

Timeliness of Adoptions - Identification of the Adoption Resource

JELI

of
Adoptions
Work
Group

JELI ADOPTIONS WORKGROUP INITIATIVE

We strongly urge the juvenile judges in Oregon to have a review hearing every 60 days when the concurrent plan of adoption has been implemented and there is not an identified adoptive resource/placement; however, with judicial time being coveted and the drain on DHS, attorneys, CASA, etc. to try to add another review hearing to the already busy court dockets, an alternative would be to require, in lieu of a hearing, DHS to supply a written report answering questions about the status of recruiting and identifying an adoptive resource/placement. If the court is not satisfied with the information DHS has provided, then a review hearing could immediately be scheduled.

<u>**IUDICIAL INQUIRY**</u>

For the DHS Caseworker...

These questions are meant as a guideline for judges. Some questions may not be applicable to every case.

- (1) If the current placement resource is unwilling to be the adoptive resource, has the agency attempted to address any underlying concerns and explained the benefits of adoption to the current resource?
- (2) If the current placement resource was rejected as the adoptive placement, are there any concerns that could be remedied (i.e., square footage of the home, household member permanently leaves the home) to make that placement viable?
- (3) If an adoptive placement has not been identified, what is the agency doing to recruit and process potential adoptive resources?
- (4) What is the child specific recruitment plan?
- (5) What ongoing efforts been made to locate and contact relatives? Are there adults/families with whom the child has or has had a positive relationship who are potential adoptive resources? What is the status of contacting and investigating these adults/families?
- (6) How many individuals have expressed an interest in adopting the child and what is the status of investigating these individuals?
- (7) Has the child been placed on adoption lists locally, regionally, and nationally? Has the agency utilized the media, adoption exchange programs, and the internet to seek adoptive resources? What sites/lists is the child on?
- (8) What is the status of any pending home studies?
- (9) If an interstate compact is needed, has the process begun? Has the ICPC referral (form 100A) been submitted? If there are delays in the ICPC process, what is being done to resolve them?

The Honorable Lisa Greif (Chair)

The Honorable Gregory Baxter

The Honorable Cynthia Easterday

Referee/Judge Pro Tem Michele Rini

The Honorable Katherine Weber

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR _____ COUNTY

In the Matter of:	Case Number:
A Child.) COURT REPORT In Lieu Of Review Hearing When Primary Plan Has Changed To Adoption and No Adoptive Resource/ Placement Has Been Identified)
•	s unwilling to be the adoptive resource, has the agency attempted to address any benefits of adoption to the current resource?
	vas rejected as the adoptive placement, are there any concerns that could be ome, household member permanently leaves the home) to make that placement
resources? What is the child specific re	een identified, what is the agency doing to recruit and process potential adoptive ecruitment plan? Has the child been placed on adoption lists locally, regionally, and emedia, adoption exchange programs, and the internet to seek adoptive resources?
☐ How many individuals have expressed individuals? What is the status of any page 1.	ed an interest in adopting the child and what is the status of investigating these pending home studies?
0 0 55	o locate and contact relatives? Are there adults/families with whom the child has or e potential adoptive resources? What is the status of contacting and investigating
☐ If an interstate compact is needed, are delays in the ICPC process, what is	has the process begun? Has the ICPC referral (form 100A) been submitted? If there being done to resolve them?
, 20, Date	
	DHS Caseworker's Signature