 13.060 regarding Arbitration; UTCR 5.090 (1) regarding Water Rights Cases; and, UTCR 5.090 (2) regarding claims subject to sections 7, 13, 21 and 23, chapter 5 Oregon Laws 2013 – actions against a health care practitioner or health care facility.

2.080 COMMUNICATION WITH COURT

- (1) Except as exempted by statute, UTCR 2.100, or UTCR 2.110, when written communication is made to the court, copies must simultaneously be mailed or delivered to all other parties and indication made on the original of such mailing or delivery.
- (2) All written communication to the court shall refer to the title of the cause and the case number.

2.090 FILINGS FOR CONSOLIDATED CASES

- (1) Cases that are consolidated are consolidated for purposes of hearing or trial only. A party filing any pleading, memorandum, or other document applicable to more than one case must file the document in each case using existing case numbers and captions unless otherwise ordered by the court or provided by SLR. If such a document is not

electronically filed, the filing party must provide the trial court administrator with sufficient copies.

- (2) A court order or SLR under this rule may permit designation of a lead case and require that parties file documents using only the case number and caption of the lead case.
- (3) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a party filing a document applicable to only one case must file only in that case.

2.100 PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN SUBMITTING

(1) Purpose

- (a) This rule establishes procedures for a person to identify and segregate protected personal information when submitting a document to a court in a case and to request the information be kept from inspection by the general public.
- (b) This rule establishes a process for a court, when it grants a request under this rule, to protect the segregated, protected personal information from nonprotected information in a uniform way with an appropriate record.
- (c) UTCR 2.130 establishes separate procedures and processes for protecting personal information in proceedings brought under ORS chapters 25, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, and 416 or initiated under ORS 24.190, ORS 30.866, ORS 124.010, or ORS 163.763.

(2) Information Covered. As used in this rule:

- (a) “Protected personal information” means specific individual facts that, unless segregated, would otherwise be in a submitted document to identify a person submitting the document or another person beyond that person’s name or to identify the financial activities of either and which the court is allowed or required by law to keep confidential.
- (b) “Protected personal information” includes, but is not limited to:
 - (i) Social Security numbers, credit card numbers, bank or other financial account numbers, bank or other financial account locations, driver license numbers, financial account access numbers, or similar information that is used for financial transactions and can be kept confidential under ORS 192.502(2).
 - (ii) Maiden names, birth dates, and places of birth that can be kept confidential under ORS 192.502(2).
 - (iii) Facts about a person’s identity or the identity of the person’s financial activities that is other than contact information and that can be exempt from public inspection under the Oregon Public Records Law (OPRL, ORS 192.410 to 192.505).
 - (iv) Facts other than contact information that can otherwise be protected under specific law, including, but not limited to, information protected by existing court orders.

- (c) “Protected personal information” does not include entire documents, contact information, or, except as ordered by a court, information that is not both personal and related to a person’s identity beyond their name or their financial activities.
 - (d) “Contact information” means: the name of a person submitting a document or of a person on whose behalf a document is being submitted; telephone numbers; personal or business addresses; email addresses; employer identification and address; or similar facts that make it possible for another to contact a person who is named in a document.
- (3) Relationship to Other Law. The following all apply to this rule:
- (a) Parties to proceedings under ORS 107.085 or 107.485 must segregate all Social Security numbers from all documents they submit related to the proceedings in the manner provided by UTCR 2.130. These Social Security numbers are confidential in the custody of the court as ORS 107.840 provides. Other than as this paragraph, UTCR 2.130, or SLR 2.101 of a court provides, this rule is not the exclusive means for a court to protect personal information from public inspection.
 - (b) All judicial districts must allow requests to segregate protected personal information under this rule as a way to keep it separate from information subject to public inspection. However, courts may use SLR to establish other procedures related to identifying and protecting information courts are allowed or required to keep confidential. But, SLR 2.101 is preserved for purposes of a court to:
 - (i) require use of forms or procedures under this rule as the exclusive way to identify specific protected personal information so a court can segregate the information and protect it from public inspection; and
 - (ii) establish requirements supplemental to this rule as necessary to help administer this rule.
 - (c) Nothing in this rule precludes a court from protecting information by appropriate court order.
 - (d) Nothing in this rule affects or applies to procedures for identifying and protecting contact information:
 - (i) Of crime victims that is submitted to courts for processing restitution payments when restitution is sought and the information about a crime victim is kept confidential under ORS 18.048(2)(b).
 - (ii) That can be made confidential under ORS 25.020(8)(d), 109.767(5), 110.575, or 192.445.
- (4) Procedure to Follow. A person may only request protected personal information be segregated and protected under this rule when submitting it to a court in a case. The procedures under this rule may be used to identify and separately present protected personal information from any submitted document or form that is used to give information to a court. To do so, a person must do the following:
- (a) Place in the document from which the protected personal information is being segregated a written notation to the effect that the information is being separately submitted under UTCR 2.100.

- (b) Complete an affidavit in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.100.4a. The affidavit must describe generally the protected personal information and set out the legal authority for protecting the information.
 - (c) Complete an information sheet in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.100.4b to duplicate the protected personal information sought to be segregated. The information sheet must be submitted as a separate document, not as an attachment to the affidavit prepared under UTCR 2.100(4)(b).
 - (d) File the completed forms and attachments with the court along with, but not attached to, the document from which the protected personal information is segregated.
 - (e) For purposes of UTCR 2.080, mail or deliver to parties a copy of the affidavit only, and not the information sheet or any attachments to the information sheet.
- (5) More Than Once in a Case. If a court segregates specific protected personal information from a specific document under this rule:
- (a) The court is under no obligation to look for or segregate the same protected personal information from other documents in the file for that case or other cases that were not specifically addressed by a request under this rule or from any documents subsequently submitted to the court except when procedures under this rule to segregate from the specific document are again used.
 - (b) As long as the specific protected personal information remains current, a person need not submit an affidavit and information sheet under this rule each subsequent time the already segregated information would be submitted in that case. The person may simply add a written notation to any document subsequently submitted to the effect that the information has already been submitted in that case under UTCR 2.100.
- (6) Court Response. When a completed request is filed under this rule and the court grants the request to segregate, the court will do the following:
- (a) Maintain the UTCR Form 2.100.4b and any attachments to it as not subject to public inspection unless there is a question about the court's legal authority to keep the specific information from public inspection. The requestor need not obtain the signature of a judge. As official custodian of the case file under the OPRL, the trial court administrator will resolve any question about whether, or the extent to which, information may be kept from disclosure under this rule unless statute or court order expressly provides otherwise. A request under this rule to keep information confidential, segregated, or exempt from public inspection is not subject to challenge and hearing except as specifically required by law.
 - (b) Keep the affidavit in the case file.
 - (c) Send notice confirming that a request is granted or denied only if the person includes a self-addressed, postage prepaid postcard that the court can use for that task. The postcard must also include the following text, to be filled in as indicated for the court to mail:

"Dear _____ (*person requesting print your name here*), Your request of _____ (*insert date of request*) to segregate specific protected personal information from information the general public can inspect in the

case file for case number _____ (*insert case number*) in the Circuit Court for _____ (*insert county*) County (*the court will check and complete the appropriate following response before mailing*):

☐ Was granted on _____ (*court will insert date*) and the segregated information sheet you submitted will be maintained separately from information available for public inspection. _____ (*initial of appropriate court employee*)

☐ Was denied in part or entirely because (*court will explain and provide contact information for further action*): _____.”

(7) Limits on Protection. When the court grants a request under this rule, the court will protect the submitted Form 2.100.4b from being placed where the general public can inspect it. However, the following limits apply to this confidentiality:

- (a) A person may inspect the information sheet or attachments that person submitted.
- (b) A person other than the person who submitted the information sheet or attachments may inspect the information sheet or attachments with a currently effective release by the person whose information is protected. The release must be signed by the person giving the release, dated, and establish a period during which the release will be effective.
- (c) Any person who has a right by law to inspect the information sheet or attachments may do so. This includes Oregon Judicial Department personnel who require the information for their work.
- (d) Courts will share the information sheets and attachments with other government agencies as required or allowed by law, without court order or application under subsection (8) of this rule, for purposes of the business of those agencies. Those agencies are required to maintain the information as confidential as provided under ORS 192.502(10).
- (e) Courts will share the information sheets and attachments with the entity primarily responsible for providing support enforcement services under ORS 25.080 and under the requirements of 42 USC 666 without application under subsection (8) of this rule in any case in which spouse or child support is ordered.

(8) Inspecting or Copying Protected Personal Information.

- (a) Except as specifically provided in subsection (7) of this rule, any person who seeks to inspect or copy information segregated and kept from public inspection under this rule must make the request by using a form substantially like UTCR Form 2.100.8 and copy the requestor shown on the affidavit and parties to the case as required by UTCR 2.080. A court will only grant a request if the person requesting has a right by law, including this rule, to see the information. The court will indicate on the form its response to the request and maintain a copy of all the request forms, with its response, in the case file as a public record.
- (b) Any person inspecting information segregated and kept from public inspection under this rule must not further disclose the information, except:

- (i) within the course and scope of the client-lawyer relationship, unless limited or prohibited by court order;
 - (ii) as authorized by law; or
 - (iii) as ordered by the court.
 - (c) Violation of subsection (b) of this section may subject a person to contempt of court under ORS 33.015 to 33.155.
- (9) Denied Requests. If a court denies a request under this rule:
- (a) For every piece of personal information on a UTCR Form 2.100.4b, the court will attach the affidavit and form to the document from which the information was segregated and place all in the case file.
 - (b) For only some of the personal information on a UTCR Form 2.100.4b, the court will:
 - (i) create a copy of the form where the information to be protected is redacted,
 - (ii) protect the original form as otherwise provided in this rule, and
 - (iii) attach the affidavit and the redacted copy of the form to the document from which the information was segregated and place the affidavit and redacted copy of the form in the case file.

2.110 PROTECTED PERSONAL INFORMATION, NOT CONTACT INFORMATION, PROCEDURES TO SEGREGATE WHEN INFORMATION ALREADY EXISTS IN A CASE FILE

- (1) Purpose. This rule establishes:
 - (a) Procedures for a person to identify and segregate protected personal information when that information already exists in a document in a court case file and to request the information be kept from inspection by the general public.
 - (b) A process for a court, when it grants a request under this rule, to segregate and protect personal information from nonprotected information in the case file in a uniform way with an appropriate record.
- (2) Information Covered. This rule may be followed to segregate and protect the same information already existing in a case file that could be segregated and protected at the time of submission under UTCR 2.100 and UTCR 2.130. The definitions in UTCR 2.100 apply to this rule.
- (3) Relationship to Other Law. The following all apply to this rule:
 - (a) This rule is not the exclusive means for a court to protect personal information in case files from public inspection.
 - (b) Courts may use SLR to establish other procedures related to identifying and protecting information courts are allowed or required to keep confidential. But, SLR 2.111 is preserved for purposes of a court to:

- (i) require use of forms or procedures under this rule to identify specific protected personal information so that a court can segregate the information and protect it from public inspection; and
 - (ii) establish requirements supplemental to this rule as necessary to help administer this rule.
- (c) Nothing in this rule affects or applies to procedures for identifying and protecting contact information:
 - (i) Of crime victims that is submitted to courts for processing restitution payments when restitution is sought and the information about a crime victim is kept confidential under ORS 18.048(2)(b).
 - (ii) That can be made confidential under ORS 25.020(8)(d), 109.767(5), 110.575, or 192.445.
- (4) Procedure to Follow. A person may only request protected personal information be segregated under this rule when the information is already in a document that has become part of a court case file. To do so, a person must do all the following:
 - (a) Complete an affidavit in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.110.4a. The affidavit must:
 - (i) Describe generally the protected personal information and set out the legal authority for protecting the information.
 - (ii) Specifically identify the case file, document in the case file, and the page number of the page that is sought to be redacted.
 - (iii) Be accompanied by a copy of that page sought to be redacted showing specifically the protected personal information to be redacted.
 - (b) Complete an information sheet in substantially the form provided in UTCR Form 2.100.4b to duplicate the protected personal information sought to be segregated. The information sheet must be submitted as a separate document, not as an attachment to the affidavit prepared under UTCR 2.110(4)(a).
 - (c) File the completed forms and attachments with the court.
 - (d) Pay the required fee set by Chief Justice Order.
 - (e) For purposes of UTCR 2.080, mail or deliver to parties a copy of the affidavit only and not the information sheet or any attachments to the information sheet.
- (5) Court Response. When a completed request is filed under this rule and granted by the court, the court will do the following:
 - (a) Segregate and protect the specifically identified protected personal information from the specific location in the specific document that is the object of the request unless there is a question about the court's legal authority to keep the specific information from public inspection. The requestor need not obtain the signature of a judge. As official custodian of the case file under the OPRL, the trial court administrator will resolve any question about whether, or the extent to which, information may be kept

from disclosure under this rule unless statute or court order expressly provides otherwise. A request under this rule to keep information confidential, segregated, or exempt from public inspection is not subject to challenge and hearing except as specifically provided by law.

- (b) Separate and maintain the information sheet and any attachments as not subject to public inspection. Once the information sheet is separated, place the affidavit in the case file.
- (c) Replace any page from which the specific information is removed with a redacted copy of the page and keep the original, unmodified page with the information sheet and its attachments. Any substitute page from which the specific information is removed will include a notation of the date and responsible individual and that the redacting was done under this rule. Courts will separate information and redact documents under this rule according to the State Court Administrator's direction, or as otherwise specifically provided by law.
- (d) Send a notice confirming completion of work, that work cannot be completed for some reason, or that a request is denied only if the person includes a self-addressed, postage prepaid postcard that the court can use for that task. The postcard must also include the following text to be filled in as indicated for the court to mail:

"Dear _____ (*person requesting print your name here*), Your request of _____ (*insert date of request*) to segregate specific personal information from information the general public can inspect in the case file for case number _____ (*insert case number*) in the Circuit Court for _____ (*insert county*) County (*court will check and complete the appropriate following response*):

☐ Was completed on _____ (*insert date*). _____ (*initials of appropriate court employee*)

☐ Could not be completed because (*explain and provide contact information for further action*): _____

☐ Was denied because (*explain and provide contact information for further action*): _____

- (6) Time Limits, Court Authority to Refuse Request Based on Resources. This rule sets no time limit for courts to segregate information from existing court records when requested under this rule. Courts have a reasonable time given their ordinary workload and resources available. And, notwithstanding other parts of this rule, a court is not required to segregate information from existing court records based on a request under this rule if the workload created would adversely affect the resources available for a court to perform its ordinary duties.

- (7) Parts of UTCR 2.100 and UTCR 2.130 that apply to this rule. The following subsections of UTCR 2.100 are applicable to this rule: (2), (5), (7), (8), and (9). The following subsections of UTCR 2.130 are applicable to this rule: (1), (6), (9), and (10).

2.120 AFFIDAVITS

Unless otherwise mandated by statute or UTCR, a declaration under penalty of perjury, in substantially the same form as specified in ORCP 1E, may be used in lieu of an affidavit required or allowed by these rules.

2.130 CONFIDENTIAL PERSONAL INFORMATION IN FAMILY LAW AND CERTAIN PROTECTIVE ORDER PROCEEDINGS

- (1) Definitions. As used in this rule:

- (a) “Confidential personal information” means a party’s or a party’s child’s Social Security number; date of birth; driver license number; former legal names; and employer’s name, address, and telephone number.
- (b) “Confidential Information Form” (CIF) means a document substantially in the form provided in UTCR Form 2.130.1.
- (c) “Inspect” means the ability to review and copy a CIF to the same extent as any other document contained in a court file.

- (2) Mandatory Use of the CIF

- (a) When confidential personal information is required by statute or rule to be included in any document filed in a proceeding initiated under ORS chapters 25, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, or 416, or initiated under ORS 24.190, ORS 30.866, ORS 124.010, or ORS 163.763, the party providing the information:
 - (i) must file the information in a CIF,
 - (ii) must not include the information in any document filed with the court, and
 - (iii) must redact the information from any exhibit or attachment to a document filed with the court, but must not redact the information from a court-certified document required to be filed by statute or rule.
- (b) This rule does not apply to:
 - (i) the information required in a money award under ORS 18.042,
 - (ii) the former legal name of a party pursuant to a name change request under ORS 107.105(1)(h), or
 - (iii) a document filed in an adoption proceeding initiated under ORS 109.309.
- (c) Documents filed in a contempt action filed in a proceeding under ORS chapters 25, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, or 416, or a proceeding initiated under ORS 24.190, ORS 30.866, ORS 124.010, or ORS 163.763, are also subject to this rule.

- (d) A party must file a separate CIF for each person about whom the party is required to provide confidential personal information.
- (e) The confidential personal information of a minor child must be included in the CIF of the party providing the information.
- (3) Amending the CIF. A party must file an amended CIF when filing a document requiring confidential personal information about any party that has changed or is not contained in a previous CIF.
- (4) Form. A CIF or an amended CIF must be substantially in the form provided in UTCR Form 2.130.1.
- (5) Segregation. The court must segregate the CIF from documents that are subject to public inspection. Public inspection of a CIF is prohibited except as authorized by this rule or other provision of law.
- (6) Access and Confidentiality
 - (a) A party may inspect a CIF that was filed by that party.
 - (b) A party to a proceeding may inspect a CIF filed by another party:
 - (i) upon filing an affidavit of consent, signed and dated by the party whose information is to be inspected, that states the dates during which the consent is effective; or
 - (ii) upon entry of an order allowing inspection under UTCR 2.130(10)(a); or
 - (iii) if the CIF sought to be inspected contains only the inspecting party's confidential personal information.
 - (c) A person other than a party to the proceeding may inspect a CIF upon filing an affidavit of consent, signed and dated by the party whose information is to be inspected, that states the dates during which the consent is effective.
 - (d) Notwithstanding UTCR 2.120, a declaration under penalty of perjury may not be used in lieu of an affidavit required by this subsection.
 - (e) This rule does not limit a person's legal right to inspect a CIF as otherwise allowed by statute or rule.
 - (f) Oregon Judicial Department personnel may have access to a CIF when required for court business.
 - (g) Courts will share a CIF with the entity primarily responsible for providing support enforcement services under ORS 25.080 or 42 USC 666. A person receiving information under this section must maintain its confidentiality as required by ORS 25.260(2) and 192.502(10).
 - (h) Courts will share a CIF with other government agencies as required or allowed by law for agency business. Those agencies must maintain the confidentiality of the information as required by ORS 192.502(10).

- (i) Any person inspecting a CIF must not further disclose the confidential personal information except:
 - (i) within the course and scope of the client-lawyer relationship, unless limited or prohibited by court order;
 - (ii) as authorized by law; or
 - (iii) as ordered by the court.
 - (j) An order entered under UTCR 2.130(10)(d) may further limit disclosure of confidential personal information.
 - (k) Violation of subsection (i) or (j) in this section may subject a person to contempt of court under ORS 33.015 to 33.155.
- (7) **Notation on Documents.** When a statute or rule requires a party to provide confidential personal information in a document filed with the court, the party must not provide the information in the document and must note on the document that the information has been separately filed under UTCR 2.130.
- (8) **Mail or Delivery to Other Parties.** A party filing an original or amended CIF must mail or deliver notice to all parties to the proceeding that a CIF or amended CIF has been filed and must file a certificate of mailing or delivery. The notice must be substantially in the form provided in UTCR Form 2.130.2.
- (9) **Court Under No Obligation to Review File for Protected Information.** Subject to UTCR 2.110, the court is not required to redact confidential personal information from any document, regardless of when filed.
- (10) **Motion or Request to Inspect a CIF**
- (a) A party may file a motion and supporting affidavit for an order allowing inspection of a CIF containing the confidential personal information of another party. The court may grant the motion only after service on all parties and an opportunity for objection and hearing.
 - (b) Any person not a party to the proceeding may file a request and supporting affidavit requesting inspection of a CIF. The person must serve the request and supporting affidavit on all parties to the proceeding in the manner prescribed for service of summons in a civil action or by certified mail, return receipt requested. The court must allow the requesting person to inspect the CIF if the court finds, after notice and an opportunity for a hearing, that the requesting person is legally entitled to inspect the CIF, subject to subsection (c) below.
 - (c) The court must deny a motion or request to inspect a CIF if the court finds any of the following:
 - (i) A Finding of Risk and Order for Nondisclosure of Information has been entered by the Administrator of the Oregon Child Support Program under OAR 137-055-1160 for the party whose CIF is sought to be inspected.
 - (ii) A restraining order or other protective order is in effect that protects the party or the party's children from the person requesting inspection of the CIF.

- (iii) The health, safety, or liberty of the party or the party's children whose CIF is sought to be inspected would be jeopardized or unreasonably put at risk by disclosure of the CIF to another person.
 - (d) If the court grants a motion or request for an order allowing inspection of a CIF,
 - (i) the court may limit the extent of disclosure and may enter such protective orders as are necessary to balance the personal, privacy, and safety interests of the parties or children with the legal interest of the person seeking access; and
 - (ii) the requesting party must mail or deliver a copy of the order to all other parties and must file a certificate of mailing or delivery.
- (11) Other Court Orders
- (a) This rule is not the exclusive means for a court to protect personal information from public inspection.
 - (b) Nothing in this rule:
 - (i) Precludes a court from protecting information by appropriate court order.
 - (ii) Limits procedures for identifying and protecting contact information of crime victims that is submitted to courts for processing restitution payments when restitution is sought and the information about a crime victim is kept confidential under ORS 18.048(2)(b).
 - (iii) Limits the availability of procedures for protecting information, other than confidential personal information protected by this rule, under ORS 25.020(8)(d), 109.767(5), 110.575, 192.445, or any other rule or law.

2.140 APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OR DEFERRAL OF FEES OR COURT COSTS

- (1) The court must segregate an application for waiver or deferral of fees or court costs filed under ORS 21.698 from documents that are subject to public inspection. Public inspection of an application for waiver or deferral of fees or court costs is prohibited except as authorized by this rule or other provision of law.
- (2) Access and Confidentiality
 - (a) A party may inspect an application described in subsection (1) that was filed by that party.
 - (b) No other party to a proceeding may inspect an application described in subsection (1) filed by another party.
 - (c) This rule does not limit a person's legal right to inspect an application described in subsection (1) as otherwise allowed by ORS 21.698 or other provision of law.
 - (d) Oregon Judicial Department personnel may have access to an application described in subsection (1) when required for court business.

CHAPTER 3—Decorum In Proceedings

3.010 PROPER APPAREL

- (1) All persons attending the court must be dressed so as not to detract from the dignity of court. Members of the public not dressed in accordance with this rule may be removed from the courtroom.
- (2) When appearing in court, all attorneys and court officials must wear appropriate attire.

3.020 PROPER APPAREL FOR INCARCERATED WITNESSES AND DEFENDANTS APPEARING IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

Incarcerated witnesses and defendants appearing for trial must be dressed in neat, clean civilian clothing, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

3.030 MANNER OF ADDRESS

During trial, the litigants and litigants' attorneys must not address adult witnesses, jurors or opposing parties by their first names, and, except in *voir dire*, must not address jurors individually.

3.040 ADVICE TO CLIENTS AND WITNESSES OF COURTROOM FORMALITIES

Attorneys must advise their clients and witnesses of the formalities of the court and must encourage their cooperation. Self-represented parties must similarly advise their witnesses and encourage their cooperation.

3.050 PROPER POSITION OF PARTIES BEFORE COURT

Parties must:

- (1) rise from their positions at counsel table and remain standing while addressing the court or the jury, except during *voir dire*;
- (2) not approach the bench except by permission; and
- (3) be allowed to move freely about the courtroom during trial unless otherwise instructed by the court.

1991 Commentary:

This 1991 change is not intended by the Committee to transfer control of the conduct of the trial process from the trial judge to the litigants. The change is intended to facilitate the identification of exhibits by witnesses; the use of diagrams, photographs, and other exhibits by the examining attorney and witnesses; and to encourage the effective use of demonstrative evidence and exhibits in a manner facilitating the fact finder's understanding of the evidence. The Committee recognizes that there is the potential for abuse of this rule change, which may be distracting or

disruptive of the proceedings, and thus the court retains the ability to maintain appropriate decorum and order.

The Committee recognizes that there are a number of factors which may affect the extent to which free movement is appropriate in a particular case. Without attempting to be all inclusive, these factors may include such things as: the physical layout of the courtroom; the age of the witness; the emotional/physical condition of the witness; the size, number, and nature of exhibits; etc. The Committee therefore encourages communication between the litigants and the trial judge at the commencement of trial covering these considerations and resolving any uncertainty.

3.060 DEFENDANT IN CRIMINAL TRIAL

During arraignment, plea and sentence, the defendant must stand unless otherwise permitted by the court.

3.070 PERSONS PERMITTED WITHIN BAR OF COURT

Except as otherwise permitted by the court, during the trial of any case or the presentation of any matter to the court, no persons, including members of litigants' families, shall be permitted within the bar of the courtroom, other than clients, attorneys, court personnel and witnesses when called to the stand.

3.080 PROCEDURE FOR SWEARING WITNESSES

The swearing of witnesses shall be conducted as a serious ceremony and not as a mere formality.

3.090 UNDUE RECOGNITION OR FAMILIARITY BY JUDGE

Judges shall refrain from showing undue recognition of or familiarity with any person in the courtroom.

3.100 PROPER USE OF COURT CHAMBERS

Except when court business is being conducted, parties must not congregate in the court's chambers or use the facilities or the court's entryway between the chambers and the bench without the permission of the court.

3.110 CONFERENCES IN CHAMBERS

Conferences may be conducted in chambers and shall be conducted without litigants present unless required by the court, requested by a party or otherwise required.

3.120 COMMUNICATION WITH JURORS

- (1) Except as necessary during trial, and except as provided in subsection (2), parties, witnesses or court employees must not initiate contact with any juror concerning any case which that juror was sworn to try.
- (2) After a sufficient showing to the court and on order of the court, a party may have contact with a juror in the presence of the court and opposing parties when:
 - (a) there is a reasonable ground to believe that there has been a mistake in the announcing or recording of a verdict; or
 - (b) there is a reasonable ground to believe that a juror or the jury has been guilty of fraud or misconduct sufficient to justify setting aside or modifying the verdict or judgment.

3.130 DISCLOSURE OF RELATED MATTERS WHEN SEEKING COURT ORDER

When a party seeks to obtain an order from a judge, the party must inform that judge of any ruling, hearing or application for a ruling or hearing before any other judge that concerns the subject of the order requested.

3.140 RESIGNATION OF ATTORNEYS

- (1) An application to resign, a notice of termination, or a notice of substitution made pursuant to ORS 9.380 must contain the court contact information under UTCR 1.110 of the party and of the new attorney, if one is being substituted, and the date of any scheduled trial or hearing. The attorney's fax number and email address, if any, must also be included. It must be served on that party and the opposing party's attorney. If no attorney has appeared for the opposing party, the application must be served on the opposing party. A notice of withdrawal, termination, or substitution of attorney must be promptly filed.
- (2) The attorney who files the initial appearance for a party, or who personally appears for a party at arraignment on an offense, is deemed to be that party's attorney-of-record, unless at that time the attorney otherwise notifies the court and opposing party(ies) in open court or complies with subsection (1).
- (3) When an attorney is employed or appointed to appear in an already pending case, the attorney must immediately notify the court and the opposing party in writing or in open court. That attorney shall be deemed to be the attorney-of-record unless that attorney otherwise notifies the court.

1987 Commentary:

In subsection (3), a change of attorneys in a pending case requires notification to the opposing party and to the court. This rule makes no changes to ORCP procedures for taking a default judgment. It only addresses who will be considered the attorney of record in a case.

1991 Commentary:

UTCRC 3.140 is intended neither to establish new standards of professional responsibility nor to provide a method of discharging existing standards of professional responsibility. See DR 2-110.

3.150 NO REACTION TO JURY VERDICT

After the jury returns a verdict, all persons present in the courtroom must remain seated until the jury has left the room and must refrain from visibly or audibly reacting to the verdict in a manner which disrupts the dignity of the courtroom.

3.160 EXPLANATION OF PROCEEDINGS TO JURORS

In jury cases, after sustaining a dismissal of the case before verdict, the judge, in dismissing the jury, should, without discussion of the facts, briefly explain the procedure and why a verdict was unnecessary.

3.170 ASSOCIATION OF OUT-OF-STATE COUNSEL (*PRO HAC VICE*)

- (1) An attorney authorized to practice law before the highest court of record in any state or country ("out-of-state attorney") may appear on behalf of a party in any action, suit, or proceeding pending in this state before a court or administrative body even though that attorney is not licensed to practice law in this state, if the attorney satisfies all of the following requirements:
 - (a) Shows that the attorney is an attorney in good standing in another state or country.
 - (b) Certifies that the attorney is not subject to pending disciplinary proceedings in any other jurisdiction or provides a description of the nature and status of any pending disciplinary proceedings.
 - (c) Associates with an active member in good standing of the Oregon State Bar ("local attorney") who must participate meaningfully in the matter.
 - (d) Certifies that the attorney will: comply with applicable statutes, law, and procedural rules of the state of Oregon; be familiar with and comply with the disciplinary rules of the Oregon State Bar; and submit to the jurisdiction of the Oregon courts and the Oregon State Bar with respect to acts and omissions occurring during the out-of-state attorney's admission under this rule.
 - (e) If the attorney will engage in the private practice of law in this state, provides a certificate of insurance covering the attorney's activities in this state and providing professional liability insurance substantially equivalent to the Oregon State Bar Professional Liability Fund plan.
 - (f) Agrees, as a continuing obligation under this rule, to notify the trial court or administrative body promptly of any changes in the out-of-state attorney's insurance or status.

- (g) If application will be for an appearance before a court, pays any fees required by subsection (6) below for appearance under this rule. No fee is required if application will be for an appearance before an administrative body.
- (2) The information required by subsection (1) of this rule must be presented as follows:
- (a) If application will be for an appearance before a court, to the Oregon State Bar (Bar) in a form established by the Bar. The Bar may accomplish the submission of information by requiring a certificate with attachments or other means administratively convenient to the Bar. Upon receipt of all information necessary under subsection (1) of this section and receipt of the fee required by subsection (6) below, the Bar will acknowledge receipt in a form determined by the Bar. In making the acknowledgment, the Bar may attach copies or comment on any submitted material the Bar finds may be appropriate for a court to consider with an application under this section. The local attorney must then submit the Bar's acknowledgment with any information the Bar includes to the court by motion signed by the local attorney requesting the court to grant application under this section. The court may rely on the acknowledgment of the Bar as a basis to conclude that all information required to be submitted and fees required to be paid for granting an application under this section have been submitted and paid. Bar records on materials it receives under this section will be available to a court on request for two years or such longer period as the Bar considers administratively convenient.
 - (b) If the application is for an appearance before an administrative body, to the administrator of the agency before which the proceeding will occur or that person's designee or to any other appropriate officer, employee or designee of that agency as set forth by procedures or rules established by that agency. Application may be accomplished by an application certificate with attachments or other means administratively convenient to and established by the agency. Agency records on materials the agency or designee receives under this section will be available to the Bar on request for two years or such longer period as the agency considers administratively convenient.
- (3) The court or administrative body shall grant the application by order if the application satisfies the requirements of this rule, unless the court or administrative body determines for good cause shown that granting the application would not be in the best interest of the court or administrative body or the parties. At any time and upon good cause shown, the court or administrative body may revoke the out-of-state attorney's permission to appear in the matter.
- (4) Each time a court or administrative body grants an application under this rule or revokes an out-of-state attorney's permission to appear in a matter, the local attorney must provide a notice to the Bar of such occurrence in a manner and within the time determined by the Bar.
- (5) This rule applies to all judicial and administrative proceedings in this state. When a court or administrative body grants an application for approval to appear under this rule, the authorization allows that individual attorney to appear in all proceedings for a single case that occur within a year after the application is granted. Applications will not be granted for firms. There must be separate application and approval for any of the following: appearance by another out-of-state attorney representing the same or any other party; representation by the same out-of-state attorney in this state on another matter; any appearance that occurs later than that one-year period. The Bar or an administrative body may establish such abbreviated procedures and requirements as Bar or body finds

administratively convenient to limit unnecessary submission of duplicate information by an attorney who has already had application granted to appear in one proceeding and is seeking to appear in other proceedings or to renew an application at the end of a current one-year grant for a case.

- (6) Except as otherwise provided in this rule, for each application under this rule to appear before a court, the applicant must pay to the Bar a fee of \$500 at the time of submission of information under subsection (2) of this section, including when application is sought to renew an application at the end of a current one-year grant for a case. The fee will not be refundable.
- (7) Subject to the following, the Bar or any administrative agency acting under this section, may use electronic means to accomplish acts required or authorized under this section:
 - (a) The Bar shall provide acknowledgment under paragraph (2)(a) of this rule for court purposes by electronic means only upon approval of the State Court Administrator.
 - (b) No administrative agency may provide electronic means of notifying the Bar of a grant of application or revocation under this section without prior approval of the Bar.
- (8) An applicant is not required to pay the fee established by subsection (6) of this section if the applicant establishes to the satisfaction of the Bar that the applicant is employed by a government body and will be representing that government body in an official capacity in the proceeding that will be the subject of the application.

NOTE: UTCR 3.170 is adopted by the Oregon Supreme Court under ORS 9.241 and may be modified only by order of that Court.

3.180 MEDIA OR OTHER PUBLIC ACCESS COVERAGE OF COURT EVENTS

- (1) Courtrooms. Upon request or on the court's own motion, after notice to all parties, public access coverage shall be allowed in any courtroom, except as provided under this rule.
- (2) There shall be no public access coverage of the following:
 - (a) Proceedings in chambers.
 - (b) Any notes or conversations intended to be private including, but not limited to, counsel and judges at the bench and conferences involving counsel and their clients.
 - (c) Dissolution, juvenile, paternity, adoption, custody, visitation, support, civil commitment, trade secrets, and abuse, restraining and stalking order proceedings.
 - (d) At a victim's request, sex offense proceedings.
 - (e) *Voir dire*.
 - (f) Any juror anywhere during the course of the trial in which he or she sits.
 - (g) Recesses.
- (3) Limitations on Denial of Public Access Coverage in Courtrooms. A judge may limit or deny a request for or terminate public access coverage only if the judge makes findings of

fact on the record setting forth substantial reasons for the ruling. The judge may prohibit public access coverage if there is a reasonable likelihood of any of the following:

- (a) The public access coverage would interfere with the rights of the parties to a fair trial or would affect the presentation of evidence or outcome of the trial.
 - (b) Any cost or increased burden resulting from the public access coverage would interfere with the efficient administration of justice.
- (4) A judge may summarily prohibit public access coverage of a particular witness only if the judge finds on the record that public access coverage would endanger the welfare of the witness or materially hamper the witness' testimony.
- (5) **Areas Outside of Courtrooms.** The presiding judge may allow public access coverage in any area outside the courtroom that is on the courthouse premises and under the control and supervision of the court. Courts are encouraged to designate an area or areas outside the courtroom that is on the courthouse premises for public access coverage. For areas subject to this subsection, each judicial district, by SLR, may establish, for any court location, procedures for obtaining permission for public access coverage that differ from this subsection or may designate locations where public access coverage is allowed or prohibited. SLR 3.181 is reserved for SLR adopted under this subsection.
- (6) **Public Access Coverage Defined.** As used in this rule:
- (a) "Public access coverage" means coverage by means of any public access coverage equipment.
 - (b) "Public access coverage equipment" means any of the following in the possession of persons other than the court or the court's staff: television equipment; still photography equipment; audio, video, or other electronic recording equipment.
- (7) **Equipment and Personnel for Public Access Coverage.** The court may limit the location of public access coverage equipment. One pool video camera and one pool still camera and one pool tape recorder shall be permitted. The court, at its discretion, may permit additional public access coverage equipment, consistent with the considerations in subsections (3)(a) and (b).
- (a) No public access coverage device shall be operated by more than one person.
 - (b) No person shall use public access coverage equipment that interferes or distracts from proceedings in the courtroom.
 - (c) The video camera must be mounted on a tripod or other device or installed in the courtroom. The tripod or other device must not be moved while the proceedings are in session. Video equipment must be screened where practicable or located and operated as directed by the court.
 - (d) No artificial lighting devices of any kind shall be allowed.
 - (e) Any pooling arrangement required by limitations on equipment and personnel imposed by the judge or by this rule must be the sole responsibility of the persons seeking public access coverage, without calling upon the judge to mediate any disputes involved therein.

In the absence of agreement on such issues by persons seeking public access coverage, the judge may exclude any or all public access coverage.

- (8) Upon request by the court for the purpose of determining whether there has been a violation of this rule, or to assure the effective administration of justice, any person engaging in public access coverage of a court event or in a courtroom, courthouse, its premises, or environs under the control and supervision of the court must promptly provide to the court, without expense, for the court's *in camera* review, a copy of any public access coverage the person performed in a format accessible to the court. Said copy may be retained by the court, subject to sealing, if necessary for the further administration of justice.
- (9) A judge may impose other restrictions or limitations necessary to preserve the solemnity, decorum, and dignity of the court and to protect the parties, witnesses, and jurors. A judge may terminate any or all public access coverage at any point upon finding, based on substantial reasons in the record, that this UTCR or other rules imposed by the judge have been violated.
- (10) Nothing in this rule is intended to limit the court's contempt powers.
- (11) Nothing in this rule constitutes a waiver of ORS 44.510 – 44.540 (media shield law).

NOTE: UTCR 3.180 was adopted by the entire Oregon Supreme Court, and any changes to the rule will be made only with the consent of the Supreme Court.

CHAPTER 4—Proceedings in Criminal Cases

NOTE: Rules specifically relating to contempt proceedings are located in UTCR Chapter 19.

4.010 TIME FOR FILING PRETRIAL MOTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES

Motions for pretrial rulings on matters subject to ORS 135.037 and ORS 135.805 to 135.873 must be filed in writing not less than 21 days before trial or within 7 days after the arraignment, whichever is later, unless a different time is permitted by the court for good cause shown.

4.030 PROCEDURE FOR ORDER OF TRANSPORTATION

- (1) Any motion that a person held in custody be transported from the place of confinement to a designated place must be accompanied by a separate proposed court order directing the sheriff to transport the person to and from the designated place at the appointed time.
- (2) All proposed orders of transportation must contain the dates and times on which the person in custody is to appear at the designated place and is to be returned to the place of confinement, the exact location of the designated place and, if the person in custody is to appear as a witness in a court proceeding, the caption and number of the case. A person in custody appearing as a witness must be returned to the place of confinement only after execution of an order of release signed by the judge presiding over the court proceeding.

4.050 ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES

- (1) There must be oral argument if requested by the moving party in the caption of the motion or by a responding party in the caption of a response, except that the court is not required to grant oral argument on a motion to postpone trial. The first paragraph of the motion or response must include an estimate of the time required for argument and a statement whether official court reporting services are requested.
- (2) Counsel for either the state or the defense may request that a motion not requiring testimony be heard by telecommunication. The following apply to a request for oral argument by telecommunication:
 - (a) A request must be in the caption of the motion or response. If oral argument by telecommunication is requested, the first paragraph of the motion or response must include the names and telephone numbers of all parties served with the request, the position of opposing counsel, and whether the defendant has waived in writing the right to appear at the hearing.
 - (b) A request by counsel for defense must be granted if counsel for defense represents that the defendant agrees to the procedure and provides a signed waiver of personal appearance.
 - (c) A request by the state must be granted if both parties agree and counsel for the defense provides a written waiver from the defendant.
 - (d) The party requesting telecommunication must initiate the conference call at its expense unless the court directs otherwise.

- (3) "Telecommunication" must be by telephone or other electronic device that permits all participants to hear and speak with each other.

4.060 MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE

- (1) All motions to suppress evidence:
 - (a) must cite any constitutional provision, statute, rule, case, or other authority upon which it is based; and
 - (b) must include in the motion document the moving party's brief, which must sufficiently apprise the court and the adverse party of the arguments relied upon.
- (2) Any response to a motion to suppress:
 - (a) together with opposing affidavits, if any, upon which it is based must be in writing and must be served and filed, absent a showing of good cause, not more than 7 days after the motion to suppress has been filed;
 - (b) must state the grounds thereof and, if the relief or order requested is not opposed, wholly or in part, a specific statement of the extent to which it is not opposed; and
 - (c) must make specific reference to any affidavits relied on and must be accompanied by an opposition brief adequate reasonably to apprise the court and moving party of the arguments and authorities relied upon.
- (3) When averments in an affidavit are made upon information and belief, the affidavit must indicate the basis thereof.
- (4) Failure to file a written response shall not preclude a hearing on the merits.

1991 Commentary:

The Committee proposes these amendments to clarify its intent in originally adopting this rule that a written response not be required.

4.070 DISMISSAL OF CHARGES FOLLOWING SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF DIVERSION

For any charge dismissed based upon successful completion of diversion for driving under the influence of intoxicants, marijuana diversion, or other diversion program, the dismissing instrument must state the basis for the dismissal.

4.080 APPEARANCE AT CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS BY MEANS OF SIMULTANEOUS ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

- (1) A court may conduct an appearance in a criminal proceeding at any circuit court location by the following types of simultaneous electronic transmission, as defined in ORS 131.045, if the transmission complies with the requirements of ORS 131.045, 135.030, 135.360, 135.767, 137.040, and 137.545:

- (a) telephone;
 - (b) television;
 - (c) video conference; and
 - (d) Internet.
- (2) SLR 4.081 is reserved for judicial districts to adopt a local rule regarding appearance at criminal proceedings by means of simultaneous electronic transmission.

4.090 ELECTRONIC CITATIONS

- (1) As used in this rule:
- (a) “Electronic citation” means a violation complaint or a criminal citation electronically filed in circuit court by a filing agency pursuant to ORS 153.770 or ORS 133.073.
 - (b) “Filing agency” means a law enforcement agency or a parking enforcement agency filing an electronic citation.
 - (c) “Trial court administrator” means the trial court administrator for the circuit court in which the electronic citation is filed.
- (2) A circuit court, upon approval of the State Court Administrator, may authorize the filing of electronic citations. A circuit court’s request to the State Court Administrator for approval of the use of electronic citations must:
- (a) Describe the understanding reached with the filing agency, and
 - (b) Describe the manner in which the electronic citations will be accommodated by Oregon Judicial Department systems and computer technology.
- (3) The State Court Administrator may establish appropriate conditions and procedures to be followed by a court and its partners in an electronic citation program to assure that the process for electronic citations can be accommodated by Oregon Judicial Department systems and computer technology.
- (4) The transmission of information and images as provided in this rule must be tested and meet completely the system requirements for electronically uploading information and images into the Oregon Judicial Department’s automated information systems. Testing shall be administered by Oregon Judicial Department staff.
- (5) A filing agency must satisfy all of the following requirements when filing an electronic citation in circuit court:
- (a) The filing agency must obtain from the trial court administrator written approval before filing electronic citations.
 - (b) For a violation complaint, the electronic citation information must include all of the information required by ORS 153.770(2)(a).

- (c) For a criminal citation, the electronic citation information must include all of the information required by ORS 133.073(2)(a).
 - (d) The electronic citation must contain a unique identification number for the law enforcement or parking enforcement officer issuing the citation, the officer's name, the officer's eSignature, and the identity of the agency employing the officer.
 - (e) The filing agency must number the electronic citation using a number series approved by the trial court administrator.
 - (f) The filing agency must assign to the citation a unique number that does not duplicate the number on any electronic citation previously filed by the filing agency.
 - (g) A criminal citation with a form of complaint must not be filed until after the district attorney has conducted the review required by ORS 133.069(2).
 - (h) The filing agency must transmit to the circuit court an image of the electronic citation for public inspection under ORS 153.770(2)(c) and ORS 133.073(2)(c).
 - (i) If the circuit court in which the electronic citation is to be filed has a Supplementary Local Rule (SLR) on electronic citations, the filing agency must comply with all procedures and requirements in the SLR.
- (6) Subject to the restrictions under ORS 133.066(4) and (5) regarding the types of offenses that can be included in a citation, an electronic citation may contain up to ten offenses on a single citation.
 - (7) An electronic citation is deemed filed at the time the information for the citation is entered in the register of the court.
 - (8) A circuit court may scan uniform traffic citations filed in paper format, along with any supporting documentation and correspondence, and reformat them to an electronic record.
 - (9) Citations that are electronically filed or manually scanned, including those to which additional information, judicial orders, judgments, and judicial signatures have been added, are the original and legal court record.
 - (10) SLR 4.091 is reserved for judicial districts to adopt a local rule regarding electronic citations.

4.100 CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS – PROSECUTOR'S NOTIFICATION AND CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS VIOLATION CLAIM

- (1) The prosecuting attorney must file a notification of compliance as provided in ORS 147.510, in substantially the form set out in Form 4.100.1a or 4.100.1b in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.
- (2) To allege a violation of a right granted by Article I, section 42 or 43, of the Oregon Constitution, a victim may file a claim in substantially the form set out in Form 4.100.2a or 4.100.2b in the UTCR Appendix of Forms. The claim must be filed with the court clerk's office in the court in which the criminal case is pending.

CHAPTER 5—Proceedings in Civil Cases

NOTE: Rules specifically relating to contempt proceedings are located in UTCR Chapter 19.

5.010 CONFERRING ON MOTIONS UNDER ORCP 21, 23 and 36-46

- (1) The court will deny any motion made pursuant to ORCP 21 and 23, except a motion to dismiss: (a) for failure to state a claim; or, (b) for lack of jurisdiction, unless the moving party, before filing the motion, makes a good faith effort to confer with the other party(ies) concerning the issues in dispute.
- (2) The court will deny any motion made pursuant to ORCP 36 through 46, unless the moving party, before filing the motion, makes a good faith effort to confer with the other parties concerning the issues in dispute.
- (3) The moving party must file a certificate of compliance with the rule at the same time the motion is filed. The certificate will be sufficient if it states either that the parties conferred or contains facts showing good cause for not conferring.
- (4) Upon certification that a motion is unopposed, it may be submitted *ex parte*.

5.020 AUTHORITIES IN MOTIONS AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS

- (1) Every motion document must include a memorandum of law or a statement of authority explaining how any relevant authorities support the contentions of the moving party.
- (2) If a pleading is moved against in more than two particulars under ORCP 21 D or E, there must be attached to the motion a copy of the pages of the pleading moved against with the parts of the pleading to be stricken shown in parentheses and the parts to be made more definite and certain underlined.

5.030 OPPOSING PARTY'S RESPONSE; TIME FOR FILING RESPONSE AND REPLY

In matters other than motions for summary judgment:

- (1) An opposing party may file a written memorandum of authorities in response to the matters raised in any motion not later than 14 days from the date of service of the motion.
- (2) A reply memorandum, if any, must be filed within 7 days of the service of the responding memorandum.

5.040 MOTIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY THE PRESIDING JUDGE OR DESIGNEE

The presiding judge or designee shall hear and determine all motions.

5.050 ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTIONS IN CIVIL CASES; APPEARANCE AT
NONEVIDENTIARY HEARINGS AND MOTIONS BY TELECOMMUNICATION

- (1) There must be oral argument if requested by the moving party in the caption of the motion or by a responding party in the caption of a response. The first paragraph of the motion or response must include an estimate of the time required for argument and a statement whether official court reporting services are requested.
- (2) A party may request that a nonevidentiary hearing or a motion not requiring testimony be heard by telecommunication.
 - (a) A request for a nonevidentiary hearing or oral argument by telecommunication must be in the caption of the pleading, motion, response, or other initiating document.
 - (b) If appearance or argument by telecommunication is requested, the first paragraph of the pleading, motion, response, or other initiating document must include the names and telephone numbers of all parties served with the request. The request must be granted.
 - (c) The first party requesting telecommunication must initiate the conference call at its expense unless the court directs otherwise.
- (3) “Telecommunication” must be by telephone or other electronic device that permits all participants to hear and speak with each other and permits official court reporting when requested. When recording is requested, telecommunications hearings must be recorded by the court if suitable equipment is available; otherwise, it will be provided at the expense of the party requesting recording.

5.060 STIPULATED AND *EX PARTE* MATTERS

- (1) A judicial district may adopt a local rule regarding specific stipulated or *ex parte* matters for which the documents must be presented conventionally as defined in UTCR 21.010 and may not be electronically filed. SLR 2.501 is reserved for judicial districts to adopt a local rule for that purpose.
- (2) Any stipulated or *ex parte* matter that may be presented conventionally may be delivered by mail or messenger to the trial court administrator for distribution to a judge for signature. An *ex parte* default, a stipulated order, or a stipulated judgment that may be presented conventionally also may be personally presented to a judge by the attorney or the attorney’s agent. Other types of *ex parte* matters personally presented to a judge must be presented by the attorney.
- (3) A motion for an *ex parte* order must contain the term “*ex parte*” in the caption and must be accompanied by a proposed order.
- (4) *Ex parte* matters that are presented conventionally shall be presented anytime during court hours, except as modified by SLR promulgated pursuant to UTCR 1.050. Until such local rules are adopted, stipulated and *ex parte* matters may be personally presented anytime during court hours.

5.070 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PLEADING

- (1) Except as provided in section (2) of this rule, whenever a motion for leave to amend a pleading, including a motion to amend to assert a claim for punitive damages, is submitted to the court, it must include, as an exhibit attached to the motion, the entire text of the proposed amended pleading. The text of the pleading must be formatted in the following manner:
 - (a) Any material to be added to the pleading must be underlined and in bold with braces at each end.
 - (b) Any material to be deleted from the pleading must be italicized with brackets at each end.
- (2) If the motion to amend is for a pleading that was composed using preprinted forms that have been completed by filling in the blanks, the moving party may comply with this rule by making a copy of the filed pleading and formatting the text of the pleading in the following manner:
 - (a) Any material to be added to the pleading must be interlineated and underlined with braces at each end.
 - (b) Any material to be deleted from the pleading must have brackets at each end.

5.080 STATEMENT FOR ATTORNEY FEES, COSTS, AND DISBURSEMENTS

In civil cases, the statement for attorney fees, costs, and disbursements must be filed in substantially the form set forth in Form 5.080 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.

5.090 NOTICE TO COURT IN WATER RIGHTS CASES; NOTICE TO COURT IN CASES SUBJECT TO SECTIONS 7, 13, 21 and 23, CHAPTER 5 OREGON LAWS 2013, REGARDING COMMENCING AN ACTION AGAINST A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER OR A HEALTH CARE FACILITY

(1) Notice to Court in Water Rights Cases

If at any time during a case a party asserts a disputed water right, the party must give notice to the court that the case involves water rights. If not stated in the caption of the original complaint that begins the court case, the notice shall be in the following form:

- (a) Be filed as a separate document.
 - (b) Include the caption of the case and the case number.
 - (c) Include a statement that the case involves water rights.
 - (d) Be signed by the attorney or party.
- (2) Notice to court in cases subject to sections 7, 13, 21 and 23, chapter 5 Oregon Laws 2013, Regarding Actions Against A Health Care Provider Or A Health Care Facility.

A party must place the following in the title of a pleading in the case if the pleading contains a claim which creates a duty upon the court to provide notice to the parties under sections 7, 13, 21, and 23, chapter 5 Oregon Laws 2013 (including any claim, counterclaim, cross claim, or third-party claim): “ADVERSE HEALTH CARE INCIDENT SUBJECT TO COURT NOTICE”. This language must not be in the title of a pleading for any other purpose. A party’s signature on pleadings constitutes the party’s certificate under ORCP 17 that the pleading contains a claim which requires notice by the court under section 7, chapter 5 Oregon Laws 2013 if the language is present and does not contain any such claim if the language is omitted.

5.100 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS OR JUDGMENTS

- (1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this rule, any proposed judgment or proposed order submitted to the court must be:
 - (a) Served on each counsel not less than 3 days prior to submission to the court, or
 - (b) Accompanied by a stipulation by each counsel that no objection exists as to the judgment or order, or
 - (c) Served on a self-represented party not less than 7 days prior to submission to the court and be accompanied by notice of the time period to object.
- (2) Except as provided in subsection (4) of this rule, any proposed judgment or order submitted to the court must include, following the space for judicial signature, a dated and signed certificate that describes:
 - (a) The manner of compliance with any applicable service requirement under this rule; and
 - (b) The reason that the submission is ready for judicial signature or otherwise states that any objection is ready for resolution, identifying the reason in substantially the following form:

“This proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial signature because:

“1. [] Each party affected by this order or judgment has stipulated to the order or judgment, as shown by each party’s signature on the document being submitted.

“2. [] Each party affected by this order or judgment has approved the order or judgment, as shown by each party’s signature on the document being submitted or by written confirmation of approval sent to me.

“3. [] I have served a copy of this order or judgment on each party entitled to service and:

“a. [] No objection has been served on me.

“b. [] I received objections that I could not resolve with a party despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed a copy of the objections I received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.

“c. [] After conferring about objections, [role and name of objecting party] agreed to independently file any remaining objection.

“4. [] Service is not required pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, or by statute, rule, or otherwise.

- “5. [] This is a proposed judgment that includes an award of punitive damages and notice has been served on the Director of the Crime Victims’ Assistance Section as required by subsection (5) of this rule.
- “6. [] Other: _____.”

- (3) The requirements of subsection (1) of this rule do not apply to:
- (a) A proposed order or judgment presented in open court with the parties present;
 - (b) A proposed order or judgment for which service is not required by statute, rule, or otherwise;
 - (c) A proposed judgment subject to UTCR 10.090;
 - (d) Uncontested probate and protective proceedings; and
 - (e) Matters certified to the court under ORS 416.422, ORS 416.430, ORS 416.435, and ORS 416.448, unless the proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial signature without hearing.
- (4) The requirements of subsection (2) of this rule do not apply to a proposed order or judgment presented and signed in open court with the parties present.
- (5) Any proposed judgment containing an award of punitive damages shall be served on the Director of the Crime Victims’ Assistance Section, Oregon Department of Justice, 1162 Court Street NE, Salem, OR 97301, not less than 3 days prior to submission to the court.

REPORTER’S NOTE (08/01/2016): This rule does not apply in the following types of cases: criminal; contempt cases seeking punitive sanctions; juvenile under ORS chapter 419A, 419B, or 419C; or violations, parking violations, or small claims (see UTCR 1.010(3)). Nothing in this rule prohibits a court from adopting an SLR that applies this rule to matters under SLR chapters other than chapter 5.

Pursuant to UTCR 1.130, computation of Uniform Trial Court Rule time requirements is subject to ORCP 10.

5.110 CLASS ACTIONS

Rules relating to class actions may be found at Oregon Rule of Civil Procedure 32 and Oregon Rule of Appellate Procedure 12.15.

5.120 NOTICE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, CRIME VICTIMS’ ASSISTANCE SECTION, OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- (1) The notices required by ORS 31.735(3), concerning verdicts and judgments that include punitive damages, shall substantially be in the form specified in Form 5.120.1 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.
- (2) The prevailing party shall promptly file with the court a copy of each notice and the proof of service.

5.130 INTERSTATE DEPOSITION INSTRUMENTS—OBTAINING AN OREGON COMMISSION

- (1) A party shall request a commission pursuant to ORCP 38 to permit a deposition to be taken in a foreign jurisdiction for an action pending in an Oregon circuit court by presenting a motion, affidavit, and form of order at *ex parte*. (See Form 5.130.1a in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.) If the motion is allowed, the party shall file the motion, affidavit, and signed order with the trial court administrator in the pending civil action. When the order granting the commission is filed, the trial court administrator or the trial court administrator's designee shall issue the commission (see Form 5.130.1b in the UTCR Appendix of Forms).
- (2) Unless otherwise requested by the party in its motion and ordered by the court, the commission shall be effective for 28 days from the date of issue.
- (3) The commission may also serve to authorize the issuance of Subpoenas *Duces Tecum* in a foreign jurisdiction.

5.140 OREGON DISCOVERY IN FOREIGN PROCEEDINGS

- (1) To obtain discovery in the State of Oregon for a proceeding pending in another state pursuant to Oregon Rule of Civil Procedure (ORCP) 38 C, a party must submit to the court all of the following:
 - (a) The foreign subpoena.
 - (b) An original and two copies of a fully completed subpoena that
 - (i) complies with the requirements of the ORCP, including ORCP 55; and
 - (ii) contains the names, addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers of all attorneys of record and self-represented parties in the foreign proceeding.
 - (c) A declaration and request for issuance of a subpoena pursuant to ORCP 38 C, substantially in the form specified in Form 5.140.1c in the UTCR Appendix of Forms, stating that
 - (i) the foreign subpoena was issued by a court of record of a state as "state" is defined in ORCP 38 C(1)(b);
 - (ii) the fully completed subpoena complies with the requirements of the ORCP, including ORCP 55; and
 - (iii) the fully completed subpoena contains the names, addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers of all attorneys of record and self-represented parties in the foreign proceeding.
- (2) To obtain discovery in the State of Oregon for a proceeding pending in a foreign jurisdiction not subject to ORCP 38 C, a party must file a writ, mandate, commission, letter rogatory, or order executed by the appropriate authority in the foreign jurisdiction with a circuit court of this state. The party in the foreign proceeding or an active member in good standing of the Oregon State Bar must present in person at *ex parte* the original document

or a certified copy from the foreign jurisdiction, a petition, and an order to register the document. (See Form 5.140.2 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.) If approved by the court, the matter will be assigned a circuit court case number and appropriate process may be issued by the Oregon attorney.

- (3) In the event that a foreign jurisdiction not subject to ORCP 38 C has no procedure to issue a writ, mandate, commission, letter rogatory, or order to authorize a deposition to be taken in Oregon, at *ex parte* the party must present a petition to compel the witnesses to appear and testify. The petition must be supported by an affidavit that contains all of the following:
 - (a) The name of the foreign jurisdiction in which the proceeding is pending.
 - (b) The name of the court in which the proceeding is pending.
 - (c) The caption or other relevant title of the proceeding.
 - (d) The case number assigned by the foreign jurisdiction to the proceeding.
 - (e) The date of filing of the proceeding in the foreign jurisdiction.
 - (f) A statement that the foreign jurisdiction has no process to issue a writ, mandate, commission, letter rogatory, or order to compel a witness to appear and give testimony if the witness is located outside its jurisdictional boundary.
 - (g) A statement that the affiant seeks authorization from the court to proceed upon notice or agreement to take the testimony of witnesses in this state.
 - (h) The identity of witnesses in this state to be compelled upon notice or agreement to appear and testify.

5.150 EXPEDITED CIVIL JURY CASES

- (1) A civil case eligible for jury trial may be designated as an expedited case. The availability of the designation may vary by judicial district and is dependent on the availability of staff, judges, and courtrooms. A party seeking the designation must confer with the court to determine whether the designation is available. If it is available, a party seeking the designation must do all of the following:
 - (a) Obtain the agreement of all other parties to designate the case as an expedited civil jury case.
 - (b) Submit a joint motion and an order to the presiding judge in substantially the form of UTCR Forms 5.150.1a and 5.150.1b.
- (2) The decision to accept or reject a case for designation as an expedited case is within the sole discretion of the presiding judge or designee. The judge will consider the request on an expedited basis, when possible, and enter an order granting or denying the motion. If the judge grants the motion and designates the case as an expedited case, the judge will:
 - (a) Exempt or remove the case from mandatory arbitration, pursuant to ORS 36.405(2)(a) and (b), and from all court rules requiring mediation, arbitration, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution.

- (b) Set a trial date certain no later than four months from the date of the order with a pretrial conference to be set no later than 14 days before trial.
- (3) The parties in an expedited case may file a written agreement with the court, in substantially the form of UTCR Form 5.150.1a, section 4, stating all of the following:
 - (a) The scope, nature, and timing of discovery.
 - (b) The date by which discovery will be complete, which must be not later than 21 days before trial.
 - (c) Stipulations regarding the conduct of the trial, which may include stipulations for the admission of exhibits and the manner of submission of expert testimony.
- (4) If the parties in an expedited case do not file a discovery agreement pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, then each party must do all of the following:
 - (a) Provide to all other parties within four weeks of the expedited case designation:
 - (i) The names and, if known, addresses and telephone numbers of all persons, other than expert witnesses, likely to have knowledge that the party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment.
 - (ii) A copy of all unprivileged ORCP 43 A(1) documents and tangible things that the party has in its possession, custody, or control and may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment.
 - (iii) A copy of all insurance agreements and policies discoverable pursuant to ORCP 36 B(2).
 - (b) Take no more than two depositions after a party has requested an expedited case designation.
 - (c) Serve no more than one set of requests for production after a party has requested an expedited case designation.
 - (d) Serve no more than one set of requests for admission after a party has requested an expedited case designation.
 - (e) Serve all discovery requests no later than 60 days before the trial date.
 - (f) Complete all discovery no later than 21 days before trial.
- (5) After an order designating the case as an expedited case, a party shall not file a pretrial motion without prior leave of the court.
- (6) A party's failure to request or respond to discovery is not a basis for that party to seek postponement of the expedited case trial date.

5.160 SEALED DOCUMENTS

- (1) A party seeking an order to file documents or materials under seal must file a motion with the court that specifies all of the following:
 - (a) The statutory authority for sealing the documents or materials.
 - (b) The reasons for protecting the documents or materials from public inspection.
 - (c) A description of the documents or materials to be sealed.
- (2) At the direction of the judge hearing the motion, the moving party must submit the documents to the court for in camera review.
- (3) The court's order on the motion may include directions to the clerk's office to do one of the following:
 - (a) File the documents or materials, unsealed, in the court file.
 - (b) File the documents or materials under seal in the court file.
 - (c) Return the documents, unfiled, to the moving party.
- (4) When documents or materials are filed under seal, the filing party must present the clerk with a copy of the signed court order and submit the documents or materials in a sealed envelope marked "SEALED DOCUMENTS OR MATERIALS" and with a notation that identifies the case caption and the party making the submission. In addition, all documents ordered to be filed under seal must have the words "FILED UNDER SEAL BY COURT ORDER" located directly below the document title.

CHAPTER 6—Trials

6.010 CONFERENCES IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS

- (1) In any civil proceeding the court may, in its discretion, direct the parties to appear before the court for a conference to consider:
 - (a) the simplification of the issues;
 - (b) the necessity or desirability of amendments to the pleadings;
 - (c) the possibility of obtaining admissions of fact and of documents which will avoid unnecessary proof or delay;
 - (d) the limitation of the number of expert witnesses;
 - (e) the advisability of a preliminary reference of issues to a master for findings to be used as evidence when the trial is to be by jury;
 - (f) a reference in whole or in part;
 - (g) the possible settlement of the case; and
 - (h) such other matters as may aid in the disposition of the action.
- (2) All conferences may be by personal appearance except that any party may apply, or the court may arrange for, a conference by telecommunication.

1991 Commentary:

Settlement conferences are required as provided by each court by its SLR 6.012 and under UTCR 6.200.

6.020 COURT NOTIFICATION ON SETTLEMENT OR CHANGE OF PLEA

- (1) In criminal cases, the parties must notify the court immediately of any decision that a case will be dismissed or a change of plea entered.
- (2) In all other cases, the parties must immediately notify the court of a decision to settle, dismiss, or otherwise resolve a case. After receipt of the notice, a court may require the parties to put the decision on the record, give written notice to the parties that the case will be dismissed unless an appropriate judgment is tendered to the court within 28 days, or both.
- (3) If parties to a civil action fail to notify the court of a settlement before 12:00 p.m. (noon) of the last judicial day preceding a jury trial, or if the case settles after 12:00 p.m. (noon) of such day, the court may assess on one or both parties the per diem fees and mileage costs of bringing in the jury panel for that particular trial.

6.030 POSTPONEMENT OF TRIAL

- (1) A request to postpone a trial must be by motion.
- (2) A motion to postpone a trial must be signed by the attorney of record and contain a certificate stating that counsel has advised the client of the request and must set forth:
 - (a) the date scheduled for trial,
 - (b) the reason for the requested postponement,
 - (c) the dates previously set for trial,
 - (d) the date of each previous postponement, and
 - (e) whether any parties to the proceeding object to the requested postponement.
- (3) If the motion to postpone is based upon a conflicting proceeding in another court, it must set forth, in addition to the information required by subsection (2) of this section:
 - (a) the name of the court in which the conflict exists,
 - (b) the date of the conflict,
 - (c) the date on which the other proceeding is to begin,
 - (d) the case number and the date of filing of the conflicting case,
 - (e) the date on which the conflicting case was set for trial, and
 - (f) the information required by UTCR 6.040(2).
- (4) If a motion to postpone a civil trial is based upon stipulation of the parties:
 - (a) the new trial date must be within the time periods set forth in UTCR 7.020(5),
 - (b) the motion must be filed at least 28 days before the date then set for trial,
 - (c) the motion must be signed by the attorneys of record,
 - (d) the motion must contain a certificate stating that the attorneys have advised their clients of the stipulation and the clients agree to the postponement, and
 - (e) the motion must set forth the date scheduled for trial, the new trial date requested, and that the new date is available on the court's trial docket.
- (5) The motion may be decided by a summary determination without a hearing.
- (6) Motions to postpone are not subject to UTCR Chapter 5, except UTCR 5.040 and 5.060.

1993 Commentary:

The court has discretion to allow or deny any motion for postponement under ORCP 52 and this rule, but the Committee recommends that the court generally allow a motion under subsection

(4) of this rule if the new trial date requested can be reasonably accommodated on the court's docket.

6.040 RESOLVING SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

- (1) When a party is scheduled to appear in more than one court at the same time, and has been unable to obtain a postponement in one of the courts, the scheduling conflict will be resolved by the presiding judges of the affected courts on motion of the affected party in both courts.
- (2) In resolving scheduling conflicts, the following must be considered:
 - (a) statutory preference;
 - (b) the custodial status of a criminal defendant;
 - (c) the filing date of the case;
 - (d) the dates on which the courts sent notices of the trial date;
 - (e) the relative complexity of the cases;
 - (f) the availability of competent, prepared substitute counsel; and
 - (g) the inconvenience to the parties, the witnesses or the court.
- (3) If the scheduling conflict cannot be resolved by the affected presiding judges after consultation with each other, the conflict must be referred by them to the Chief Justice for summary resolution.

6.050 SUBMISSION OF TRIAL MEMORANDA AND TRIAL EXHIBITS

- (1) A party must file any trial memorandum. The court also may require that a party submit a copy of the trial memo, in the manner and time that the court specifies.
- (2) All trial memoranda must be served on the opposing party.
- (3) Trial exhibits must be delivered or submitted as ordered by the assigned judge and not filed with the court.

6.060 PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS

- (1) A party must file any requested jury instruction or verdict form. The party must also submit a copy of the jury instructions and verdict forms to the trial judge in the manner and time specified by the judge.
- (2) All requested jury instructions and verdict forms must be in writing and served on the opposing party.
- (3) Requested instructions may include any Uniform Oregon Jury Instruction by reference only to its instruction number and title: such as "Instruction No. 70.04 - Lookout." If the uniform

instruction contains blanks or alternative choices, the appropriate material to complete the instruction must be supplied in the request.

- (4) Requested jury instructions, including references to Uniform Oregon Jury Instructions, must be prepared as follows:
 - (a) Requested uniform instructions must be identified in accordance with UTCR 6.060(3).
 - (b) Instructions, including uniform instructions, must be numbered consecutively, beginning with the number “1” for the first requested instruction.
 - (c) Except for requested uniform instructions, not more than one proposed instruction must appear on each page.
 - (d) If any requested jury instruction requires more than one page to be set out, each of the pages must be numbered at the lower left-hand corner; the number must contain the consecutively assigned requested jury instruction number provided pursuant to subparagraph (b) of this paragraph, followed by a hyphen, followed by the consecutive number for each page.
 - (e) The designation of the party requesting the instruction must be typed on each page.
 - (f) Below each requested instruction must be a statement citing the statute, decision or other legal authority which supports the requested instruction.
- (5) The court must inform the parties before argument of the instructions that it proposes to give.
- (6) Proposed verdict forms and written interrogatories, if any, must be prepared without the name of the attorney or the name of the firm and must be submitted at commencement of trial and as otherwise allowed by the court.

6.070 JURY INSTRUCTIONS

No identifying information relating to the parties or any other extraneous material, including authorities, shall appear on submitted jury instructions.

6.080 MARKING EXHIBITS

- (1) Before the commencement of the trial, parties must mark all exhibits in the following manner:
 - (a) Plaintiff’s exhibits must be marked consecutively from 1 through 99.
 - (b) Defendant’s exhibits must be marked consecutively from 101 through 199.
 - (c) On request, the court must assign additional blocks of numbers.
 - (d) In cases involving multiple parties or large numbers of exhibits, the parties shall agree on the assignment of the numbers. If the parties cannot reach agreement, or if for any reason the numbering system cannot accommodate the parties, then the

court may direct the parties to use any other numbering system not inconsistent with the intent of this section.

- (2) Upon request, the trial court administrator shall provide a party with appropriate stamps, labels or tags for exhibit marking.
- (3) The parties must submit to the court at the time of trial a list of premarked exhibits.
- (4) Exhibits not available at the commencement of trial, exhibits not reasonably anticipated to be used and exhibits intended for impeachment purposes need not be premarked.
- (5) At the time of trial or hearing involving a covered offense, a party introducing an exhibit that contains biological evidence must provide the court in writing with the name, agency, mailing address, and telephone number for the custodian responsible for each exhibit that contains biological evidence. Counsel also must indicate whether the biological evidence was collected by the defense. For a trial, this information must be submitted with the list of premarked exhibits required under subsection (3) of this rule.
- (6) For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:
 - (a) "Biological evidence" has the meaning given in ORS 133.705.
 - (b) "Covered offense" has the meaning given in ORS 133.705.
 - (c) "Custodian" has the meaning given in ORS 133.705.

1988 Commentary:

Subsection (4) cannot and does not change discovery rules as established for criminal cases by statute.

6.090 PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES IN CIVIL CASES

In civil trials, peremptory challenges must be taken in writing by secret ballot unless the parties stipulate to taking the challenges orally and the court agrees.

6.100 EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

Except for good cause shown, no more than one attorney for each party shall examine a witness or present argument on an issue.

6.110 SPECIAL AND GENERAL FINDINGS IN SEPARATE DOCUMENT

Special or general findings or conclusions must be included in a document separate from the judgment.

6.120 DISPOSITION OF EXHIBITS

- (1) Unless otherwise ordered or except as otherwise provided in ORS 133.707, all exhibits shall be returned to the custody of counsel for the submitting parties upon conclusion of the trial or hearing. Such counsel must sign an acknowledgment of receipt for the exhibits returned. Counsel to whom any exhibits have been returned must retain custody and control until final disposition of the case unless the exhibits are returned to the trial court pursuant to subsections (2) or (3) of this rule. Both documentary and nondocumentary exhibits submitted by parties not represented by counsel shall be retained by the trial court, subject to subsection (4) of this rule.
- (2) Upon the filing of a notice of appeal by any party, the trial court administrator promptly shall notify all counsel that they are required to return all documentary exhibits in their custody to the trial court within 21 days of receipt of the trial court's request. All counsel are required to comply with the notice. The trial court promptly will transmit the documentary exhibits to the appellate court, when requested to do so by the appellate court, under ORAP 3.25.
- (3) Upon request by an appellate court for transmission of nondocumentary exhibits, under ORAP 3.25, the trial court shall notify the party in whose custody the nondocumentary exhibits have been placed. The party must resubmit the designated exhibits to the custody of the trial court for transmittal to the appellate court.
- (4) Exhibits not returned to the parties shall be processed as follows:
 - (a) Such exhibits shall be retained by the trial court until the appeal period has elapsed and there is a final disposition of the case.
 - (b) After final disposition of the case, a notice shall be sent to the parties of record that, unless they withdraw their respective exhibits within 30 days, the exhibits will be disposed of by the court.
- (5) Nothing contained in this rule shall prevent parties to any matter before the court from seeking the release or return of exhibits before the times specified in this rule.
- (6) Exhibits in the court's custody shall not be removed from the trial court administrator's control except by stipulation or by order of the court.
- (7) For purposes of this rule, "documentary exhibits" include text documents, photos and maps, if not oversized, and audio and video tapes. An oversized document is one larger than standard letter size or legal size.

6.130 WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL IN CIVIL CASES

No waiver of trial by jury in civil cases in circuit court shall be deemed to have occurred unless the parties notify the court of such a waiver before 5:00 p.m. of the last judicial day before trial. Thereafter, a jury trial may not be waived without the consent of the court. Failure to timely notify the court of a waiver before the day of trial may result in an assessment by the judge on one or both of the parties for the per diem fee and mileage costs of bringing in the jury panel for that trial.

6.140 PROCEDURES FOR USE OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE

- (1) If a party intends to offer into evidence any hazardous substance at an evidentiary hearing or trial, the party must file a motion no later than 28 days prior to the hearing or trial seeking an order from the court regulating the handling, use and disposition of the hazardous substance.
- (2) "Hazardous substance" in this rule is defined as any substance listed or hereafter added to the Department of Transportation Hazardous Substances List and the Oregon State Police List of Chemicals and Precursors for Methamphetamine Production and any other hazardous substance designated by SLR.
- (3) The court, in its discretion, may issue an order concerning any of the following matters:
 - (a) a jury view and/or photograph in lieu of transportation of the hazardous substance to the courthouse;
 - (b) appointment of a custodian;
 - (c) appointment of a disposition expert;
 - (d) appointment of a medical expert;
 - (e) the amount to be transported or viewed;
 - (f) the container in which the hazardous substance is to be stored;
 - (g) the location and duration of handling and storage of the hazardous substance;
 - (h) the disposition of the hazardous substance; and
 - (i) other matters intended by the court to safeguard the public and the evidentiary record.
- (4) Failure to file a timely motion under subsection (1) of this rule may be grounds for excluding any hazardous substance from the courthouse.

1989 Commentary:

To prevent hardship or injustice, relief from application of this rule in an individual case may be sought under UTCR 1.100.

6.150 WEAPONS AND DANGEROUS INSTRUMENTS IN THE COURTROOM

If a party intends to offer into evidence any weapons or other hazardous materials at an evidentiary hearing or trial, before bringing the items into the courtroom, the party must:

- (1) For weapons:
 - (a) All firearms, BB guns, and pellet guns intended to be offered in evidence must be unloaded and either rendered inoperable or have a trigger guard installed.

- (b) Guns and ammunition must be kept separate at all times.
 - (c) Knives, scissors, and any other sharp objects that could penetrate the skin must be sealed in puncture-proof containers, provided with secure and protective sheaths, or otherwise rendered harmless.
- (2) For other hazardous materials:
- (a) Hypodermic needles must be provided with covers over needle points and sealed in a transparent puncture-proof bag.
 - (b) An unbreakable, transparent tube that locks on one end must be provided for safe handling and viewing of chemicals, pharmaceuticals, and biological substances.

1990 Commentary:

The court should be mindful that the court may grant exception to the above for good cause shown under UTCR 1.100 and that the Committee intended that there be exceptions granted if any part of the rule would affect the mechanical operation when mechanical operation was an evidentiary issue.

6.160 CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES IN THE COURTROOM

- (1) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, only a representative sample of controlled substances shall be brought into the courtroom to be presented as evidence. Such sample must have been placed in a see-through, heat-sealed container prior to coming into the custody of the court and must not be opened except by order of the court. The remainder may be presented by photograph, videotape, or may be available for viewing by the jury in some secure setting.
- (2) At all times between the receipt of the controlled substances and the return of controlled substances to the submitting party under UTCR 6.120 or destruction or transmittal of the controlled substances to the appellate courts, the controlled substances shall be in the court's evidence locker in the custody and possession of a member of the court staff or in the custody of such appropriate law enforcement agency as the court orders.

6.170 JUROR HANDLING OF CONTROLLED, HAZARDOUS, OR INFECTIOUS SUBSTANCES AND CHEMICALS

Jurors must be advised if any controlled, hazardous, or infectious substances or chemicals to be handled in the jury room present a danger and must be provided instructions on safe handling, including providing protective devices, if necessary.

6.180 WEAPONS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES IN THE COURT FACILITIES

Unless otherwise ordered by the court, no person except a law enforcement officer shall possess in a court facility a firearm, knife, device, or hazardous substance capable of inflicting death or physical injury.

6.190 EVIDENCE SUBMITTED IN AN ELECTRONIC FORMAT

- (1) Any exhibit or testimony to be presented to the court in an electronic format shall be compatible with the court's electronic equipment.
- (2) Prior to trial or hearing, a party intending to offer electronic evidence must make sure it is in a format compatible with the court's equipment. A party is responsible for the cost, if any, incurred by the court as a result of the party's use of the court's electronic equipment or in repairing the court's electronic equipment as a result of a party's use of it.
- (3) Parties may use their own equipment to present electronic evidence. However, parties using their own equipment may need to make their equipment available to the court, opposing parties, and the jury.
- (4) It is a party's responsibility to provide any technical support needed in presenting the party's evidence and in making its evidence compatible with the court's electronic equipment or in using the party's own equipment.

6.200 PRETRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES

- (1) Each judicial district may adopt an SLR 6.012, or an SLR in Chapter 12 if that chapter is dedicated to alternative dispute resolution, providing for a uniform pretrial settlement conference procedure for use in all circuit court civil cases, including dissolution of marriage and postjudgment modification proceedings. The SLR shall be designed to most effectively meet the needs of the judges, lawyers, and litigants in each district and to promote early pretrial settlements.
- (2) Each SLR under this section, if adopted, should include the following provisions:
 - (a) If one party requests a pretrial settlement conference, the settlement conference must be held and must be conducted according to the procedure set forth in the SLR. However, the pretrial settlement conference will not be required if the opposing party demonstrates good cause why the settlement conference should not be held.
 - (b) Each party or representative of a corporation or insurance company who has full authority to settle and compromise the litigation must personally appear at the pretrial settlement conference; however, the judge may permit telephone appearances for good cause.
 - (c) Each settlement conference shall be scheduled to allow adequate time for meaningful settlement discussions. Additional settlement conferences may be scheduled by the judge or by agreement of all attorneys and parties.
 - (d) The pretrial settlement conferences shall not delay the trial scheduling.
- (3) Each SLR under this UTCR section, if adopted, should specify:
 - (a) Whether the settlement conference judge shall be permitted to act as trial judge if the case does not settle.
 - (b) Whether a pretrial statement or other document must be submitted to the judge prior to the pretrial settlement conference, when it should be submitted, and whether it should be confidential or nonconfidential.

- (c) Whether and under what circumstances materials or notes prepared by the pretrial settlement judge may be placed in the trial court file in the event that the case does not settle.
 - (d) The methods for reporting settlement and removing the case from the active trial docket.
 - (e) Whether a trial-setting conference shall be held prior to the pretrial settlement conference.
- (4) SLR 6.012 is reserved for SLR adopted under this UTCR section.

CHAPTER 7—Case Management and Calendaring

7.010 PLEAS, NEGOTIATIONS, DISCOVERY AND TRIAL DATES IN CRIMINAL CASES

- (1) At the time of arraignment, the court may either accept a not guilty plea and set a trial date or set a date for entry of a plea in accordance with subsection (2) of this section.
- (2) Plea agreements, negotiations, discovery, and investigations must be concluded by a date as set by the court which is:
 - (a) for defendants in custody, not less than 21 days after arraignment but, in any event, not later than 21 days prior to the trial date; and
 - (b) for defendants who are not in custody, not less than 35 days after arraignment, but not later than the 35th day prior to the trial date.
- (3) Not later than the date set pursuant to subsection (2), trial counsel must report the following:
 - (a) whether a jury trial is requested;
 - (b) the probable length of trial;
 - (c) the need for a pretrial hearing; and
 - (d) any other matter affecting the case.
- (4) Relief from the dates set pursuant to subsection (2) of this rule shall be granted for good cause shown.

1988 Commentary:

Relief from application of the deadlines set by this rule is subject to UTCR 1.100, as are all UTCR provisions.

1990 Commentary:

As used in this section, arraignment means the initial appearance of the defendant in the court having jurisdiction to dispose of the case.

Relief from time set in this section is subject to UTCR 1.100, as are all UTCR provisions. The purpose of this rule, among others, is to give certainty in trial dockets. Therefore, the last date for entry of a plea will change with changes in trial dates.

Section 4.010 of UTCR should be read in conjunction with this section. In this regard, the parties may request that the court decide any legal issue, including motions to suppress, before plea negotiations are concluded. Nothing requires the court to allow that request.

7.020 SETTING TRIAL DATE IN CIVIL CASES

- (1) After service is made, the serving party must forthwith file the return or acceptance of service with the trial court administrator.

- (2) If no return or acceptance of service has been filed by the 63rd day after the filing of the complaint, written notice shall be given to the plaintiff that the case will be dismissed for want of prosecution 28 days from the date of mailing of the notice unless proof of service is filed within the time period, good cause to continue the case is shown to the court on motion supported by affidavit and accompanied by a proposed order, or the defendant has appeared.
- (3) If proof of service has been filed and any defendant has not appeared by the 91st day from the filing of the complaint, the case shall be deemed not at issue and written notice shall be given to the plaintiff that the case will be dismissed against each nonappearing defendant for want of prosecution 28 days from the date of mailing of the notice unless one of the following occurs:
 - (a) An order of default has been filed and entry of judgment has been applied for.
 - (b) Good cause to continue the case is shown to the court on motion supported by affidavit and accompanied by a proposed order.
 - (c) The defendant has appeared.
- (4) If all defendants have made an appearance, the case will be deemed at issue 91 days after the filing of the complaint or when the pleadings are complete, whichever is earlier.
- (5) The trial date must be no later than one year from date of filing for civil cases or six months from the date of the filing of a third-party complaint under ORCP 22 C, whichever is later, unless good cause is shown to the presiding judge or designee.
- (6) Parties have 14 days after the case is at issue or deemed at issue to:
 - (a) Agree among themselves and with the presiding judge or designee on a trial date within the time limit set forth above.
 - (b) Have a conference with the presiding judge or designee and set a trial date.
- (7) If the parties do neither (a) nor (b) of (6) above, the calendar clerk will set the case for trial on a date that is convenient to the court.

1987 Commentary:

Nothing in this rule precludes a court from issuing its trial notices prior to 91 days after filing of the complaint.

1988 Commentary:

It is recognized that some cases may not be appropriate for trial setting “in the ordinary course” of the court’s business. Special settings of trial dates in complex or other appropriate cases is permissible and may be initiated by any party or the court.

7.030 COMPLEX CASES

- (1) Any party in a case may apply to the presiding judge to have the matter designated as a “complex case.”

- (2) The criteria used for designation as a “complex case” may include, but shall not be limited to, the following: the number of parties involved, the complexity of the legal issues, the expected extent and difficulty of discovery, and the anticipated length of trial.
- (3) A presiding judge shall assign any matter designated as a “complex case” to a specific judge who shall thereafter have full or partial responsibility for the case as determined by the presiding judge.
- (4) A “complex case” shall not be subject to the time limitation or trial setting procedures set forth in UTCR 7.020(5), (6) and (7); however, any such case will be set for trial as soon as practical, but in any event, within two years from the date of filing unless, for good cause shown, the trial date is extended by the assigned judge.

7.040 NOTIFY COURT OF SETTLEMENTS AND OTHER MATTERS

The parties shall report immediately to the court any resolution of any matter scheduled on the court’s docket.

7.050 EFFECT OF BANKRUPTCY PETITION

- (1) Upon notice that proceedings in an action are subject to a federal bankruptcy stay, the court must stay the action until it is shown to the court’s satisfaction that the federal bankruptcy stay has been terminated or is not applicable to the action.
- (2) Upon motion of any party, the court may sever a claim that continues to be subject to the federal bankruptcy stay or a claim as it applies to the bankruptcy debtor and proceed with the remainder of the action if:
 - (a) the action includes multiple claims or multiple parties; and
 - (b) it is shown to the court’s satisfaction that, as to one or more claims, the federal bankruptcy stay has been terminated or is not applicable.
- (3) A court must not dismiss the action stayed under this rule solely because of the bankruptcy filing. Nothing in this rule limits a court’s ability to initiate the process to dismiss an action stayed under this rule for want of prosecution under ORCP 54 B(3) or as provided by statute. However, if a party to the action responds to the court notice concerning dismissal for want of prosecution by timely application to continue the action because bankruptcy proceedings are ongoing:
 - (a) the ongoing bankruptcy proceedings constitute good cause to continue the action for purposes of ORCP or statute; and
 - (b) the court must continue the action as a pending case.
- (4) Time periods established by UTCR 7.020 or by SLR for proceeding with an action are not applicable during the stay to that action or part of an action stayed under this rule. For all or part of the action stayed under this rule, time periods held in abeyance under this subsection continue when the court proceeds and only as to that part of the action with which the court proceeds.

- (5) Nothing in this section limits a court's ability to grant dismissal of an action stayed under this rule as provided under ORCP 54 A.
- (6) References in this rule to federal bankruptcy stays are to a stay under provisions of 11 USC Sections 105, 362, 1201, or 1301. As provided under UTCR 1.010(3), this rule is applicable to all cases that may be subject to a federal bankruptcy stay, including small claims cases.

7.060 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) ACCOMMODATION

- (1) If an accommodation under the ADA is needed for an individual in a court proceeding, the party needing accommodation for the individual must notify the court in the manner required by the court as soon as possible, but no later than four judicial days in advance of the proceeding. For good cause shown, the court may waive the four-day advance notice.
- (2) Notification to the court must provide:
 - (a) the name of the person needing accommodation;
 - (b) the case number;
 - (c) charges (if applicable);
 - (d) the nature of the proceeding;
 - (e) the person's status in the proceeding;
 - (f) the time, date, and estimated length of the proceeding;
 - (g) the type of disability needing accommodation; and
 - (h) the type of accommodation, interpreter, or auxiliary aid needed or preferred.

7.070 FOREIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS

- (1) If a foreign language interpreter is needed for a court proceeding, the party in need of an interpreter must notify the court in the manner required by the court as soon as possible, but no later than four judicial days in advance of the proceeding. For good cause shown, the court may waive the four-day advance notice.
- (2) Notification to the court must include:
 - (a) the name of the person needing an interpreter;
 - (b) the case number;
 - (c) charges (if applicable);
 - (d) the nature of the proceeding;
 - (e) the person's status in the proceeding;

- (f) the time, date, and estimated length of the proceeding; and
- (g) the language to be interpreted.

7.080 INTERPRETERS' REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

If requested by a neutral court interpreter, parties in civil and criminal cases shall provide a list of specialized terminology expected to be used in the proceeding in which the interpreter will be providing services. The list shall be provided prior to the commencement of the proceeding. The list shall be kept confidential by the interpreter and is not discoverable.

CHAPTER 8—Domestic Relations Proceedings

8.010 ACTIONS FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, SEPARATE MAINTENANCE AND ANNULMENT, AND CHILD SUPPORT

- (1) Petitioners, when serving respondents, must attach to the petition a copy of the Notice to Parties of A Marriage Dissolution as required by ORS 107.092. Copies of the notice may be obtained from the trial court administrator's office or from the Oregon Judicial Department website.
- (2) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, general judgments in all uncontested actions for annulment or dissolution of marriage or for separation shall be entered on the basis of the affidavit set forth in ORS 107.095(4) in lieu of a hearing on the merits.
- (3) In all contested dissolution of marriage, separate maintenance or annulment actions, each party must file with the trial court administrator and serve on the other party a statement listing all marital and other assets and liabilities, the claimed value for each asset and liability and the proposed distribution of the assets and liabilities. In the alternative, the parties may elect to file with the trial court administrator a joint statement containing this information.
- (4) In all proceedings under ORS chapter 107, 108, or 109 wherein child support or spousal support is contested, each party must file with the trial court administrator and serve on the other party a Uniform Support Declaration in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms. A Uniform Support Declaration required by this subsection must be completed as follows:
 - (a) In all such cases, the parties must complete the declaration and required attachments.
 - (b) In all such cases, the parties must also complete the schedules and the attachments required by the schedules if:
 - (i) Spousal support is requested by either party, or
 - (ii) Child support is requested by either party in an amount that deviates from the uniform support guidelines.
- (5) If the Division of Child Support (DCS) of the Department of Justice or a district attorney child support office (DA) either initiates or responds to a proceeding falling under section (4) of this rule, the DCS or DA must be allowed to file and serve, in lieu of the Uniform Support Declaration, an affidavit which sets out the following information:
 - (a) The name of the legal or physical custodian of the child(ren).
 - (b) The name and date of birth of each child for whom support services is being sought.
 - (c) A statement of the amount of public assistance being provided.
 - (d) A statement of the value of food stamp benefits being provided.
 - (e) A statement of whether medical insurance (Medicaid) is being provided.
 - (f) A statement of any other known income of the physical custodian.

- (g) A statement concerning any special circumstances which might affect the determination of support.
- (6) In the absence of an SLR to the contrary, the documents required to be filed under subsection (3) above must be filed and served not less than 14 days before the hearing on the merits unless both parties stipulate otherwise, but in any event before the beginning of trial. Subject to the requirements of UTCR 8.040 or UTCR 8.050, when applicable, and in the absence of an SLR to the contrary, the documents required to be filed under subsections (4) and (5) above must be filed and served within 30 days of service of a petition or other pleading that seeks child support or spousal support on other than a temporary basis.
- (7) No judgment under this chapter shall be signed, filed or entered without the filing with the trial court administrator of all relevant documents, including all of the following:
 - (a) An affidavit of completed service.
 - (b) An affidavit of nonmilitary service and the proposed order of default, if the respondent is in default.
 - (c) The affidavit described in ORS 107.095(4) if the matter is uncontested.
 - (d) A completed Oregon State Health Division Record of Dissolution of Marriage form.
 - (e) If child support or spousal support is an issue, a Uniform Support Declaration for each party, except where that issue is resolved by stipulation or default. A Uniform Support Declaration required by this paragraph must be completed in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms and as provided under subsection (4) of this rule.
 - (f) If child support is an issue, the Division of Child Support (DCS) work sheets described under UTCR 8.060.
 - (g) A proposed judgment.
- (8) Parties to proceedings under ORS 107.085 or 107.485 must follow UTCR 2.130 to segregate all Social Security numbers from documents the parties submit in the proceedings so the numbers will be protected as required by ORS 107.840.

8.020 SUPPORT ORDERS

- (1) Every proposed order or judgment providing for the support of any person under ORS chapters 107, 108, 109, 110, 416 or 419A, 419B, or 419C, or modifying any order or judgment for support of any person under those chapters, must set forth the due date of the first support payment to be made thereunder, the means of payment and the person to whom payment must be made.
- (2) Every proposed order or judgment that includes a provision concerning child support must include notice that, if services are provided by the Division of Child Support, the obligor and obligee must inform the administrator, as defined in ORS 25.010(1), in writing of any change in private health insurance enrollment status within 10 days of the change.

8.040 PREJUDGMENT RELIEF UNDER ORS 107.095(1)

- (1) An order for relief authorized by ORS 107.095(1) may be granted on motion supported by affidavit setting forth sufficient facts to establish a right to the requested relief.
- (2) Any motion regarding temporary custody of a minor child must be supported by an affidavit which must state the present location of the minor child, the person with whom the child presently resides, the persons with whom and the places where the child has resided for the last 6 months, including the length of time with each person and at each residence, and the reasons why a temporary custody order is sought.
- (3) Any motion regarding temporary support must be accompanied by a Uniform Support Declaration in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms. A Uniform Support Declaration required by this subsection must be completed as provided under subsection (4) of UTCR 8.010.
- (4) The opposing party also must serve and file a Uniform Support Declaration on the moving party, when support is to be an issue. The Uniform Support Declaration required by this subsection must be completed in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms and as provided for completion of the declaration under subsection (4) of UTCR 8.010. The Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served at the time designated in the relevant SLR. In the absence of an SLR to the contrary, the Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served within 14 days of service of the motion regarding temporary support.

8.050 JUDGMENT MODIFICATION PROCEEDINGS

- (1) Modification proceedings must be initiated by an order to show cause based on a motion supported by an affidavit setting forth the factual basis for the motion or by other procedure established by SLR. The initiating documents must contain a notice to the served party, substantially in the form set out at ORCP 7. This notice may be a separate document or included in an Order to Show Cause or Motion. When support is to be an issue, a Uniform Support Declaration, as set out in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms, must also be filed with the motion and completed as provided under subsection (4) of UTCR 8.010.
- (2) Initiating documents must be served by delivering a certified copy of each document and Uniform Support Declaration, if applicable, in the manner necessary to obtain jurisdiction.
- (3) The opposing party also must serve and file a Uniform Support Declaration on the moving party, when support is to be an issue. The Uniform Support Declaration must be completed in the form specified in Form 8.010.5 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms and as provided for completion of the declaration under subsection (4) of UTCR 8.010. The Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served at the time designated in the relevant SLR. In the absence of an SLR to the contrary, the Uniform Support Declaration must be filed and served within 30 days of service of the order to show cause.
- (4) If the Division of Child Support (DCS) of the Department of Justice or a district attorney child support office (DA) either initiates or responds to a support modification proceeding, the DCS or DA must be allowed to file and serve, in lieu of the Uniform Support Declaration, an affidavit which sets out the following information:
 - (a) The name of the legal or physical custodian of the child(ren).

- (b) The name and date of birth of each child for whom support modification is being sought.
 - (c) A statement of the amount of public assistance being provided.
 - (d) A statement of the value of food stamp benefits being provided.
 - (e) A statement of whether medical insurance (Medicaid) is being provided.
 - (f) A statement of any other known income of the physical custodian.
 - (g) A statement concerning any special circumstances which might affect the determination of support.
- (5) A party who files an *ex parte* temporary custody or parenting time order pursuant to ORS 107.139 must file a motion for permanent modification of custody or have one pending at the time this application is made.

8.060 FILING DCS WORK SHEETS REQUIRED IN CHILD SUPPORT CASES

Parties must submit the completed Division of Child Support (DCS) child support calculation work sheets that are available at <http://oregonchildsupport.gov/forms/pages/index.aspx> as required by the following:

- (1) If child support is an issue at the time of trial, the UTCR 8.010 statement of each party must include the work sheets.
- (2) If child support is awarded, the judgment must incorporate the work sheet as an exhibit evidencing the basis for the court's award.
- (3) In cases involving temporary child support, the moving party must serve the adverse party with the work sheets, and financial affidavits filed by parties with the court must include the work sheets.
- (4) If child support is an issue at the time of hearing, each party must submit the work sheets to the court.
- (5) If an award of child support is modified, the amending judgment must incorporate the work sheet as an exhibit evidencing the basis for the court's award.

8.070 STANDARDIZED PARENTING PLANS

- (1) SLR 8.075 is reserved for judicial districts to announce that they have adopted a standardized parenting plan.
- (2) The standardized parenting plan shall be placed in an appendix to the SLR or on the court's website or both.

8.080 STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER TO PREVENT DISSIPATION OF ASSETS IN CERTAIN DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACTIONS

- (1) The form of notice specified in Form 8.080.1 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms must be used for the statutory restraining order established by ORS 107.093. The petitioner must ensure that a copy of the notice is attached to the summons as required by ORS 107.093(5). The notice need not be signed by a judge.
- (2) The form of notice specified in Form 8.080.2 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms must be used for the statutory restraining order established by ORS 109.103(5). The petitioner must ensure that a copy of the notice is attached to the summons as required by ORS 109.103(5)(d). The notice need not be signed by a judge.
- (3) The request for hearing required by ORS 107.093(3) or 109.103(5)(b) shall be in substantially the same form as specified in Form 8.080.3 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.

8.090 CERTIFICATE REGARDING PENDING CHILD SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS AND/OR EXISTING CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS AND/OR JUDGMENTS

A certificate regarding pending child support proceedings and/or existing child support orders and/or judgments, in substantially the same form as specified in Form 8.090 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms, shall be included with motions and petitions filed pursuant to ORS 107.085, 107.135, 107.431, 108.110, 109.100, 109.103, 109.165, and 125.025, as required by ORS 107.085(3), 107.135(2)(b), 107.431(2)(b), 108.110(4), 109.100(3), 109.103(3), 109.165(3), and 125.025(4)(b).

8.100 PROCEDURE FOR WAIVER OF MARRIAGE FEE UNDER ORS 106.120

- (1) To obtain a waiver of the fee required to be paid under ORS 106.120 before a circuit, appellate, or tax court judge can perform weddings in certain circumstances, both persons wishing to be married must do all the following:
 - (a) Complete a UTCR Form 8.100.1a in the attached UTCR Appendix of Forms.
 - (b) Submit the completed form to a circuit court judge serving the county where the wedding will be performed for review and appropriate action.
 - (c) If the request is granted by the judge under (b) of this subsection, give the copy of the signed waiver to the judge who will solemnize the ceremony.
- (2) If the request is denied by the judge, there is no waiver. Those persons who made application must either reapply under this rule or pay the fee. However, neither person may again make a request of any judge to waive the fee for 30 days from the date a judge signs an order denying a waiver under this rule.
- (3) If a person is requested to pay the fee under ORS 106.120 while applying for a marriage license or by a court clerk, the person may show a valid waiver of fee granted to that person under this rule and will not have to pay the fee. A waiver granted under this rule is valid for only 30 days from the date the judge signs the order allowing the waiver and does not waive any other fees which may legally be charged related to the marriage or wedding.

- (4) Upon receipt of a request for waiver under this rule, a judge will do all the following:
 - (a) Review the request to determine whether the judge can make a determination on the request. Only circuit court judges serving in the county where the wedding will be performed can grant a waiver under this rule. A judge will deny a request for a waiver under this rule if the request has been made to any other judge within 30 days.
 - (b) Determine whether exigent circumstances exist allowing the judge to waive the fee. The determination of exigent circumstances is at the sole discretion of the judge, but can, by statute, specifically include indigency of the parties to the marriage.
 - (c) Sign the waiver form indicating the judge's decision; give a copy of the completed, signed form to the parties to the impending marriage; and file a copy with the trial court administrator for that circuit court.
- (5) When solemnizing a marriage a judge, under ORS 106.120(9), will accept a copy of a valid waiver granted under this rule in lieu of proof of payment of the fee required under ORS 106.120(9). The judge will maintain the copy of the waiver with other records of the marriage for as long as the judge is required to maintain the other records.

8.110 LIMITED SCOPE REPRESENTATION

(1) Applicability

This rule applies to limited scope representation in domestic relations cases when an attorney intends to appear in court on behalf of a party.

(2) Notice of Limited Scope Representation

When an attorney intends to appear in court on behalf of a party, the attorney shall file and serve, as soon as practicable, a Notice of Limited Scope Representation in substantially the form as set out on the Oregon Judicial Department website (<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/pages/index.aspx>).

(3) Termination of Limited Scope Representation

When the attorney has completed all services within the scope of the Notice of Limited Scope Representation, the attorney shall file and serve a Notice of Termination of Limited Scope Representation in substantially the form as set out on the Oregon Judicial Department website (<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/pages/index.aspx>), in accordance with UTCR 3.140.

(4) Service of Documents

After an attorney files a Notice of Limited Scope Representation in accordance with this section, service of all documents shall be made upon the attorney and the party represented on a limited scope basis. The service requirement terminates as to the attorney when a Notice of Termination of Limited Scope Representation is filed and served, or when an attorney withdraws.

CHAPTER 9—Probate and Adoption Proceedings

9.010 MAILING PROBATE MATERIALS TO THE COURT

Except for a document that is electronically filed, any petition, motion, order or judgment not requiring a court appearance may be mailed to the trial court administrator, with a self-addressed stamped envelope or postcard for response.

9.020 APPROVAL OF BONDS

A supporting affidavit, signed by the guardian, conservator, personal representative or attorney of record, must be filed if there is a request for approval of a surety bond in an amount less than the aggregate value of the property in the estate as disclosed by the petition. The requirement of this section may be satisfied by a statement in the petition for appointment.

9.030 ADDRESSES AND TELEPHONE NUMBERS REQUIRED

- (1) The contact information required by UTCR 2.010(7) must be typed or printed on the last page of every document submitted to the court.
- (2) The name, address, and telephone number of the guardian, conservator, or personal representative must be typed or printed on the last page of every proposed order submitted to the court.
- (3) The trial court administrator must be promptly notified by separate document of any change in address or telephone number of any attorney of record, self-represented party, guardian, conservator, or personal representative.

9.040 SETTLEMENT OF PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMS IN PROBATE CASES

A petition for approval of a settlement of a personal injury claim must be accompanied by an affidavit setting forth all relevant information concerning the settlement, including medical reports covering the nature and extent of the injuries sustained and the prognosis. The court may require further information.

9.050 RESTRICTED ACCOUNTS

When assets of an estate or conservatorship are placed with a depository subject to withdrawal only on order of the court, a writing signed by the depository showing the assets held and that they are subject to withdrawal only on further order must be filed with the court within 30 days of entry of the order unless the order allows a longer period of time. Prompt procurement of the writing is the responsibility of the attorney for the fiduciary. Any asset restricted by court order shall be identified in the inventory or annual accountings as restricted with reference to the date and title of the order imposing the restriction.

9.060 FEES IN ESTATES, GUARDIANSHIPS AND CONSERVATORSHIPS

- (1) Attorney fees requested in protective proceedings under ORS chapter 125 must be supported by affidavit setting out the justification for the amount requested.

- (2) Attorney fees requested for a decedent's estate must be supported by affidavit in compliance with ORS 116.183.
- (3) Personal representative fees requested in excess of the statutory amounts provided in ORS 116.173(1) must be supported by affidavit setting out justification for the additional claimed amount.
- (4) All fiduciary and attorney fee applications and accountings in decedents' estates, guardianships and conservatorships must be served in the manner and on the persons described in ORS 116.093, 125.475, and acts amendatory thereof.

9.070 SUMMARY DETERMINATION OF CLAIM UNDER ORS 115.145(1)(a) AND 115.165

A party requesting a summary determination of a claim under ORS 115.145(1)(a) and 115.165 must:

- (1) indicate in the caption of the request that a summary determination is being requested, and
- (2) tender the appropriate fee with the request.

9.080 ORAL OBJECTIONS IN PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS AND NOTICE OF FREE AND LOW-COST LEGAL SERVICES

- (1) Every court exercising probate jurisdiction must adopt an SLR designating a place where oral objections may be made under ORS 125.075 to petitions in protective proceedings. SLR number 9.081 is reserved for this purpose.
- (2) Every court exercising probate jurisdiction shall post, at the place where oral objections may be made pursuant to subsection (1) of this rule, information regarding any free or low-cost legal services available in the area sufficient to satisfy the requirements of ORS 125.070.

9.160 FORM OF ACCOUNTINGS

Accountings substantially in the form specified in Form 9.160 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms, as further explained in this rule, must be accepted by all judicial districts. Accountings in this format may be made mandatory by SLR. SLR 9.161 is reserved for purposes of making such format mandatory in the judicial district:

- (1) Preliminary Information. The beginning of the accounting shall state:
 - (a) The first and last date of the accounting period. For annual accountings, the last day of the accounting period shall be within 30 days of the anniversary of appointment.
 - (b) If no bond is required, the date of the court order waiving the bond or a reference to the statute exempting the fiduciary from filing a bond. If a bond is required, the accounting shall state the current amount of the total bond. If a bond is required, an accounting shall also provide the following information.

- (i) The total value of the assets as of the last date of the current accounting period;
 - (ii) The income estimated to be received during the next accounting period;
 - (iii) Total assets and income (the sum of items (i) and (ii));
 - (iv) The value of the total assets and income which have been restricted by court order and a reference to the dates of all orders restricting assets;
 - (v) Unrestricted assets and income (the difference between (iii) and (iv), generally the amount which should be bonded);
 - (vi) The fiduciary's request for any change in the amount of the existing bond or in restrictions on assets or income.
 - (vii) If appropriate, an explanation for any difference between the amount of the requested bond and the amount that should be bonded.
- (2) Asset Schedule. There shall be a separate asset schedule with a summary of all property of the estate or conservatorship. All assets listed in the Inventory, any Amended or Supplemental Inventory, or the previous accounting and all assets subsequently acquired shall be listed in this schedule if they are owned at any time during the accounting period.
- (a) This schedule shall have at least five columns.
 - (i) Description of Asset. The first column shall describe each asset owned by the estate or conservatorship at any time during the accounting period. The description of any asset that has been restricted pursuant to court order shall include the date and title of the order. The description of any asset acquired or disposed of during the accounting period shall include the date of acquisition or disposal. If an asset consists of a depository account into which funds are received or from which funds are disbursed, the description shall include a reference to any separate paragraph or exhibit containing the statement of receipts and disbursements for the depository account.
 - (ii) Beginning Value. If the asset was owned by the estate or conservatorship at the beginning of the accounting period, the second column shall state the value of the asset at the beginning of the accounting period.
 - (iii) Value of Later-Acquired Asset. If the asset was acquired after the beginning of the accounting period, the third column shall state the value at acquisition.
 - (iv) Value at Disposition. If the asset was disposed of before the end of the accounting period, the fourth column shall state the value at disposition.
 - (v) Current Value. If the asset is in existence at the end of the accounting period, the fifth column shall state the current value.
 - (b) The sums of the second through fifth columns shall be provided at the bottoms of those columns.

- (c) The schedule may have additional information such as original cost, increase or decrease in value, the source of an acquisition or the reason for disposition of assets, and any other information which would aid in accounting for assets.
 - (d) For the purpose of this schedule, total value of household goods and personal belongings may be listed on one line.
 - (e) For the purpose of this schedule, the side margins may be one-half inch and font size may be no smaller than 10 point type.
 - (f) A trust company acting as a fiduciary is exempt from the requirement to file an asset schedule as provided above. Instead, a trust company acting as a fiduciary may provide a schedule of assets in existence at the beginning of the accounting period and a schedule of assets in existence at the end of the accounting period.
- (3) Receipts and Disbursements. The accounting of receipts and disbursements shall meet the following requirements for each depository account:
- (a) For each account, receipts and disbursements shall be separately listed in chronological order, with the date and value of each transaction. For each account, the total of each list of receipts and disbursements shall be provided at the end of each list.
 - (b) Each receipt into the account shall show the source and shall have a brief explanation of the source or purpose of the entry. The first entry in the list of receipts shall be the beginning balance for the account.
 - (c) Each disbursement from the account shall show the payee or recipient and shall have a brief explanation of its purpose. If the disbursement is by check or similar instrument, the name on the disbursement shall match the payee on the instrument. The sum of the total disbursements plus the ending balance in the account shall be shown.
 - (d) A sale of real property shall be evidenced by a copy of the seller's closing statement from escrow or, if none is available, third-party documentation of the details of the transaction.
 - (e) Any transfers between depository accounts shall be so labeled with reference to the source or destination of the deposit or withdrawal.
 - (f) Any difference between the closing balance shown for the account in the accounting and the closing balance shown for the account in a depository statement filed in accordance with these rules shall be reconciled.
 - (g) For the purpose of this schedule, the side margins may be one-half inch and font size may be no smaller than 10 point type.
 - (h) A trust company acting as a fiduciary is exempt from the requirements of UTCR 9.160(3)(a). Instead, a trust company acting as a fiduciary may provide a chronological list of receipts and disbursements, with a total for the amount of receipts and a total for the amount of disbursements.
- (4) Narrative. The accounting shall include a description of any changes in the assets of the estate or conservatorship or the financial life of the protected person not clearly shown in

the Asset Schedule including, but not limited to, corrections to previously declared values, omitted assets, the closing of an account, the sale or purchase of an asset, a significant change in living expenses, or a stock split.

- (5) Other forms of accounting. In its discretion, the court may allow other forms of accounting.

9.170 FIDUCIARY DISCLOSURE IN ACCOUNTINGS

The narrative of an accounting shall specifically disclose and explain all of the following transactions during the accounting period unless previously approved by the court:

- (1) All gifts.
- (2) Transactions with a person or entity with whom the fiduciary has a relationship which could compromise or otherwise affect decisions made by the fiduciary. The disclosure shall include, but is not limited to, payment for goods, services, rent, reimbursement of expenses, and any other like transactions.
- (3) Any payment for goods or services provided either:
 - (a) by a person who is not engaged in an established business of providing similar goods or services to the general public; or
 - (b) at a rate higher than that ordinarily charged to the general public.

9.180 VOUCHERS AND DEPOSITORY STATEMENTS

- (1) Unless otherwise provided by statute, SLR, or order of the court, a voucher for each disbursement reported in the accounting must accompany the accounting as a separate exhibit or shall be attached to a cover page showing the case caption. Vouchers required by statute or order of the court must be documents evidencing each disbursement and showing the name of the payee, date, and amount.
- (2) Unless the fiduciary is excused from the requirement of filing vouchers, the accounting shall include depository statements for each account. An opening depository statement must evidence the account beginning balance, unless one was submitted with a previous accounting. A closing depository statement must evidence the balance in the account within 30 days of the close of the accounting period or on the date of closing of an account closed during the accounting period.
- (3) In a proceeding involving fiduciary accounts for which the depository does not issue regular statements, the court must accept a Depository Certification of Funds on Deposit that is substantially in the form specified in Form 9.180.3 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.
- (4) For purposes of this rule, a “depository” is an entity holding assets of the estate or conservatorship, including a bank, stock and bond broker, mutual fund, or similar entity.
- (5) Copies of vouchers and depository statements need not be served on persons entitled to copies of the accountings or on persons who have requested notice in the proceedings.

9.190 RETURN OF VOUCHERS AND DEPOSITORY STATEMENTS

Vouchers and depository statements submitted under UTCR 9.180 may, in the court's discretion, be returned to a personal representative, conservator, guardian or attorney of record at any time after expiration of the time for appeal or, if an appeal is taken, after final determination of the case. A person requesting return of vouchers or depository statements shall submit a self-addressed envelope with adequate postage with the documents filed.

9.300 APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIANS IN ADOPTIONS

Except in cases when one or more of the petitioners, or a state or private agency, is the legal or natural guardian of the minor child, when a petition is filed for leave to adopt a minor child and the required consent thereto has been filed, the attorney for the petitioner must prepare and submit to the court an order providing for the appointment of the petitioner, or other suitable person, as guardian of the person of the minor child pending further order of the court or entry of a judgment.

9.310 PRESENTATION OF ADOPTION JUDGMENTS

Proposed adoption judgments may be presented to the court without the necessity of a personal appearance by the attorney or the adoptive parents.

9.320 CHANGE OF NAME AND CHANGE OF SEX PROCEEDINGS

The public notice required by ORS 33.420 or ORS 33.460 may be given either by posting in a public place or publication in a newspaper of general circulation. The notice must give 14 days from the date of posting or publication for all persons to appear and show cause. If no appearance is made, the judgment may be entered 15 days after posting or publication. After entry of the judgment, public notice of the change must be given by posting in a public place or publication in a newspaper of general circulation. A certificate of posting or publication must be submitted to the trial court administrator.

9.400 COURT VISITOR'S REPORT

A court visitor must file the court visitor's report in an adult guardianship in substantially the form of UTCR 9.400.1 unless the judicial district in which the report will be filed has adopted another form by SLR or by Presiding Judge Order pursuant to ORS 125.165(1)(b) and the form adopted by that judicial district includes all of the information required by UTCR Form 9.400.1.

9.410 PROTECTIVE PROCEEDING – CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ORDER

A person who submits to the court confidential and protected information from the Department of Human Services or the Oregon Health Authority pursuant to ORS 125.012 must at the same time submit a proposed order in substantially the form of UTCR Form 9.410.1. The person must serve a copy of the order signed by the court on all parties to the proceeding.

CHAPTER 10—Proceedings Relating to Vehicle Laws and Driving Privilege Suspensions

10.010 PETITION FOR REVIEW OF ORDER OF SUSPENSION UNDER ORS 813.410

A petition for review of a final order of the Driver and Motor Vehicle Services Branch of the Oregon Department of Transportation (DMV) must be filed with the trial court administrator. Copies of the petition must be served on the DMV and the Attorney General. The petition filed with the trial court administrator must contain a certificate of service of the above copies. The petition as filed and served must be accompanied by a copy of the final order of the DMV from which the appeal is taken. The petition for review and the certificate of service must be substantially in the form specified in Form 10.010.a and Form 10.010.b in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.

10.020 PREPARATION AND DELIVERY OF THE RECORD ON REVIEW

- (1) When a petition is served on the DMV, the DMV must prepare the record of the proceeding, including a transcription of the oral proceedings, or the agreed portion thereof if the parties have stipulated to shorten the record, and all exhibits introduced and made a part of the record at the hearing. The DMV must serve certified true copies of the record on the petitioner and the Attorney General. The DMV must file the original record with the trial court administrator within 30 days of service of the petition for review. The record must be accompanied by proof of service. On good cause shown, the court may extend the time for filing of the record.
- (2) The record, preceded by an index of its contents, must be securely fastened in a suitable cover or folder showing on the outside the title and agency number of the case, the name of the administrative law judge, and the date and location of the hearing. The pages of the record must be consecutively numbered at the bottom center of each page.
- (3) When the court has entered its judgment and the period for appeal has elapsed without an appeal being taken, the record will be returned to the agency, unless the court otherwise directs.

10.030 FORM OF TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS

A written transcript of the oral proceedings must meet the following specifications:

- (1) It must be typewritten, double-spaced, on paper with numbered lines and prepared on one side only. Typewriting must be first impression; clear and legible; and on good quality white, opaque, unglazed paper 8-1/2 x 11 inches in size.
- (2) Each page must be consecutively numbered at the top right corner, and to the left thereof must be given the name of the witness followed by a notation indicating whether the testimony is on direct, cross, redirect or recross examination, indicated by "D," "X," "ReD" or "ReX." Appropriate notation must similarly be made of other proceedings.
- (3) Pages must contain no more than 25 lines, with margins of 1-1/2 inches on the left and 1/2 inch on the right.
- (4) Type must be standard pica or equivalent size.

10.040 SETTLEMENT OF THE RECORD

A motion to correct the record may be filed within 7 days of the filing of the record. Unless a motion to correct is filed, the record is deemed settled. Upon filing with the trial court administrator of a motion to correct the record, the court shall direct the making of such corrections as may be appropriate, and shall fix the time within which such corrections will be made. Upon filing with the trial court administrator of the record so corrected, the record shall be deemed settled.

10.050 PETITIONER'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

- (1) The petitioner must file a memorandum of points and authorities in support of the challenge to the validity of the final order of the DMV. Points must be concise statements of the arguments supporting the petitioner's challenge to the validity of the final order. Each point must be accompanied by a reference to the page number of the record where the matter is found. Each point must be followed by a citation of authorities for that point. Points not accompanied by a reference to the record or a statement of authorities need not be considered by the court.
- (2) The petitioner's memorandum of points and authorities, including proof of service on the Attorney General at the address shown in the Certificate of Service required under UTCR 10.010, must be filed with the trial court administrator no later than 14 days after the date of settlement of the record.

10.060 OPPOSING PARTY'S RESPONSE

The respondent may file a written memorandum of points and authorities in response to the matters raised in the petitioner's memorandum, including proof of service on the petitioner, not fewer than three days before the date set for hearing. The respondent's memorandum must refer to each point in the petitioner's memorandum being addressed, and each point must be followed by a statement of authorities in support of the respondent's position.

10.070 SETTING HEARING DATE

- (1) Unless waived in writing by both parties, the court shall schedule the hearing within 35 days of the filing of the petitioner's memorandum of points and authorities or the settlement of the record, whichever occurs later. The court shall notify the parties of the date at least ten days before the scheduled hearing.
- (2) A party may request that the hearing be conducted by a conference call between the court and the opposing parties. The request must be granted if the office making the request is located more than 25 miles from the courthouse. UTCR 10.090 and all applicable rules of decorum in proceedings must be observed by the parties and enforced by the court during the conduct of a conference call hearing.

10.080 ORAL ARGUMENT AT HEARING

- (1) At oral argument, the petitioner shall be entitled to open and close. Unless the court otherwise orders, the petitioner shall be limited to ten minutes oral argument and the

respondent shall be limited to ten minutes; but, the petitioner may reserve up to five minutes for rebuttal.

- (2) No point raised by a party's memorandum of points and authorities shall be deemed waived by the party's failure to present the point in oral argument.
- (3) If a party fails to appear at the hearing, the court shall deem the cause as to that party submitted without oral argument. A party's failure to appear shall not preclude oral argument by the other party.

10.090 ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

The court shall enter its judgment within 7 days of the hearing or, if no hearing is held, within 7 days of the time provided for hearing in UTCR 10.070(1).

CHAPTER 11—Juvenile Court Proceedings

11.010 APPLICATION FOR COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL

- (1) An application for a court appointed counsel and a sworn statement of financial condition shall be provided for each affected adult and child on intake or at the earliest practicable other time.
- (2) Counsel may be appointed for a child in any case, but counsel will not be appointed for any adult person unless that person files a verified financial statement and any other information in writing and under oath that the court may require or that the applicant desires to submit relating to the applicant's financial ability to retain counsel.
- (3) On receipt of an application, the court shall promptly rule in the matter. If the application is granted, the court shall promptly appoint counsel and notify counsel of the appointment.

11.020 COMPENSATION AND APPOINTMENT OF COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL

- (1) Allowance of attorney fees in juvenile proceedings shall be governed by ORS 135.055.
- (2) Unless otherwise specified by written court order, an order for appointment of counsel shall expire when the time for taking an appeal has expired.

11.040 ADMISSION OR STIPULATION TO JURISDICTION; DISMISSAL

In juvenile cases, after having knowledge thereof, the parties must immediately notify the court of an admission or stipulation of jurisdiction or of a dismissal before the jurisdictional or dispositional hearing.

11.050 TIME REQUIRED FOR HOLDING DISPOSITIONAL HEARING

This rule was repealed effective August 1, 2013.

11.060 PREDISPOSITION INVESTIGATION

- (1) If an investigation report is prepared under ORS 419A.012, 419B.112(2)(a), and 419C.300, it shall be made available to the parties at least 7 days before the dispositional hearing, unless the parties stipulate to a shorter time.
- (2) If jurisdiction is contested, the court shall not read the report until after jurisdiction has been established.
- (3) If the investigation produces information which the Juvenile Department or other agency preparing the report concludes should not be divulged to the child, parents or counsel, that information must, on notice to the parties, be separated from the predisposition reports and must be divulged only pursuant to court order. If the court does not issue an order to divulge such information, the court shall set forth the reasons for its action.

11.070 TEMPORARY SUSPENSION OF VISITATION RIGHTS WHEN TERMINATION
 PETITION FILED

Parental visitation rights with respect to children who are wards of the court shall not be suspended while a petition to terminate parental rights is pending, unless ordered by the court on good cause shown.

CHAPTER 12—Mediation

REPORTER'S NOTE: UTCR 12.500 - 12.760, Form 12.540.1a, and Form 12.540.2 were repealed effective August 1, 2005. Replacement rules will be adopted by Chief Justice Order as stand-alone mediation rules. These replacement rules will not be part of the UTCR nor will they be subject to the UTCR process. They will be posted at:

<http://www.ojd.state.or.us/Web/OJDPublications.nsf/Mediation?OpenView&count=1000>.

CHAPTER 13—Arbitration

13.010 APPLICATION OF CHAPTER

- (1) This UTCR chapter applies to arbitration under ORS 36.400 to 36.425 and Acts amendatory thereof but, except as therein provided, does not apply to any of the following:
 - (a) Arbitration by private agreement.
 - (b) Arbitration under any other statute.
 - (c) Matters exempt by ORS 36.400.
 - (d) Any civil action exempt from arbitration by action of a presiding judge under ORS 36.405.
- (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), each judicial district may adopt an SLR requiring arbitration proceedings under ORS 742.505 and ORS 742.521 to be conducted pursuant to UTCR 13.140, 13.150, 13.170, 13.180, and 13.190.
- (3) This UTCR chapter on arbitration is not designed to address every question that may arise during the arbitration hearing. These rules give considerable discretion to the arbitrator. The arbitrator should not hesitate to exercise that discretion.

13.030 ARBITRATION COMMISSION

- (1) Each court must establish an arbitration commission.
- (2) The function of the arbitration commission is to supervise the arbitration program and to give advisory opinions relating to arbitration.
- (3) The arbitration commission must include both judge and attorney members and, as an ex officio member, the court administrator.

13.040 RELATIONSHIP TO COURT JURISDICTION AND APPLICABLE RULES

- (1) A case filed in the circuit court remains under the jurisdiction of that court in all phases of the proceedings, including arbitration. Except for the authority expressly given to the arbitrator by these rules, all issues shall be determined by the court of jurisdiction.
- (2) Until a case is assigned to the arbitrator, Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure apply. After a case is assigned to an arbitrator, these arbitration rules apply except where an arbitration rule states that a Rule of Civil Procedure applies.
- (3) Once a case is assigned to arbitration, all motions against the pleadings, all motions for discovery, and all similar pretrial motions not then resolved will be submitted to the arbitrator only and determined by the arbitrator. The arbitrator's determination, however, will apply only during the arbitration proceeding. If a request for trial *de novo* is filed, such matters may be raised again. If the arbitrator's decision on a pretrial motion will prejudice a party on trial *de novo*, that party may file an appropriate motion with the court.

13.050 ARBITRATION WHEN CASE ALREADY SET FOR TRIAL

- (1) Cases will not be assigned to arbitration within 63 days of the set trial date, except by order of the court.
- (2) A court order is not necessary if by stipulation the parties agree upon an arbitrator and agree upon a hearing date at least 28 days before the scheduled trial date.

13.060 PLEADINGS IN CASES SUBJECT OR NOT SUBJECT TO ARBITRATION

- (1) All civil actions (including domestic relations cases described under ORS 36.405(1)(b)) will be assigned to arbitration unless one of the following occurs:
 - (a) The title of a pleading contains the words "CLAIM NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION" in compliance with subsection (3) of this rule.
 - (b) Any party files a notice, prior to the assignment to arbitration, that the case is not subject to mandatory arbitration. The notice must state grounds sufficient to exempt the case from mandatory arbitration.
 - (c) The court orders the case removed from mandatory arbitration under ORS 36.405(2).
- (2) Notice under part (1)(a) or (1)(b) of this rule does not prevent any party from asserting by appropriate motion, that the case is subject to mandatory arbitration.
- (3) A party must place one or the other of the following in the title of a pleading in the case (including a claim, counterclaim, cross claim, third-party claim, petition, and response): "SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION" or "CLAIM NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION." When a party places the "NOT SUBJECT" language in the title of the pleading, the party gives notice to the court and other parties that the case is exempted from mandatory arbitration either clearly by statute or under these rules. This language must not be in the title of a pleading for any other purpose. A party's signature on pleadings containing such language constitutes the party's certificate of such notice under ORCP 17. In all other instances, the party will place the language in the title indicating the case is subject to mandatory arbitration.

13.070 EXEMPTION FROM ARBITRATION

Within 14 days after notification by the court that the case is assigned to arbitration, any party seeking exemption from arbitration must file and serve a "Motion for Exemption from Arbitration."

13.080 ASSIGNMENT TO ARBITRATOR

- (1) The parties may select an arbitrator by stipulation.
- (2) At the time of giving notice of the assignment to arbitration, the trial court administrator shall furnish a list of proposed arbitrators as well as a copy of the procedures for the selection of arbitrators and for setting an arbitration hearing. The procedures for selection of arbitrators shall be established by the arbitration commission.

- (3) An arbitrator shall be assigned under (1) or (2) of this rule within 21 days after the assignment to arbitration.

13.090 ARBITRATORS

- (1) Unless otherwise ordered or stipulated, an arbitrator must be an active member in good standing of the Oregon State Bar, who has been admitted to any Bar for a minimum of five years, or a retired or senior judge. The parties may stipulate to a nonlawyer arbitrator.
- (2) An arbitrator who is not a retired or senior judge or stipulated nonlawyer arbitrator must be an active member in good standing of the Oregon State Bar at the time of each appointment. During any period of suspension from the practice of law or in the event of disbarment, an arbitrator will be removed from the court's list of arbitrators and may reapply when the attorney is reinstated or readmitted to the bar.
- (3) Arbitrators will conduct themselves in the manner prescribed by the Code of Judicial Conduct.

13.100 AUTHORITY OF ARBITRATORS

An arbitrator has the authority to do all of the following, but may exercise the authority conferred only after the case is assigned to a specific arbitrator and any disputes over the assignment have been settled:

- (1) Decide procedural issues arising before or during the arbitration hearing, except issues relating to arbitrability or the qualification of an arbitrator. The court may entertain a challenge to the qualification of an arbitrator on grounds that could not be discovered prior to assignment of the arbitrator to the case.
- (2) Invite, with reasonable notice, the parties to submit trial briefs.
- (3) After notice to the parties, examine any site or object relevant to the case.
- (4) Issue a subpoena, enforceable in the manner described in ORS 36.675.
- (5) Administer oath or affirmations to witnesses.
- (6) Rule on the admissibility of evidence in accordance with these rules.
- (7) Determine the facts, apply the law and make an award; perform other acts as authorized by these rules.
- (8) Determine the place, time and procedure to present a motion before the arbitrator, including motions for Summary Award (known as Summary Judgment under ORCP).
- (9) Require a party, an attorney advising each party, or both, to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney fees, caused by the failure of such party or attorney or both, to obey an order of the arbitrator.
- (10) Award attorney fees as authorized by these rules, by contract or by law.

13.110 ARBITRATOR'S OATH

Arbitrators will be required to execute the following oath in writing on a form provided by the trial court administrator at the time of appointment:

I solemnly affirm that I will faithfully and fairly hear and examine the matters in controversy and that I will make a just award to the best of my understanding.

13.120 COMPENSATION OF ARBITRATOR

- (1) The arbitration commission shall establish a compensation schedule for arbitrators. If the arbitrator suggests that extraordinary conditions justify a different fee, and the parties concur, the fee may be adjusted accordingly. If the parties, or any of them, do not concur, the arbitrator shall direct an inquiry to the court for determination of the appropriate fee.
- (2) Within 14 days of the appointment of the arbitrator, each party must tender to the arbitrator a pro rata share of the preliminary payment for the arbitrator. Any deposit in excess of the arbitrator's actual fee will be refunded to the parties. Regardless of whether the arbitration hearing is conducted, the parties must pay a proportionate share of the arbitrator's fee. The arbitrator must submit to each party an itemized statement.
- (3) Relief from the payment of arbitration fees, in whole or in part, as provided for in ORS 36.420(3) must be applied for immediately upon a case or a small claim becoming eligible for arbitration. The court will provide the arbitrator with a copy of any order waiving or deferring all or any part of the fees.
- (4) Any dispute as to the amount of the arbitrator's fee must be submitted to the court.
- (5) The arbitrator's fee may be considered a recoverable item of costs.
- (6) At the conclusion of the arbitration process, the court may enter a judgment in the arbitrator's favor and against any party who has not paid the arbitrator's fee in accordance with the schedule established under paragraph (1).

13.130 RESTRICTIONS ON COMMUNICATION BETWEEN ARBITRATOR, PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS

Unless all parties otherwise agree, no disclosure of any offers or settlement made by any party shall be made to the arbitrator prior to the announcement of the award. Neither counsel nor a party may communicate with the arbitrator, regarding the merits of the case, except in the presence of, or on reasonable notice to, all other parties.

Except for Rules 1, 4.1 to 4.3, 4.5 to 4.10, and 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct, all rules of professional conduct concerning Bench and Bar apply in the arbitration process.

13.140 DISCOVERY

Discovery shall be conducted in accordance with Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure, and all motions shall be determined by the arbitrator. The arbitrator shall balance the benefits of discovery against the burdens and expenses. The arbitrator shall consider the nature and

complexity of the case, the amount of controversy, and the possibility of unfair surprise that may result if discovery is restricted.

13.150 SUBPOENA

In accordance with the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure, a lawyer of record or the arbitrator may issue a subpoena for the attendance of a witness at the arbitration hearing or for the production of documentary evidence at the hearing.

13.160 SCHEDULING OF THE HEARING

- (1) The arbitrator shall set the time, date and place of hearing and shall give reasonable notice of the hearing date to the parties and comply with ORS 36.420. The arbitrator shall also give notice of the hearing date and any continuance to the trial court administrator.
- (2) A court may adopt a supplementary local rule establishing a deadline for the arbitration hearing and a process for obtaining a postponement or continuance. A supplementary local rule may not allow the arbitration process to extend more than six months from the date the case is assigned to an arbitrator. In the absence of a supplementary local rule adopted pursuant to this section, the requirements set forth below in sections (3) and (4) shall apply.
- (3) Except for good cause shown, the hearing must be scheduled to take place not sooner than 14 days, or later than 49 days, from the date of assignment of the case to the arbitrator. The parties may stipulate to a postponement or continuance only with the permission of the arbitrator. Such postponements or continuances must also be within the 49-day period. Any continuances or postponements beyond such period require the arbitrator to obtain approval of the presiding judge. The arbitrator must give notice of any continuance to the trial court administrator.
- (4) Continuances and postponements shall not be granted except in the more unusual circumstances. Approximately two months are allocated for the arbitration process. The arbitrator is given the power to enforce the rules and will be required to maintain the schedule.

13.170 PREHEARING STATEMENT OF PROOF

- (1) At least 14 days prior to the date of the arbitration hearing, each party must submit to the arbitrator and serve upon all other parties all the following:
 - (a) A list of all exhibits to be offered showing or accompanied by a description of the document and the name, address and telephone number of its author or maker and complying with UTCR 13.190(2)(c). Each party, upon request, must make any exhibits available for inspection and copying by other parties.
 - (b) A list of witnesses the party intends to call at the arbitration hearing with their addresses and telephone numbers and a statement of the matters about which each witness will be called to testify.
 - (c) An estimate as to the expected length of the hearing.

- (2) A party failing to comply with this rule, or failing to comply with a discovery order, may not present at the hearing any witness or exhibit required to be disclosed or made available, except with the permission of the arbitrator.
- (3) Each party must also furnish the arbitrator, at least 14 days prior to the arbitration hearing, with copies of pleadings and other documents contained in the court file which that party deems relevant.

13.180 CONDUCT OF HEARING

- (1) Arbitration hearings shall be informal and expeditious. The arbitrator shall exercise reasonable control over the mode and order of interrogating witnesses and presenting evidence so as to do the following:
 - (a) Make the interrogation and presentation effective for the ascertainment of the facts.
 - (b) Avoid needless consumption of time.
 - (c) Protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.
- (2) A witness shall be placed under oath or affirmation prior to presenting testimony, a violation of which oath shall be deemed contempt of court, in addition to other penalties that may be provided by law. The arbitrator may question the witness. The extent to which the rules of evidence will be applied shall be determined in the discretion of the arbitrator.
- (3) The hearing may be recorded electronically or otherwise by any party or the arbitrator. The cost of such recording is not a recoverable item of cost.

13.190 CERTAIN DOCUMENTS ADMISSIBLE

- (1) The documents listed in subsection (2) of this rule, if relevant, are admissible at an arbitration hearing, but only if:
 - (a) The party offering the document has included in the prehearing statement of proof a description of the document and the name, address and telephone number of its author or maker, at least 14 days prior to the hearing; and
 - (b) The party offering the document promptly has made available, after request, to all other parties, all other documents from the same author or maker.
- (2) The following documents are subject to this rule:
 - (a) A bill, report, chart or record of a hospital, doctor, dentist, registered nurse, licensed practical nurse, physical therapist, psychologist or other health care provider on a letterhead or a printed bill.
 - (b) A bill for drugs, medical appliances or other related expenses on a letterhead or a printed bill.
 - (c) A bill for, or an estimate of, property damage on a letterhead or a printed bill. In the case of an estimate, the party intending to offer the estimate must forward with the

prehearing statement of proof under UTCR 13.170 a statement indicating whether or not the property was repaired, and if it was, whether the estimated repairs were made in full or in part, attaching a copy to the receipted bill showing the items of repair and the amount paid.

- (d) A police, weather, wage loss or traffic signal report or standard life expectancy table.
 - (e) A photograph, x-ray, drawing, map, blueprint or similar documentary evidence.
 - (f) The written statement of any witnesses, including the written report of an expert witness which may include a statement of the expert's qualifications, and including a statement of opinion which the witness would express if testifying in person, if it is made by affidavit or by declaration under penalty of perjury.
 - (g) A document not specifically covered by any of the foregoing provisions, but having equivalent circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness, the admission of which would serve the policies, purposes and interests of justice.
- (3) Any other party may subpoena the author or maker of a document admissible under this rule, at that party's expense, and examine the author or maker as if under cross-examination.

13.200 ABSENCE OF PARTY AT HEARING

- (1) The arbitration hearing may proceed and an award may be made in the absence of any party who, after due notice, fails to participate or to obtain a continuance or postponement.
- (2) If a defendant is absent, the arbitrator shall require the plaintiff to submit evidence sufficient to support an award.
- (3) In a case involving more than one defendant, the absence of a defendant does not preclude the arbitrator from assessing as part of the award damages against the defendant or defendants who are absent.
- (4) The arbitrator, for good cause shown, may allow an absent party an opportunity to appear at a subsequent hearing before making an award.

13.210 FORM AND CONTENT OF AWARD

- (1) The award must be in writing and prepared on a form prescribed by the court and signed by the arbitrator.
- (2) The arbitrator shall determine all issues raised by the pleadings, including a determination of any damages, costs and attorney fees where allowed under applicable law.
- (3) Findings of fact, conclusions of law and written opinions are not required.
- (4) The award must contain the caption of the case and all the following information:
 - (a) The date of the hearing, if any.
 - (b) The prevailing party and the amount of relief awarded.

- (c) Whether any part of the award was based on the failure of any party to appear and the identity of that party.
 - (d) The name and office address of the arbitrator.
 - (e) Provision for costs and for attorney fees where allowed under applicable law.
 - (f) Interest in accordance with applicable law specifying the rate of interest and the date from which it accrues.
- (5) Within 28 days after the conclusion of the arbitration hearing, the arbitrator shall send the award to the parties without filing with the court and shall establish procedures for determining attorney fees and costs.
 - (6) In dissolution cases, the arbitrator shall send the award to the parties within 28 days after the conclusion of the arbitration hearing and shall direct a party to prepare and submit a form of judgment. The arbitrator, upon request of any party, shall give the parties an opportunity to be heard on the form of judgment. The arbitrator shall then approve a form of judgment and file the award, along with the approved form of judgment, per UTCR 13.220.

1988 Commentary:

It is the intent of the Committee that 13.210(2) applies in dissolution cases.

1994 Commentary:

The Committee intends that the arbitrator determine all costs to which the prevailing party may be entitled, including the prevailing fee and share of the arbitrator's fee.

13.220 FILING OF AN AWARD

- (1) In all cases, the arbitrator shall file the award with the trial court administrator, together with proof of service of a copy of the award upon each party, within 42 days after the conclusion of the arbitration hearing.
- (2) An arbitrator may request an extension of time for filing of the award by presenting a written *ex parte* request to the trial court administrator. The trial court administrator may grant or deny the request, subject to review of the presiding judge. The arbitrator shall give the parties notice of any extension granted.
- (3) The arbitrator may file with the trial court administrator and serve upon the parties an amended award to correct an obvious error made in stating the award if done within the time for filing an award or upon application to the court to amend.
- (4) After the award is filed, the arbitrator must return all documents and exhibits to the parties who originally offered them. All other documents and materials relating to the case must be delivered to the trial court administrator. The parties must retain all exhibits returned by the arbitrator until a final judgment is entered in the case.

13.240 JUDGMENT ON AWARD

If no request for trial *de novo* is filed within the time established by ORS 36.425(3), a judgment shall be prepared based on the arbitration decision and award and submitted to the court to be entered.

13.250 REQUEST FOR TRIAL *DE NOVO*

- (1) A party who qualifies under ORS 36.425(2) may obtain a trial *de novo* on the case determined by completing the service, filing, payment of trial or jury fee and deposit as required under ORS 36.425(2).
- (2) In addition to the provisions under ORS 36.425 relating to a trial *de novo*, the following provisions apply:
 - (a) In addition to filing a written notice of appeal and request for trial *de novo* with the trial court administrator, the party must serve on the parties a copy of the written notice of appeal and request for a trial *de novo* filed with the trial court administrator, and proof of such service must be filed with the trial court administrator.
 - (b) When cases are consolidated for arbitration and a party has filed an appeal from the arbitration award in one or more of the consolidated cases, any other party who otherwise qualifies under ORS 36.425(2) may serve and file with the trial court administrator a request for trial *de novo*, with proof of service on all other parties, within 20 days from the filing of the arbitration award or within two judicial days after the service of the initial written request for trial *de novo*, notwithstanding the lapse of 20 days from the filing of the arbitration award.
 - (c) If the trial *de novo* request is withdrawn, or abandoned, such appealing party must obtain permission of the court or there must be a stipulation of all parties to the abandonment of the appeal and the terms thereof.
 - (d) Cross appeal is not necessary to preserve issues raised in a counterclaim, because the trial *de novo* encompasses all claims raised by any party in the particular case appealed.
 - (e) The court may assess statutory costs against a party who withdraws a request for trial *de novo*.

13.260 PROCEDURE AT TRIAL *DE NOVO*

The trial court administrator must seal any award if a trial *de novo* is requested. Neither judge nor jury will be informed of the arbitration result. The sealed arbitration award will not be opened until after the verdict is received and filed in a jury trial or until after the judge has rendered a decision in a court trial.

13.280 TRIAL DOCKET

Every case assigned to arbitration shall maintain its approximate position on the civil trial docket as if the case had not been assigned to arbitration, unless, at the discretion of the court, the docket position should be modified.

13.300 PRETRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES AND ARBITRATION

Cases assigned to arbitration or the pendency of an arbitration hearing does not exclude a case from participating in a court pretrial settlement conference.

CHAPTER 14—Reference Judges

This chapter reserved for future use.

CHAPTER 15—Small Claims

15.010 SMALL CLAIMS FORMS

- (1) The following small claims documents shall be accepted, when the proper fee is tendered, by all judicial districts that accept small claims filings:
 - (a) Small Claim and Notice of Small Claim substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, to commence a small claims action pursuant to ORS 46.425 and 46.445 or 30.642 – 30.650. In an action by an inmate, the inmate must include the inmate's identification number in the caption.
 - (b) Motion for Default Judgment and Defendant Status Declaration substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, to request a default judgment pursuant to ORS 46.475(2).
 - (c) Declaration of Noncompliance and Request for Judgment substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, to request a judgment for failure to comply with a Small Claims Agreement.
 - (d) Small Claims Judgment and Money Award substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, as a form for use to enter judgment in a small claims action under ORS 46.475(2), 46.485, and 46.488.
 - (e) Defendant's Response substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, as a form for use to respond to a claim and notice of claim in a small claims action pursuant to ORS 46.455.
 - (f) Small Claims Agreement substantially in the form of the corresponding document made available to the public on <http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/forms>, as a form for use when the parties agree to resolve a small claims action.
- (2) Forms in these formats may be made mandatory by SLR. SLR 15.011 is reserved for making such formats mandatory in the judicial district.

15.020 DISMISSAL OF SMALL CLAIMS FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION

- (1) After service is made, the serving party must forthwith file the return or acceptance of service with the trial court administrator.
- (2) If no return or acceptance of service is filed by the 63rd day after the filing of the complaint, the court may dismiss the case for want of prosecution.
- (3) If proof of service is filed and any defendant does not appear by the 35th day after the proof of service is filed, the court may dismiss the complaint against each nonappearing defendant for want of prosecution unless the plaintiff has applied for a default judgment.

CHAPTER 16—Violations

This chapter reserved for future use.

CHAPTER 17—Local Parking Violations

REPORTER'S NOTE: UTCR 17.010 was repealed out-of-cycle by Chief Justice Order No. 05-032, dated July 29, 2005, effective immediately.

CHAPTER 18—Forcible Entry and Detainer (FED) Actions

This chapter reserved for future use.

CHAPTER 19—Contempt Proceedings

NOTE: The rules in UTCR Chapter 19 were adopted pursuant to ORS 33.145 by the Oregon Supreme Court. They were originally adopted as Temporary Oregon Contempt Rules (TOCR) by the Supreme Court on the 27th of September, 1991, by Supreme Court Order No. 91-078. Although not originally adopted as UTCR, these rules were amended by the Supreme Court and added to the UTCR effective August 1, 1993, by Supreme Court Order No. 93-035. Even though added to the UTCR for purposes of citation, comment, and proposed changes, the rules in this UTCR chapter will continue to be changed only by action of the Supreme Court as provided under ORS 33.145.

19.010 SCOPE, CONSTRUCTION, APPLICATION

- (1) The rules in this UTCR chapter govern contempt proceedings under ORS 33.015 to 33.155 and are intended to promote efficient and fair resolution of contempt proceedings. The rules in this chapter will be changed only by action of the entire Supreme Court.
- (2) The rules in this chapter do not preclude courts from exercising their inherent authority in contempt proceedings over matters not covered by rule or statute, so long as that exercise fosters efficient and fair resolution of the matter.

19.020 INITIATING INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS AND MAXIMUM SANCTIONS

- (1) In addition to any other requirements for initiating instruments, the initiating instrument in a contempt proceeding under ORS 33.055 (remedial) or ORS 33.065 (punitive), must state:
 - (a) in the caption, the word “remedial” or “punitive,” as appropriate, and the words “violation of restraining order,” if appropriate.
 - (b) in the instrument:
 - (i) the maximum sanction(s) that the party seeks;
 - (ii) whether the party seeks a sanction of confinement; and
 - (iii) as to each sanction sought, whether the party seeking the sanction considers the sanction remedial or punitive.
- (2) If a party is initiating a contempt proceeding under ORS 33.055 (remedial) and a related circuit court case exists, the party must initiate the contempt proceeding by filing a motion in the related case.
 - (a) For purposes of the court’s electronic case management system, the trial court administrator will treat the contempt proceeding as a separate case.
 - (b) Any subsequent filing by any party in the contempt proceeding must include both case numbers, with the contempt proceeding case number appearing first.
- (3) An initiating instrument in a contempt proceeding under ORS 33.055 (remedial) that initiates a new circuit court case must state, in the first paragraph:

- (a) if arising from a justice court or municipal court proceeding, the court name, the case name and number, and a description of the nature of that proceeding;
 - (b) if arising from an agency proceeding other than a child support proceeding, the agency name, the agency case name and number, and a description of the nature of that proceeding; or
 - (c) if arising from an agency proceeding that is a juvenile proceeding, the information required in paragraph (b) of this section as to any applicable agency or department, and any applicable juvenile department petition number.
- (4) An accusatory instrument in a contempt proceeding under ORS 33.065 (punitive) must state, as applicable:
- (a) in the caption, if arising from an existing circuit court case, the words “Related to [Court Name] Case No. [Case Number].”
 - (b) in the first paragraph:
 - (i) if arising from an existing circuit court case, the court name, the case name and number, and the nature of that case;
 - (ii) if arising from an existing juvenile court case, the court name, the case name and number, the juvenile department petition number, if any, and the nature of that case.
 - (iii) if arising from a justice court or municipal court proceeding, the court name, the court case name and number, and a description of the nature of that proceeding;
 - (iv) if arising from an agency proceeding, the agency name, the agency case name and number, and a description of the nature of that proceeding; or
 - (v) if arising from a juvenile proceeding, the information required in paragraph (b)(iv) of this section as to any applicable agency or department, and any applicable juvenile department petition number.
- (5) Maximum Sanction Imposed

The court shall not impose a sanction greater than the sanction sought. A punitive sanction is presumed greater than a remedial sanction. A punitive sanction of confinement is presumed greater than other punitive sanctions. A remedial sanction of confinement is presumed greater than other remedial sanctions.

19.030 ALLOWING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

Rules that apply to allowing remedial sanctions in a proceeding for only remedial sanctions under ORS 33.055 also apply to allowing remedial sanctions in a proceeding for punitive sanctions under ORS 33.065.

19.040 APPLICABILITY OF ORCP AND OTHER UTCR

- (1) To the extent rules in this chapter are inconsistent with other applicable rules, the rules in this chapter govern contempt proceedings under ORS 33.015 to 33.155. Except as otherwise provided in this chapter:
 - (a) Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure (ORCP) and Oregon Rules of Appellate Procedure (ORAP) apply respectively to original and appellate contempt proceedings for remedial sanctions under ORS 33.055;
 - (b) UTCR that govern civil proceedings apply to original proceedings for remedial sanctions under ORS 33.055;
 - (c) UTCR and ORAP that govern criminal proceedings apply respectively to original and appellate contempt proceedings for punitive sanctions under ORS 33.065.
- (2) On its own motion or that of a party in a contempt proceeding for remedial sanctions, a court may determine that a specific rule of procedure would not foster the fair and efficient resolution of the contempt proceeding.
 - (a) When a court makes that determination, it may modify the specific rule or adopt a different rule for all or part of the proceeding, so long as the modified or new rule fosters the fair and efficient resolution of the proceeding. Under this rule, the court may increase or decrease time limits or may limit or exclude responsive pleadings, or both, and may also modify other rule provisions.
 - (b) The court must give all parties to the proceeding notice that describes the modified or new rule. The notice must be in writing or on the record or both.

19.050 EXCEPTIONS TO AND LIMITATIONS ON APPLICABLE ORCP IN REMEDIAL PROCEEDINGS

Notwithstanding UTCR 19.040, in contempt proceedings for remedial sanctions:

- (1) Unless the court determines that other claims should be joined for fair resolution of the contempt matter, only the following claims may be joined with a contempt claim:
 - (a) claims that arise out of the order or judgment that the contemnor allegedly violated;
 - (b) claims that involve facts and issues that would necessarily be determined in the contempt proceeding; and
 - (c) other claims for contempt arising out of a related matter.
- (2) ORCP references to “complaint” include the initiating instrument in a contempt proceeding.
- (3) ORCP applicable to juries and jury trials apply only when a statute or constitution provides a specific right to jury trial in a contempt proceeding and a party claims that right.
- (4) A party may amend a pleading only on motion and with the court’s approval.
- (5) The following ORCP do not apply: 3, 5, 21 C, 21 D, 21 E, 23 A, 24 A, 24 B, 25 A, 32, 54 A(1), 54 E, 66, 73, 81 A, 81 C, 82 A(3), 84, and 85.

CHAPTER 20—Voluntary Arbitration

This chapter reserved for future use.

CHAPTER 21—Filing and Service by Electronic Means; Electronic Files of the Court

21.010 DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply to this chapter:

- (1) “Conventional filing” means a process whereby a filer files a paper document with the court.
- (2) “Electronic filing” means the process whereby a filer electronically transmits to a court a document in an electronic form to initiate an action or to be included in the court file for an action.
- (3) “Electronic filing system” means the system provided by the Oregon Judicial Department for the electronic filing and the electronic service of a document via the Internet, excluding the electronic filing of a criminal citation under ORS 133.073. A filer may access the system through the Oregon Judicial Department’s website (<http://courts.oregon.gov/OJD/Pages/index.aspx>).
- (4) “Electronic service” means the electronic transmission of a notice of filing by the electronic filing system to the electronic mail (email) address of a party who has consented to electronic service under UTCR 21.100(1). The notice will contain a hyperlink to access a document that was filed electronically for the purpose of accomplishing service.
- (5) “Filer” means a person registered with the electronic filing system who submits a document for filing with the court.
- (6) “Service contact” means any party to be served electronically by the electronic filing system, through email notification.
- (7) “Other service contact” means any person associated with the filer for purposes of an action whom the filer wishes to receive email notification from the electronic filing system of documents electronically served in the action. An “other service contact” includes another lawyer, administrator, or staff from the filer’s place of business, or another person who is associated with the filer regarding the action or otherwise has a legitimate connection to the action.

21.020 LOCAL RULES OF COURT NOT PERMITTED

No circuit court may make or enforce any local rule, other than those local rules authorized by UTCR 4.090, governing the electronic filing and electronic service of documents.

21.030 FILERS

- (1) Authorized Filers
 - (a) Any person who completes an online registration form and obtains a login under subsection (b) of this section is an authorized filer in the electronic filing system.
 - (b) A filer must complete an online registration form to request a login for access to the electronic filing system and must execute a user agreement. The filer must provide

information sufficient to establish the filer's technical capacity to send and receive electronic filings and court notices. On receipt of the required information, the electronic filing system will send an email to the filer with an activation link and login information.

(2) Conditions of Electronic Filing

To have access to the electronic filing system, each filer agrees to, and must:

- (a) register for access to the electronic filing system;
- (b) comply with the registration conditions when using the electronic filing system;
- (c) maintain one or more operative email addresses at which the filer agrees to accept email notifications from the electronic filing system and electronic service of documents, provided that the filer has consented to electronic service in an action as provided in UTCR 21.100(2); and
- (d) furnish required information for case processing.

21.040 FORMAT OF DOCUMENTS TO BE FILED ELECTRONICALLY

- (1) A document submitted electronically to the court must be in the form of a text-searchable Portable Document Format (PDF) or a text-searchable Portable Document Format/A (PDF/A) file that does not exceed 25 megabytes. A document that exceeds the size limit must be broken down and submitted as separate files that do not exceed 25 megabytes each. A filer submitting separate files under this section must include in the Filing Comments field for each submission a description that clearly identifies the part of the document that the file represents, for example, "Motion for Summary Judgment, part 1 of 2."
- (2) Except as provided in subsections (a) or (b) of this section, when a document to be electronically filed incorporates a documentary exhibit, an affidavit, a declaration, a certificate of service, or another document, the electronic filing must be submitted as a unified single PDF file, rather than as separate electronically filed documents, to the extent practicable. An electronic filing submitted under this section that exceeds 25 megabytes must comply with section (1) of this rule.
 - (a) If an electronic filing consists of a motion or similar document and a corresponding proposed order, judgment, or any other document that requires court signature, the filer must submit the document requiring court signature through the eFiling system as a separate electronically filed document from the motion. A filer submitting separate documents under this subsection must include in the Filing Comments field for each submission a description that clearly identifies the filing, for example, "Motion for Summary Judgment" and "Proposed Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment."
 - (b) If an electronic filing is filed in a case that is not confidential by statute or rule, but includes an incorporated document that is confidential or otherwise exempt from disclosure, the filer must submit the incorporated document through the eFiling system as a separate electronically filed document. When submitting a confidential document through the eFiling system under this subsection, a filer must designate

the document as confidential. A filer submitting separate documents under this subsection must include in the Filing Comments field for each submission a description that clearly identifies the filing, for example, "Motion for Stay" and "Confidential Attachment to Motion for Stay." A filer otherwise eFiling any confidential document, or any document in a case that is confidential by statute or rule, also must comply with UTCR 21.070(6) and (7).

- (c) The reference in section (2) to an affidavit and a declaration applies to only an affidavit or a declaration that is an incorporated document.
- (3) A proposed order or judgment, or any other document that requires court signature that is submitted electronically, must include, for the purpose of affixing a signature and signature date, a blank space of not less than 1.5 inches and a blank line following the last line of text.

Example:

Petitioner's motion for a stay is granted. The proceedings in this action are held in abeyance pending further notification from petitioner of completion of the conditions set out in this order.

(at least 1.5 inches of blank space following last line of text)

-
- (4) When viewed in an electronic format and when printed, a submitted document must comply with the requirements of ORCP 9 E and UTCR 2.010 except as to any requirement that a document bear a physical signature when filed.
 - (5) When submitting an electronic filing that creates a new case or adds a party to an existing case,
 - (a) A filer must enter into the "Add Party" screen the names of all known parties or all parties being added; and
 - (b) A filer must enter party names in proper case, for example, "John Doe" and not "JOHN DOE."
 - (6) The court may reject submitted documents that do not comply with these provisions as provided in UTCR 21.080(5).

21.050 PAYMENT OF FEES

(1) Payment Due on Filing

A filer must pay the filing fees for filing a document electronically at the time of electronic filing.

(2) Fee Waivers and Deferrals

- (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this rule, a filer may apply for a waiver or deferral of court fees and costs, as provided in ORS 21.682 and ORS 21.685, when submitting for electronic filing a document that constitutes an appearance, motion, or pleading for which a fee is required, with an accompanying application for a waiver or deferral of a required fee. The document will not be accepted for filing unless the court grants the fee waiver or deferral.
- (b) A filer may not electronically apply for a waiver or deferral of court fees when submitting a document that initiates an action, as provided in UTCR 21.070(3)(f).

21.060 FILES OF THE COURT

(1) Electronic Filing

- (a) The electronic filing of a document is accomplished when a filer submits a document electronically to the court, the electronic filing system receives the document, and the court accepts the document for filing.
- (b) When the court accepts the electronic document for filing, the electronic document constitutes the court's record of the document.

(2) Converting a Conventional Filing into an Electronic Format

The court may digitize, microfilm, record, scan, or otherwise reproduce a document that is filed conventionally into an electronic record, document, or image. The court subsequently may destroy a document that is filed conventionally in accordance with the protocols established by the State Court Administrator under ORS 8.125(11) and ORS 7.124.

(3) Register of Actions

The following apply whether or not a document is electronically filed with the court:

- (a) For the purpose of ORS 7.020(1) and (2), the date that a document was filed displays in the date column of the register of actions for the case in the court's electronic case management system.
- (b) For the purpose of ORS 7.020(2), entry occurs on the date an event is created in the register of actions.

21.070 SPECIAL FILING REQUIREMENTS

(1) Courtesy Copies and Other Copies

- (a) The court may require that a filer submit, in the manner and time specified by the court, a copy of the document that was filed electronically and a copy of the submission or acceptance email from the electronic filing system.

- (b) When a filer submits a document for conventional filing or electronic filing, the filer need not submit for filing additional copies of that document unless otherwise required by the court.
- (c) If the petitioner in a post-conviction relief proceeding filed under ORS 138.510 intends to rely on the contents of the underlying circuit court criminal case file to support the allegations in the petition filed under ORS 138.580, then the petitioner must so state in the petition. If the petitioner intends to rely on some, but not all, of the contents of the underlying case file, then the petitioner must identify with reasonable specificity the materials on which the petitioner intends to rely. The petitioner need not attach to the petition, as part of evidence supporting the allegations, any document from the underlying case file.
 - (i) This subsection applies only if the underlying criminal case was filed on or after the date that the circuit court in which the conviction was entered began using the Oregon eCourt Case Information system.
 - (ii) The date that each circuit court began using the Oregon eCourt Case Information system is available at <http://courts.oregon.gov/oregonecourt/pages/Implementation-Schedule.aspx>.

(2) Court Order Requiring Electronic Filing and Electronic Service

Except for any document that requires service under ORCP 7 or that requires personal service, the court may, on the motion of any party or on its own motion, order any party not already otherwise so required to file or serve all documents electronically, after finding that such an order would not cause undue hardship or significant prejudice to any party.

(3) Documents that Must be Filed Conventionally

The following documents must be filed conventionally:

- (a) An accusatory instrument that initiates a criminal action, except as otherwise provided by ORS 133.073.
- (b) A petition that initiates a juvenile delinquency proceeding under ORS 419C.250.
- (c) A document that initiates an extradition proceeding under ORS 133.743 to 133.857.
- (d) An initiating instrument in a contempt proceeding, including for purposes of this rule a motion and supporting documentation filed contemporaneously with the motion under ORS 33.055 (remedial) or an accusatory instrument that initiates a contempt proceeding and supporting documentation filed contemporaneously with the initiating instrument under ORS 33.065 (punitive).
- (e) A notice of appeal from a justice court or municipal court judgment under ORS 138.057 or ORS 157.020(1), a justice court order under ORS 157.020(2), or a municipal court conviction under ORS 221.359.
- (f) A document that initiates an action that is accompanied by an application for a waiver or deferral of a required fee.

- (g) A document filed under seal or subject to in camera inspection, including a motion requesting that a simultaneously filed document be filed under seal or subject to in camera inspection, except that a document may be electronically filed in an adoption case.
 - (h) Except as provided in UTCR 21.090(4), a document that is required by law to be filed in original form, such as, but not limited to, an original will, a certified document, or a document under official seal.
 - (i) If applicable law requires an original document to be filed simultaneously with another document that is electronically filed, the filer must electronically file an image of the original document with the other electronically filed document and then conventionally file the original document within 7 business days after submitting the electronic filing. An original document conventionally filed under this paragraph is deemed filed on the date of filing of the electronically filed image of the same document.
 - (ii) If the filer elects to electronically file an image of an original document as set out in paragraph (h)(i) of this subsection, the filer must include in the Filing Comments field a statement that the electronic filing submission includes an image of an original document and that the filer will conventionally file the original document within 7 business days.
 - (iii) If the filer elects to electronically file an image of an original document as set out in paragraph (h)(i) of this subsection, when conventionally filing the original document, the filer must include a notification to the court that the image was previously electronically filed.
 - (i) A negotiable instrument tendered under UTCR 2.060 for entry of notation of judgment.
 - (j) A document delivered to the court under ORCP 55 (H)(2)(c).
 - (k) A Driver and Motor Vehicle Services Branch of the Oregon Department of Transportation (DMV) record, as defined in UTCR 10.020(1).
 - (l) A petition or motion for waiver of the mandatory eFiling requirement, as set out in UTCR 21.140(3).
 - (m) Any stipulated or *ex parte* matter listed in SLR 2.501 in a Judicial District's Supplementary Local Rules.
 - (n) An undertaking that is accompanied by a deposit as security for the undertaking.
 - (o) A demonstrative or oversized exhibit.
 - (p) Trial exhibits, which must be submitted or delivered as provided in UTCR 6.050.
- (4) Consolidated Cases

Unless provided otherwise by court order or SLR adopted under UTCR 2.090, a party electronically filing a document that is applicable to more than one case file must electronically file the document in each case using existing case numbers and captions.

(5) Expedited Filings

A filer who submits an expedited filing through the eFiling system:

- (a) Must include the words “EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED” in the Filing Comments field when submitting the filing; and
- (b) May notify the court by email or telephone, as designated on the court’s judicial district website, that an expedited filing has been eFiled in the case.

(6) Filings in Confidential Cases Made Confidential by Statute or Rule, and Other Confidential Filings

- (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, if a case is confidential by statute or rule, a filer submitting a document in the case through the eFiling system must not designate the document as confidential, because the case itself already is designated as confidential.
- (b) Notwithstanding subsection (a) of this section, and as additionally provided in section (7) of this rule, if a particular document type is deemed confidential by statute or rule within a case type deemed confidential by statute or rule, a filer submitting such a document through the eFiling system must designate the document as confidential.
- (c) If a confidential document is being submitted in a case that is not confidential by statute or rule, a filer submitting such a document through the eFiling system must designate the document as confidential.

(7) Filings in Adoption Cases

- (a) Initiating documentation in an adoption case must be submitted as a unified single PDF file, rather than as separate electronically filed documents, to the extent practicable and except as otherwise provided in subsection (c) of this section. An electronic filing submitted under this subsection that exceeds 25 megabytes must comply with UTCR 21.040(1).
- (b) The petition and related exhibits required under ORS 109.315(3) and 109.385(9) must be filed as a unified single PDF. Filers in adoption proceedings initiated under ORS 419B.529 must submit the initiating document and related exhibits as a unified single PDF. When submitting a filing identified in this subsection through the eFiling system, a filer must not designate the filing as confidential, because the case type “adoption” already is designated as confidential.
- (c) An Adoption Summary and Segregated Information Statement (ASSIS) and related exhibits filed under ORS 109.317(2), ORS 109.385(10), and ORS 419B.529(2) must be filed separately from the petition or initiating document and related exhibits as a unified single PDF that includes both the ASSIS and any ASSIS exhibit. When submitting a filing identified in this subsection through the eFiling system, a filer must designate the document as confidential because the unified document containing the ASSIS and any ASSIS exhibit is segregated from other documents in the case file.

21.080 ELECTRONIC FILING AND ELECTRONIC FILING DEADLINES

- (1) A filer may use the electronic filing system at any time, except when the electronic filing system is temporarily unavailable.
- (2) The filing deadline for any document filed electronically is 11:59:59 p.m. in the time zone where the court is located on the day the document must be filed.
- (3) The court considers a document submitted for an electronic filing when the electronic filing system receives the document. The electronic filing system will send an email to the filer that includes the date and time of receipt, unless the filer has elected through system settings not to receive the email.
- (4) If the court accepts the document for filing, the date and time of filing entered in the register relate back to the date and time the electronic filing system received the document. When the court accepts the document, the electronic filing system will affix the date and time of submission on the document, thereby indicating the date and time of filing of the document. When the court accepts a document for filing, the electronic filing system sends an email to the filer, unless the filer has elected through system settings not to receive the email.
 - (a) The provisions of this subsection do not apply to a proposed order or judgment, or to any other document that requires court signature, that is electronically filed.
 - (b) When the court accepts a proposed order or judgment or any other document that requires court signature through the electronic filing system, the document is deemed submitted for judge review.
- (5) If the court rejects a document submitted electronically for filing, the electronic filing system will send an email to the filer that explains why the court rejected the document, unless the filer has elected through system settings not to receive the email. The email will include a hyperlink to the document.
 - (a) A filer who resubmits a document within 3 days of the date of rejection under this section may request, as part of the resubmission, that the date of filing of the resubmitted document relate back to the date of submission of the original document to meet filing requirements. If the third day following rejection is not a judicial day, then the filer may resubmit the filing with a request under this subsection on the next judicial day. For purposes of this subsection, resubmission means submission of the document through the electronic filing system under section (3) of this rule or physical delivery of the document to the court. A filer who resubmits a document under this subsection must include:
 - (i) a cover letter that sets out the date of the original submission and the date of rejection and that explains the reason for requesting that the date of filing relate back to the original submission, with the words “RESUBMISSION OF REJECTED FILING, RELATION-BACK DATE OF FILING REQUESTED” in the subject line of the cover letter; and
 - (ii) if an electronic resubmission, the words “RESUBMISSION OF REJECTED FILING, RELATION-BACK DATE OF FILING REQUESTED” in the Filing Comments field.

- (b) A responding party may object to a request under subsection (a) of this section within the time limits as provided by law for the type of document being filed. For the purpose of calculating the time for objection provided by law under this subsection, if applicable, the date of filing is the date that the document was resubmitted to the court under subsection (a) of this section.
- (6) If the eFiling system is temporarily unavailable or if an error in the transmission of the document or other technical problem prevents the eFiling system from receiving a document, the court may, upon satisfactory proof, permit the filing date of the document to relate back to the date that the eFiler first attempted to file the document to meet filing requirements. Technical problems with the filer's equipment or attempted transmission within the filer's control will not generally excuse an untimely filing.
- (a) A filer seeking relation-back of the filing date due to system unavailability or transmission error described in this section must comply with the requirements in subsection (5)(a) of this rule.
 - (i) The cover letter described in subsection (5)(a)(i) must include the date of the original attempted submission and the date that the filer was notified that the submission was not successful, and explain the reason for requesting that the date of filing relate back to the original submission, with the words "RESUBMISSION OF FILING, SUBMISSION UNSUCCESSFUL, RELATION-BACK DATE OF FILING REQUESTED" in the subject line of the cover letter.
 - (ii) The Filing Comment field notification for an electronic resubmission described in subsection (5)(a)(ii) must include the words "RESUBMISSION OF FILING, SUBMISSION UNSUCCESSFUL, RELATION-BACK DATE OF FILING REQUESTED."
 - (iii) The filer may include supporting exhibits that substantiate the system malfunction together with the filer's cover letter.
 - (b) A responding party may object in the same manner and subject to the same time calculations as in subsection (5)(b) of this rule.

21.090 ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES

- (1) The use of a filer's login constitutes the signature of the filer for purposes of these rules and for any other purpose for which a signature is required.
- (2) In addition to information that law or rule requires to be in the document, a document filed electronically must include an electronic symbol intended to substitute for a signature, such as a scan of the filer's handwritten signature or a signature block that includes the typed name of the filer preceded by an "s/" in the space where the signature would otherwise appear.

Example of a signature block with "s/":

s/ John Q. Attorney
 JOHN Q. ATTORNEY
 OSB #
 Email address
 Attorney for Plaintiff Smith Corporation, Inc.

- (3) When more than one party joins in filing a document, the filer must show all of the parties who join by one of the following:
- (a) submitting an imaged document containing the signatures of all parties joining in the document;
 - (b) a recitation in the document that all such parties consent or stipulate to the document; or
 - (c) identifying in the document the signatures that are required and submitting each such party's written confirmation no later than 3 days after the filing.
- (4) Except as provided in section (5) of this section, when a document to be electronically filed requires a signature under penalty of perjury, or the signature of a notary public, the declarant or notary public shall sign a printed form of the document. The printed document bearing the original signatures must be imaged and electronically filed in a format that accurately reproduces the original signatures and contents of the document. The original document containing the original signatures and content must be retained as required in UTCR 21.120.
- (5) When the filer is the same person as the declarant named in an electronically filed document for purposes of ORCP 1 E, the filer must include in the declaration an electronic symbol intended to substitute for a signature, such as a scan of the filer's handwritten signature or a signature block that includes the typed name of the filer preceded by an "s/" in the space where the signature would otherwise appear.

Example of a signature block with "s/":
s/ John Q. Attorney
JOHN Q. ATTORNEY

2011 Commentary:

The Committee does not intend the requirement to include an email address in a signature block to constitute consent to receipt of service of documents by email. Electronic service of documents may only be accomplished as specified in UTCR 21.100.

21.100 ELECTRONIC SERVICE

- (1) Consent to Electronic Service and Withdrawal of Consent
- (a) A filer who electronically appears in the action by filing a document through the electronic filing system that the court has accepted is deemed to consent to accept electronic service of any document filed by any other registered filer in an action, except for any document that requires service under ORCP 7 or that requires personal service.
 - (b) A filer who is dismissed as a party from the action or withdraws as a lawyer of record in the action may withdraw consent to electronic service by removing the filer's contact information as provided in subsection (2)(a) of this rule.

- (c) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a filer may withdraw consent to electronic service only upon court approval based on good cause shown.

(2) Contact Information

- (a) At the time of preparing the filer's first electronic filing in the action, a filer described in section (1) of this rule must enter in the electronic filing system the name and service email address of the filer, designated as a service contact on behalf of an identified party in the action. If the filer withdraws consent to electronic service under subsection (1)(b) or (1)(c) of this rule, then the filer must remove the filer's name and service email address as a designated service contact for a party.
- (b) A filer described in subsection (1)(a) of this rule may enter in the electronic filing system, as an other service contact in the action:
 - (i) an alternative email address for the filer; and
 - (ii) the name and email address of any additional person whom the filer wishes to receive electronic notification of documents electronically served in the action, as defined in UTCR 21.010(7). If a lawyer enters a client's name and contact information as an other service contact under this subsection, then the lawyer is deemed to have consented for purposes of Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2 to delivery to the client of documents electronically served by other filers in the action.
- (c) A filer is responsible for updating any contact information for any person whom the filer has entered in the electronic filing system as either a service contact for a party or as an other service contact in an action.
- (d) A filer may seek court approval to remove a person entered by another filer as an other service contact in an action if the person does not qualify as an other service contact under UTCR 21.010(7).

(3) Selecting Service Contacts and Other Service Contacts

When preparing an electronic filing submission with electronic service, a filer is responsible for selecting:

- (a) the appropriate service contacts in the action, for the purpose of accomplishing electronic service as required by law of any document being electronically filed; and
- (b) the appropriate other service contacts in the action, if any, for the purpose of delivering an electronic copy of any document being electronically filed.

(4) Court Notification and Transmission Constituting Service

When the court accepts an electronic document for filing under UTCR 21.060(1)(a), the electronic filing system sends an email to the email address of each person whom the filer selected as a service contact or other service contact under section (3) of this rule. The email contains a hyperlink to access the document or documents that have been filed electronically. Transmission of the email by the electronic filing system to the selected service contacts in the action constitutes service.

(5) Completion and Time of Electronic Service

Electronic service is complete when the electronic filing system sends the email to the selected service contacts in the action.

(6) Proof of Service

A filer must incorporate at the end of any document submitted electronically a dated and signed certificate that describes the manner of service. If the opposing party was electronically served by the eFiling system, the certificate must state that service was accomplished at the party's email address as recorded on the date of service in the eFiling system and need not include the party's email address or postal address. If the opposing party was not electronically served by the eFiling system, the certificate must include the postal address at which the opposing party was served or, if served by email or facsimile, the email address or telephone number at which the opposing party was served.

(7) Service Other than by Electronic Means

The filing party is responsible for accomplishing service in any manner permitted by the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure and for filing a proof of service with the court for the following documents:

- (a) a document required to be filed conventionally under this chapter;
- (b) a document that cannot be served electronically on a party who appeared in the action; and
- (c) a document subject to a protective order.

21.110 HYPERLINKS

- (1) A document that is filed electronically may contain hyperlinks to other parts of the same document or hyperlinks to a location on the Internet that contains a source document for a citation or both.
- (2) A hyperlink to cited authority does not replace standard citation format. A filer must include the complete citation within the text of the document. Neither a hyperlink, nor any site to which it refers, is part of the record. A hyperlink is simply a convenient mechanism for accessing material cited in a document filed electronically.
- (3) The Oregon Judicial Department neither endorses nor accepts responsibility for any product, organization, or content at any hyperlinked site, or to any site to which that site refers.

21.120 RETENTION OF DOCUMENTS BY FILERS AND CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINAL SIGNATURES

- (1) Unless the court orders otherwise, if a filer electronically files an image of a document that contains the original signature of a person other than the filer, the filer must retain the document in the filer's possession in its original paper form for no less than 30 days.

- (2) When a filer electronically files a document described in section (1) of this rule, the filer certifies by filing that, to the best of the filer's knowledge after appropriate inquiry, the signature purporting to be that of the signer is in fact that of the signer.

21.130 PROTECTED INFORMATION

The use of information contained in a document filed electronically or information accessed through the electronic filing system must be consistent with state and federal law.

21.140 MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING

- (1) An active member of the Oregon State Bar must file a document using the electronic filing system, instead of using conventional filing, unless:
 - (a) The document is required to be conventionally filed under UTCR 21.070(3); or
 - (b) The filer has obtained a waiver under subsection (2) of this rule.
- (2) An active member of the Oregon State Bar may seek a waiver of the requirement in section (1) of this rule as follows:
 - (a) The Bar member must file one of the following:
 - (i) A petition for waiver in all cases in a specific judicial district for a specific period of time.
 - (ii) A motion in an existing case for waiver in that specific case.
 - (b) A petition or motion must include an explanation describing good cause for the waiver.
 - (c) A separate petition for waiver must be filed in each judicial district in which the person desires a waiver.
 - (d) If the court grants a petition for waiver, the Bar member obtaining the waiver must
 - (i) file a copy of the court's order in each case subject to the waiver; and
 - (ii) include the words "Exempt from eFiling per Waiver Granted [DATE]" in the caption of all documents conventionally filed during the duration of the waiver.
 - (e) If the court grants a motion for waiver, the Bar member obtaining the waiver must include the words "Exempt from eFiling per Waiver Granted [DATE]" in the caption of all documents conventionally filed in the case.
- (3) If the electronic filing system is continuously unavailable for a period of more than 24 hours, an active member of the Oregon State Bar may file documents using conventional filing until the end of the first full business day after the day on which the electronic filing system becomes available.

- (4) If a filer submits a document for conventional filing in contravention of section (1) of this rule and the filer has not obtained a waiver pursuant to section (2) of this rule nor is the electronic system unavailable as described in section (3) of this rule, then court staff may, to the extent allowed by policy adopted by the presiding judge, take any of the following actions:
- (a) Direct the filer to the court's kiosk to complete the filing electronically.
 - (b) Refuse to accept the document for filing.
 - (c) Return the document to the filer as unfiled.
 - (d) Refer the filing to a judge for consideration of sanctions under UTCR 1.090.

CHAPTER 22—Enterprise Content Management System

This chapter reserved for future use.

CHAPTER 23—Oregon Complex Litigation Court

23.010 OREGON COMPLEX LITIGATION COURT

- (1) The criteria used for assignment of a case to the Oregon Complex Litigation Court (OCLC), pursuant to UTCR 23.020, may include, but are not limited to, the number of parties, the complexity of the legal issues, the complexity of the factual issues, the complexity of discovery, and the anticipated length of trial.
- (2) The UTCR apply to cases in the OCLC except where the rules in this chapter specifically provide otherwise.
- (3) Absent a motion and order for a change of venue pursuant to ORS 14.110, assignment of a case to the OCLC does not change the venue of a case.
- (4) The OCLC will be managed by a panel of three circuit court presiding judges appointed by the Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court.

23.020 ASSIGNMENT OF CASES TO THE OCLC

- (1) Assignment of a case to the OCLC requires agreement of the parties, the presiding judge or designee of the court with venue, and the managing panel of the OCLC.
- (2) The following must occur for a case to be considered for assignment to the OCLC:
 - (a) The parties and the presiding judge or designee of the court with venue must confer to determine whether there is agreement to assign the case to the OCLC and to determine the special needs, facts, and issues of the case.
 - (b) The presiding judge or designee of the court with venue and the managing panel of the OCLC must confer to discuss whether the case is appropriate for assignment to the OCLC and to discuss the special needs, facts, and issues of the case.
- (3) If the agreement required by UTCR 23.020(1) is reached and the managing panel accepts a case into the OCLC, the parties must submit a stipulated order for assignment of the case to the OCLC to the presiding judge or designee of the court with venue over the case and to the managing panel of the OCLC.
- (4) Once a case is accepted into the OCLC, the managing panel of the OCLC will assign the case to a single OCLC judge.
- (5) The parties must:
 - (a) Share equally, unless otherwise agreed, the cost of copying and providing the entire court file to the OCLC judge assigned to the case.
 - (b) Make all necessary arrangements to have a copy of the entire court file delivered to the OCLC judge within 14 days of assignment of the case to the OCLC judge.
 - (c) Continue, after assignment of the case to the OCLC judge, to file all documents in the court with venue and provide copies of all filed documents to the OCLC judge.

23.030 REMOVAL OF CASES FROM THE OCLC

- (1) When an OCLC judge finds good cause to remove a case from the OCLC, the judge must confer with the managing panel of the OCLC. If the managing panel agrees that the case should be removed, the managing panel will discuss the removal and return of the case with the presiding judge or designee of the court with venue before any action is taken.
- (2) If venue has not been changed, the case may then be returned to the originating circuit court.
- (3) If venue has been changed, the case may then be returned to the circuit court with current venue absent a motion and order for change of venue pursuant to ORS 14.110 and 14.120.

23.040 CASE MANAGEMENT

- (1) Cases assigned to the OCLC are under the direct supervision of a single OCLC judge for all purposes including referral to mediation, assignment to a settlement judge, and trial.
- (2) Before the date set by the court for a case management conference, all parties must do all of the following:
 - (a) Explore early resolution of the case and prepare a discovery plan.
 - (b) Confer concerning the matters to be raised at the conference.
 - (c) Attempt to reach agreement on as many of the issues as possible.
 - (d) Report the results of their conference to the court at the case management conference.
- (3) No later than 10 days prior to trial, unless the OCLC judge has ordered otherwise, the parties must do all of the following:
 - (a) Confer and disclose to each other all exhibits, except impeachment exhibits.
 - (b) Number all exhibits.
 - (c) Reach, to the extent possible, agreement on the admissibility of exhibits.
 - (d) File with the court and provide to the OCLC judge a list of exhibits indicating the status of each exhibit.
 - (e) Reach, to the extent possible, agreement on foundation for other exhibits to which they might have substantive objections. Any agreement must be noted on the exhibit list filed with the court.
- (4) Upon compliance with UTCR 23.040(3)(a)–(e), the OCLC judge will confer with the parties to resolve any disputes on exhibits or other matters upon which a stipulation might be reached to make the trial more efficient.

23.050 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

- (1) A case management conference will be held within 30 days of assignment of a case to an OCLC judge or at such other time as the court may order. The purpose of the case management conference is to identify the essential issues in the litigation and to avoid unnecessary, burdensome, or duplicative discovery and other pretrial procedures to ensure the prompt resolution of the dispute. The case management conference may include discussion of the following:
 - (a) The trial date.
 - (b) The need for additional parties.
 - (c) Time limits for filing of third-party complaints or bringing in additional parties.
 - (d) Severance, consolidation, or coordination with other actions.
 - (e) A discovery plan, including a schedule for the exchange of documents, conducting discovery from third parties, use of common number systems for documents production and exhibits identification, a schedule for conducting depositions, the need for protective orders or other limitations allowed by ORCP 36 C, and a date for the close of discovery.
 - (f) A time schedule for motion practice and date for submission of dispositive motions.
 - (g) Mediation or settlement, and the identity of the assigned neutral facilitator. If the case has not settled within 45 days of the trial date, the case may be assigned for settlement conference to a judge other than the OCLC judge.
 - (h) Use of technology in discovery and at trial, such as electronic or physical document depositories, videotaping of depositions, videoconferencing, and teleconferencing,
 - (i) A master list of contact information.
 - (j) The method of jury selection and resolution of disputes relating to forms for juror questionnaires, if any.
 - (k) Scheduling of a Rule 104 hearing on scientific issues, if necessary.
 - (l) Scheduling of further conferences.
 - (m) Other matters the court or the parties deem appropriate to manage or expedite the case such as whether the parties will mutually employ a court reporter to serve for the creation of the official record, use of a trial plan having timelines for the submission and resolution of pretrial motions, motions in limine, deposition designations, submission of trial memoranda and jury instructions, and timelines for the examination of witnesses and evidentiary presentations by the parties.
- (2) Following the case management conference, the OCLC judge will issue a case management order. The case management order will encompass the matters addressed at the case management conference and any other matters the judge considers appropriate for the order.

- (3) The case management order may be modified or revised, as the OCLC judge deems necessary, to meet the purpose of the OCLC rules. The parties must not deviate from deadlines and requirements established in the case management order unless authorized by the OCLC judge.

23.060 SETTLEMENTS AND DISCONTINUANCES

If a case in the OCLC is settled or dismissed, the parties must immediately inform the OCLC judge assigned to the case by telephone or email.

APPENDIX OF FORMS