

**JELI Forms group will meet to discuss in September. Please direct comments regarding this draft to Megan Hassen: [megan.e.hassen@ojd.state.or.us](mailto:megan.e.hassen@ojd.state.or.us)**

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY**

In the Matter of: \_\_\_\_\_ ) Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ )  
A Child. \_\_\_\_\_ ) **PERMANENCY JUDGMENT**

**► This matter came before the Court on \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, for a permanency hearing, pursuant to ORS 419B.470 and 419B.476.**

**Parties Appearing:**

<input type="checkbox"/> Legal Father	<input type="checkbox"/> Putative Father	Attorney for Father:	DHS Caseworker:
Mother:		Attorney for Mother:	Juvenile Department:
Child:		Attorney for Child:	CASA:
Guardian:		Attorney for Guardian:	Guardian Ad Litem:
Tribe:		Attorney for Tribe:	Other:
Deputy District Attorney:		Assistant Attorney General:	Other:

**Type of Permanency Hearing:**

- Annual Review:** 12 months after jurisdictional finding or 14 months after child's placement in substitute care, or subsequent annual review. ORS 419B.470(2) and (6).
- At the request of:** \_\_\_\_\_  **By order of the court.** ORS 419B.470(5)
- Delayed initiation of adoption proceedings/placement:** six months have passed since child was surrendered or parental rights were terminated (permanency hearing required every six months until child is placed, or adoption proceedings initiated). ORS 419B.470(4) and (7).
- Child removed from court sanctioned permanent foster care** (hearing within 90 days). ORS 419B.470(3).
- Special circumstances:** within 30 days when DHS has determined it will not provide reunification services based on a judicial finding that DHS is not required to make reasonable efforts. ORS 419B.340(5); 419B.470(1).
- Child in substitute care 15/22 months.  Parent convicted of crime listed in ORS 419B.498(1)(b)
- A court has determined that the child is an abandoned child. ORS 419B.498(1).

**Standard of Proof / Evidence Considered:**

The Findings made below are based on  a preponderance of the evidence  clear and convincing evidence, because the child is an "Indian child" under the ICWA (25 USC §§ 1901-63).

**Comment [MEH1]:** These sections have been reformatted to make them easier to navigate.

The court considered the following evidence in making the Findings and Orders in this Judgment:

- Stipulations by the parties.
- The exhibits offered by the parties and admitted at the hearing.
- The exhibits received by the court under ORS 419A.253.
- The testimony of the witness(es) at the hearing.
- The following facts and/or law, of which the court has taken judicial notice: \_\_\_\_\_

**THE COURT MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS AND ORDERS:**

**1. JURISDICTION AND WARDSHIP:**

The child was found to be within the juvenile court’s jurisdiction and made a ward of the court by judgment(s) entered on: \_\_\_\_\_.

**2. PLACEMENT, ICWA, NOTICE AND CONCURRENT PLANNING:**

**► Placement:**

The child’s current placement is in **substitute care** with/in:  Relative foster care  Non-relative foster care  Permanent foster care  Residential treatment: \_\_\_\_\_  Pre-Adoptive Home  Other: \_\_\_\_\_. The placement  **is**  **is not** in the best interests of the child and the least restrictive, most family-like setting that meets the health and safety needs of the child and is in reasonable proximity to the child’s home. The current placement  **is**  **is not** an interstate placement.  DHS is ordered to modify the child’s care, placement, and/or supervision, as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

Additional findings/orders: \_\_\_\_\_.

**► Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA):**

ICWA **does not** apply.  
 ICWA **does** apply, because the child is an “Indian child” under the ICWA (25 USC §§ 1901-63), who is a member of, or is eligible for membership in, the following Indian tribe(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

The court finds that the selected placement  **does comply**  **does not comply** with the placement preference(s) established by 25 USC §1915. Additional findings/orders: \_\_\_\_\_.

**► Diligent Efforts – Child in Substitute Care:**

Relative Placement

The child is in substitute care, and DHS  **has made**  **has not made** diligent efforts to place the child with a relative/person who has a caregiver relationship with the child, as required by ORS 419B.192.  
 DHS has decided to place the child with a relative/person who has a caregiver relationship with the child, but that placement is not in the child’s best interest, because: \_\_\_\_\_.

Sibling Placement

The child is in substitute care and has one or more minor **siblings in substitute care**. DHS  **has made**  **has not made** diligent efforts to place the child with siblings, as required by ORS 419B.192.

**► Foster Parent(s)/Care Provider(s) -- Notification and Participation:**

The child is in substitute care, and DHS  **did**  **did not** give the foster parent(s)/current care provider(s) notice of the hearing.  
 The foster parent(s)/current care provider(s) **did not attend** the hearing.  
 The foster parent(s)/current care provider(s) **attended** the hearing and had an opportunity to be heard.

**► Grandparent(s) - Notification and Participation:**

DHS  **made**  **did not** make diligent efforts to identify, obtain contact information for, and notify all legal grandparents as defined by ORS 109.119(10)(c) of the hearing.  
 No grandparents attended the hearing.  
 The  maternal  grandmother  grandfather  paternal  grandmother  grandfather attended the hearing and had an opportunity to be heard.  
 The grandparents who attended the hearing were informed of the date of a future hearing.  
 DHS **did not** give the legal grandparents notice of the hearing because: \_\_\_\_\_.  
 For good cause shown, the court has relieved DHS of the responsibility to provide notice.

**► Number of Placements, Visits, School Changes and DHS Contacts the Child Has Had Since the Child Has Been in the Legal Custody and Guardianship of DHS:**

The child has been in \_\_\_\_\_ out-of-home placement(s), and the number of placements  **is**  **is not** in the child’s best interests.

**Comment [MEH2]:** Clarifies finding is only regarding siblings who are also in substitute care.

**Comment [MEH3]:** ORS 419B.875(7): new requirements for DHS to search for grandparents and notify them of hearings; court must give grandparents an opportunity to be heard if they appear.

**Comment [MEH4]:** Here’s another example of language used in Linn County:

The court finds good cause pursuant to ORS 419B.875(7)(a) to relieve the agency of the obligation to provide notice to one or more grandparents to-wit:

Parental rights have been terminated or relinquished and there are no grandparents to notify.

DHS has determined that all grandparents are deceased.

DHS made diligent efforts to identify and locate all grandparents in this case.

DHS failed to make diligent efforts to identify and locate all grandparents; the agency shall do so immediately.

All grandparents notified and present had an opportunity to be heard. The court notified the grandparents of the date and time of the next hearing in this case.

Grandparents did not have an opportunity to be heard.  Grandparents were not notified of the next date and time for hearing in this case.

The following grandparents provided DHS with 30 days written notice of visitation request:

The child has attended \_\_\_\_ school(s), and the number attended  is  is not in the child's best interests.

The child has had \_\_\_\_ face-to-face contacts with a DHS caseworker, the caseworker currently sees the child at least \_\_\_\_ time(s) every 30 days, and the number and frequency of the child's face-to-face contacts with a DHS caseworker  is  is not in the child's best interests.

The child has had \_\_\_\_ visits with the child's mother and \_\_\_\_ visits with the child's father, and the number of visits  is  is not in the child's best interests.

**Comment [MEH5]:** Space has been added to distinguish between visits with mother and father.

The child has had \_\_\_\_ sibling visits, and the number of visits  is  is not in the child's best interests.

**► Concurrent Planning:**

There is not a concurrent plan because: \_\_\_\_\_

There is a concurrent plan:  Adoption  Permanent guardianship under ORS 419B.365  Guardianship under ORS 419B.366  Placement in the legal custody of a fit and willing relative  A planned permanent living arrangement (APPLA), which is  permanent foster care  permanent connections and support (residential treatment, independent living).

**Comment [MEH6]:** These are the APPLA plan options specified in OAR 413-070-0532.

DHS has made the following efforts to develop the concurrent plan, which  include  do not include efforts to identify appropriate permanent placement options both inside and outside this state: \_\_\_\_\_

Those efforts  are  are not sufficient.  DHS is ordered to make the following additional efforts to develop the concurrent plan and report those efforts to the court: \_\_\_\_\_

**3. PERMANENT PLAN AT TIME OF HEARING IS REUNIFICATION (ORS 419B.476(2)(a) AND (5)):**

This case is an ICWA case, therefore, DHS is required to make active efforts to reunify the family.

**Comment [MEH7]:** Sections 3 is filled out when the plan is reunification. Section 4 is filled out when the plan is not reunification. Section 5 is filled out in every case with the court's determination of what the permanency plan will be going forward, whether it's a continuation or change of the current permanency plan.

DHS  has  has not made  reasonable  active efforts to reunify the family during the period under review. The DHS efforts include the following: \_\_\_\_\_

**Comment [MEH8]:** Check box required only if case is ICWA. Otherwise, leave blank.

Description of reasonable/active efforts attached as Exhibit \_\_\_\_, and is adopted as the Court's written findings.

**Comment [MEH9]:** Option to attach description of reasonable efforts.

The court finds placement of child and referral to Strengthening, Preserving, and Reunifying Families Program  is  is not in the child's best interest and the action most likely to prevent or eliminate the need for removal or for safe return home. (ORS 418.595)  DHS has provided reasons why the referral is not in the child's best interest.

**Comment [MEH10]:** Court is required under ORS 418.595 to consider whether referral to SPRF is or was in the child's best interests, when considering reasonable/active efforts.

DHS  has  has not made reasonable efforts to finalize the permanent plan of reunification.

**Comment [MEH11]:** A reasonable efforts finding to finalize the current permanent plan is required every 12 months by federal law, even when the plan is reunification. See 45 C.F.R. §1356.21(b)(2).

**► The reunification efforts of DHS (i.e., services provided either directly or through DHS referrals or financial support) include the following:**

Substance Abuse		Mental Health		Child Treatment & Care	
Mother	Father	Mother	Father	Mother	Father
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Domestic Violence &amp; Anger</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Parenting &amp; Home</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	intervention program						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Domestic violence victim counseling & education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specialized parent training			
		<b>Support</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Homemaker services			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Housing assistance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Failure-to-thrive assessment and recommended aftercare			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Transportation assistance			<b>Sex-Offense-Related</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other:
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clothing vouchers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Psycho-sexual evaluation and treatment			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	In-home outreach assistance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-offending parent sex offense education program			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	In-home safety and reunification services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Comment [MEH12]: ISRS is added as service option.

► **Case Plan Compliance/Progress – DHS and Parent(s):**

**DHS:**

DHS is in compliance with the current case plan.  DHS is not in compliance with the current case plan, and, to correct the non-compliance, DHS is ordered to: \_\_\_\_\_

DHS is ordered to develop/modify the case plan, as follows within \_\_\_\_ days of this permanency hearing and to provide a case progress report to the court and the parties: \_\_\_\_\_

**Mother:**

Mother is involved in the case and  has  has not made sufficient progress toward meeting the expectations set forth in the service agreement, letter of expectation and/or case plan, and the child  can be  cannot be  has been safely returned to mother's care. Additional findings: \_\_\_\_\_

Mother is not involved in the case, because:  mother's parental rights terminated/relinquished  mother is deceased  other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Father:**

Father is involved in the case and  has  has not made sufficient progress toward meeting the expectations set forth in the service agreement, letter of expectation and/or case plan, and the child  can be  cannot be  has been safely returned to father's care. Additional findings: \_\_\_\_\_

Father is not involved in the case, because:  father's parental rights terminated/relinquished  father is deceased  other: \_\_\_\_\_

► **CONTINUE case plan of reunification:**

The court orders that the permanent plan of reunification continue in effect as set forth in SECTION (5) of this judgment.

► **CHANGE case plan from reunification to a different plan:**

**The permanent plan of reunification should be changed to a different permanent plan because:** despite the  reasonable  active reunification efforts of DHS, the child cannot be safely returned to  mother's  father's care at the time of the hearing, and the evidence does not support a determination under ORS 419B.476(4)(c) and (5)(c) that further efforts will make it possible for the child to safely return home within a reasonable time.

**THEREFORE,** the court orders that the permanent plan is changed from reunification of the family to the permanent plan specified in SECTION 5 below.

**4. PERMANENT PLAN AT TIME OF HEARING IS NOT REUNIFICATION** (ORS 419B.476(2)(b), (2)(c) and (5)):

► **The permanent plan in effect at the time of the hearing is:**  Adoption  Permanent guardianship under ORS 419B.365  Guardianship under ORS 419B.366  Placement in the legal custody of a fit and willing relative  A planned permanent living arrangement (APPLA), which is  permanent foster care  permanent connections and support (residential treatment, independent living).

**Comment [MEH13]:** These are the APPLA plan options specified in OAR 413-070-0532.

► **DHS compliance with case plan:**

The child  **is**  **is not** in the permanent placement designated by the case plan. The date of permanent placement  **was**  **will be:** \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

DHS  **has**  **has not** made reasonable efforts to place the child in a timely manner (including, if applicable, in an interstate placement) in accordance with the plan and to finalize the child's permanent placement. The DHS efforts include the following: \_\_\_\_\_

Description of reasonable efforts attached as Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_, and is adopted as the Court's written findings.

**Comment [MEH14]:** Option to attach description of reasonable efforts.

DHS  **has**  **has not** considered the appropriate and available permanent placement options for the child, both in-state and interstate.

DHS **is in compliance** with the current case plan.  DHS **is not in compliance** with the case plan, and, to correct the non-compliance, DHS is ordered to: \_\_\_\_\_

DHS is ordered to develop/modify the case plan, as follows within \_\_\_\_ days of this permanency hearing and to provide a case progress report to the court and the parties: \_\_\_\_\_

►  **CONTINUE THE CURRENT PERMANENT PLAN.** The current permanent plan **IS** the most appropriate plan for the child under the existing circumstances and **IS** in the child's best interest. Therefore, based on the findings above and the findings set forth in **SECTION (5)**, the court orders the current permanent plan continue in effect.

►  **CHANGE THE CURRENT PERMANENT PLAN.** The current permanent plan **IS NOT** the most appropriate plan for the child under the existing circumstances and **IS NOT** in the child's best interest. **THEREFORE**, the court orders that the current permanent plan **IS CHANGED** to the permanent plan specified in SECTION 5 below.

**5. COURT'S DETERMINATION OF THE PERMANENCY PLAN.** ORS 419B.476(5)(a)-(g)  
**THE COURT ORDERS THE PLAN BE CHANGED OR CONTINUED AS FOLLOWS:**

**REUNIFICATION**, under ORS 419B.476 (4)(c) and (5)(c), because further efforts will make it possible for the child to be safely returned to  **mother's**  **father's** care within a reasonable time.

**THEREFORE, the court orders that, between \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_:**

**Mother** participate in the following services and make the progress specified below:

Services: \_\_\_\_\_

Progress: \_\_\_\_\_

**Father** participate in the following services and make the progress specified below:

Services: \_\_\_\_\_

Progress: \_\_\_\_\_

If the parent(s) make(s) the progress described above **and** any additional progress that the court may require hereafter, based on a subsequent review or permanency hearing, the child will be returned to  **mother's**  **father's** care by \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

**Comment [MEH15]:** This language was moved from sections (3) and (4). This places section (5) as the section exclusively devoted to the court's determination of the permanency plan.

**ADOPTION**

None of the circumstances described in ORS 419B.498(2) applies because:  the child is **not** currently being cared for by relative in a placement that is intended to be permanent, as provided in ORS 419B.498(2)(a),  there is **not** a "compelling reason" within the meaning of that term in ORS 419B.498(2)(b) for determining that filing a petition to terminate the parent's/parents' parental rights would not be in the child's best interests, **and**  the circumstances described in ORS 419B.498(2)(c) are **not** present.  Additional findings: \_\_\_\_\_

**This court determines the permanency plan shall be ADOPTION**, and, THEREFORE, the court orders that the termination-of-parental-rights petition be filed not later than \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, and the child placed for adoption not later than \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

**GUARDIANSHIP**  **ORS 419B.366**  **ORS 419B.365**, or  **PLACEMENT WITH A FIT AND WILLING RELATIVE**

Placement of the child with a parent is **not** appropriate, because, despite the  **reasonable**  **active** reunification efforts of DHS, the child cannot be safely returned to a parent within a reasonable time.

**Adoption is not appropriate** because the child currently is being cared for by a relative in a placement that is intended to be permanent, as provided in ORS 419B.498(2)(a), or because the following "compelling reason(s)" under ORS 419B.498(2)(b) is/are applicable and establish(es) that adoption would **not** be in the child's best interest:  another permanent plan – guardianship – is better suited to meet the child's health, safety and attachment needs;  the child has needs that require a therapeutic or other specialized placement;  adoption is unlikely, or otherwise inappropriate, because of the child's  unwillingness to consent  health and safety needs  sibling attachment(s)  attachment to a parent;  other "compelling reason(s)": \_\_\_\_\_

Additional findings: \_\_\_\_\_

**The court determines the permanency plan shall be GUARDIANSHIP**, and, THEREFORE, the court orders that the child be referred for establishment of the guardianship not later than \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, and the guardianship be established not later than \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

**The court determines the permanency plan shall be PLACEMENT IN THE LEGAL CUSTODY OF A FIT AND WILLING RELATIVE**, and, THEREFORE, the court orders that the child be placed in the legal custody of a fit and willing relative through the establishment of a guardianship not later than \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.

**Comment [MEH16]:** There is no legal vehicle in the juvenile code to finalize the placement with a fit and willing relative outside of adoption, guardianship and APPLA. This language clarifies how the permanent placement will be set up. This does not preclude the court from designating the permanency plan as adoption or APPLA when a relative is the placement resource.

**ANOTHER PLANNED PERMANENT LIVING ARRANGEMENT (APPLA)**

Placement of the child with a parent is **not appropriate**, because, despite the  **reasonable**  **active** reunification efforts of DHS, the child cannot be safely returned to a parent within a reasonable time.

**Comment [MEH17]:** Findings required for guardianship and placement with a fit and willing relative have been merged because they are identical in ORS 419B.576(5)(e).

Adoption is **not appropriate** because the following "compelling reason(s)" under ORS 419B.498(2)(b) is/are applicable and establish(es) that adoption would **not** be in the child's best interest:  another permanent plan – APPLA – is better suited to meet the child's health, safety and attachment needs;  the child has needs that require a therapeutic or other specialized placement;  adoption is unlikely, or otherwise inappropriate, because of the child's  unwillingness to consent  health and safety needs  sibling attachment(s)  attachment to a parent;  other "compelling reason(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

**Comment [MEH18]:** The language "unwillingness to consent" has replaced "age" as an option. Age is not a compelling reason specifically referred to in ORS 419B.498(2)(b), and DHS policy provides that age is "never a disqualifier for a more preferred permanency plan." OAR 413-070-0536(3).

Guardianship or a relative placement is **not appropriate**, because, despite reasonable and diligent efforts, DHS has been unable to identify  a relative or non-relative who is willing and qualified to serve as the legal guardian for the child, or  a fit and willing relative who could provide a permanent home for the child.

Additional findings: \_\_\_\_\_

**The court determines the permanency plan shall be APPLA**  permanent foster care  permanent connections and support (residential treatment, independent living), and, THEREFORE, the court orders that the child be placed in the APPLA placement not later than \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_, and that DHS promptly notify the court and the parties if the child is not placed by that date.

**Comment [MEH19]:** These are the APPLA plan options specified in OAR 413-070-0532. This language is added here so that the court can identify the type of APPLA plan that was designated at subsequent permanency hearings.

**6. NEED FOR CONTINUED SUBSTITUTE CARE AND DHS LEGAL CUSTODY, REVIEW OF COMPREHENSIVE TRANSITION PLANNING AND PROGRESS TOWARD HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION.**

► **Substitute care and custody:**

**The court continues the child in the legal custody of DHS for care, placement and supervision. The child is in substitute care**, which is not a permanent placement, and continued substitute care is necessary and is in the child's best interest for the following reasons: \_\_\_\_\_

**Comment [MEH20]:** Current language gives the court no ability to explicitly continue the child in the legal custody of DHS unless the child is placed with a parent. We received feedback at a recent Title IV-E audit that the court should be specifically continuing the child in the placement and care of DHS.

**The child is in the legal custody of DHS and is placed with a parent** (or guardian appointed before the child was found to be within the juvenile court's jurisdiction), and it  **is**  **is not** necessary and in the child's best interest that the child continue in the legal custody of DHS because: \_\_\_\_\_

Termination of the child's  commitment to the legal custody of DHS is expected to occur by, or before, \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.  juvenile court wardship is expected to occur by, or before, \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.

► **Transition plan:**

**Plan review not required**

**Plan review required:**  the child is 16 years of age or older  the child is 14 years or older and DHS has developed such a plan for the child. The comprehensive plan  **is adequate**  **is not adequate** to ensure the child's successful transition to independent living. DHS  **has**  **has not** offered appropriate services pursuant to the comprehensive plan and  **has**  **has not** involved the child in the development of the comprehensive plan.  DHS is ordered to modify the comprehensive plan and/or the development of the plan, as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

► **Education (child 14 or older):**

The child  **is**  **is not** progressing adequately toward graduation from high school, needs \_\_\_\_\_ more

credits to graduate, and is expected to graduate \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.

DHS **has made** the following efforts to assist the child to graduate: \_\_\_\_\_

DHS is **ordered to make** the following additional efforts: \_\_\_\_\_

**7. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS AND ORDERS:**

- ▶  The court incorporates and adopts by this reference the oral findings made by the court at the conclusion of the permanency hearing.
- ▶ The court  **has**  **has not** consulted with the child, in an age appropriate manner, regarding the permanency and transition plans proposed for child, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 675.
- ▶  **The court makes the following additional findings and orders:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**8. THIS CASE SHALL NEXT BE REVIEWED:**

<b>APPEARANCE TYPE:</b>	<b>DATE:</b>	<b>TIME:</b>
Review hearing		
Permanency hearing		
<b>Other:</b>		

- The CRB is requested to review this case no later than: \_\_\_\_\_.
- No further hearings \_\_\_\_\_.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CIRCUIT JUDGE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print, Type or Stamp Name of Judge

**Comment [MEH21]:** This section has been reformatted for simplicity.

**Comment [MEH22]:** A place to print the judge's name is added to comply with UTCR 2.010(12).