



**Section 1: Opening Questions**


**1a. Did the hearing occur?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	2624	94.1%
No	166	5.9%
No Data	13	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2803</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	691	99.0%
No	7	1.0%
No Data	1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>699</b>	





**1b. Was the hearing continued?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	354	12.9%
No	2384	87.1%
No data	65	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2803</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	22	3.2%
No	669	96.8%
No data	8	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>699</b>	



**1c. If the hearing did not occur or the hearing was continued, why?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15	
Notice Issue	57	Notice Issue	0
Party not present	67	Party not present	3
Attorney not present	29	Attorney not present	0
Party not prepared	11	Party not prepared	3
Other reason	136	Other reason	21




1d. Did the judge ask *in court* whether or not the child is affiliated with an Indian tribe through maternal relatives and paternal relatives?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	470	18.3%
No	2102	81.7%
No Data	231	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2803</b>	





Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	297	44.3%
No	373	55.7%
No Data	29	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>699</b>	



1e. Who was asked?


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
Mother	188
Father	120
Other relative	8
Indian custodian	0
CASA/GAL	35
State social worker	220
Tribal social worker	11
Parent's Attorney	26
State's Attorney	93
Other	6

Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
Mother	120
Father	71
Other relative	5
Indian custodian	0
CASA/GAL	1
State social worker	164
Tribal social worker	5
Parent's Attorney	7
State's Attorney	15
Other	4



1f. Is there indication that this is an ICWA case?


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	2073	75.7%
No	667	24.3%
No Data	63	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2803</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	248	36.1%
No	439	63.9%
No Data	12	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>699</b>	




**Section 2: Application of ICWA**

**2a. Did the judge make a finding orally on the record that ICWA does/does not apply?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	170	8.9%
No	1749	91.1%
No Data	51	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	73	30.7%
No	165	69.3%
No Data	15	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	




**2b. Did the judge find that ICWA applies?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	145	84.8%
No	26	15.2%
No Data	-1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>170</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	68	91.9%
No	6	8.1%
No Data	-1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>73</b>	




**2c. Was there a discussion orally on the record whether the child is a member, or eligible for membership and the biological child of a member of a tribe?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	400	20.9%
No	1515	79.1%
No Data	55	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	





Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	108	44.6%
No	134	55.4%
No Data	11	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	



**2d. Was the child's tribe(s) identified orally on the record?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	1297	67.6%
No	621	32.4%
No Data	52	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	172	71.4%
No	69	28.6%
No Data	12	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	



**Section 3: Jurisdiction**

**3a. Was there a discussion on the record whether the child has been under tribal court jurisdiction?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	23	1.2%
No	1890	98.8%
No Data	57	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	8	3.5%
No	221	96.5%
No Data	24	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	



**3b. Was there a discussion on the record whether the child is domiciled or residing on the reservation?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	22	1.2%
No	1882	98.8%
No Data	66	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	9	3.9%
No	220	96.1%
No Data	24	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	




**Section 4: Appearances**

**4a. Is the mother (check all that apply):**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
Not Identified	30
Identified	1982
Located	1408
Present at hearing	1233
Deceased	41




Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
Not Identified	23
Identified	222
Located	179
Present at hearing	151
Deceased	2







**4b. Is the father (check all that apply):**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
Not Identified	210
Identified	1791
Located	1052
Present at hearing	724
Deceased	29



Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
Not Identified	59
Identified	186
Located	127
Present at hearing	90
Deceased	2







**4f. If yes, is the Indian custodian (check all that apply):**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

Not Located	3
Located	97
Present at hearing	58
No Data	10




Not Located	0
Located	2
Present at hearing	1
No Data	0



4g. Did the mother have an attorney?


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

UD	50
Yes	1586
No	313
No data	-50



Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

UD	15
Yes	190
No	18
No data	5



4h. Did an attorney appear for the mother?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

Yes	1540	98.0%
No	32	2.0%
No data	14	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1586</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

Yes	190	99.0%
No	2	1.0%
No data	-2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>190</b>	




4i. Did the father have an attorney?


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

UD	86
Yes	989
No	690
No data	-34



UD	26
Yes	145
No	15
No data	6




4j. Did an attorney appear for the father?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	935	94.2%
No	58	5.8%
No data	-4	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>989</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	146	98.6%
No	2	1.4%
No data	-3	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>145</b>	




4k. Did the tribe have an attorney?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
UD	177
Yes	465
No	1268
No data	-20



Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
UD	110
Yes	22
No	90
No data	14




4l. Did an attorney appear for the tribe?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	419	89.5%





No	49	10.5%
No data	-3	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>465</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	20	87.0%
No	3	13.0%
No data	-1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>22</b>	



4m. Did the child have an attorney?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	45	
Yes	664	
No	1288	
No data	-27	

Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	14	
Yes	233	
No	1	
No data	5	



4n. Did an attorney appear for the child?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	627	94.29%
No	38	5.71%
No data	-1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>664</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	234	99.57%
No	1	0.43%
No data	-2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>233</b>	




4o. Did the Indian custodian have an attorney?


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	16	
Yes	64	

Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	9	
Yes	0	

No	94
No data	30





No	4
No data	-7




4p. Did an attorney appear for the Indian custodian?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	62	98.4%
No	1	1.6%
No data	1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>64</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	0	#DIV/0!
No	0	#DIV/0!
No data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	



4q. Was a CASA/GAL appointed for the child?			
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
UD	82	UD	65
Yes	1729	Yes	45
No	192	No	129
No data	-33	No data	14



4r. Did the CASA/GAL appear for the child?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	1664	97.8%
No	38	2.2%
No data	27	



<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1729</b>		
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15			
Yes	41	91.1%	
No	4	8.9%	
No data	0		
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>45</b>		

**Section 5: Findings on the Record**

5a. Did the judge make a finding that the mother received notice of this hearing?													
Yes	182	Finding Made	Notice Given										
No	1655	<table border="1"> <caption>All Partner Data 7/1/13 -</caption> <tr><td>UD</td><td>290</td></tr> <tr><td>Yes</td><td>1448</td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td>66</td></tr> <tr><td>No Data</td><td>95</td></tr> <tr><td>Grand Total</td><td>1899</td></tr> </table>		UD	290	Yes	1448	No	66	No Data	95	Grand Total	1899
UD	290												
Yes	1448												
No	66												
No Data	95												
Grand Total	1899												
No Data	62												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1899</b>												
All Partner Data 7/1/13 -													
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -													
Yes	38	<table border="1"> <caption>Oregon Data 7/1/13 -</caption> <tr><td>UD</td><td>37</td></tr> <tr><td>Yes</td><td>173</td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>No Data</td><td>-1</td></tr> <tr><td>Grand Total</td><td>228</td></tr> </table>		UD	37	Yes	173	No	4	No Data	-1	Grand Total	228
UD	37												
Yes	173												
No	4												
No Data	-1												
Grand Total	228												
No	191												
No Data	-1												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>228</b>												
All Partner Data													
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -													
UD	290	Finding Made	Notice Given										
Yes	1448	<b>Grand Total 228</b>											
No	66												
No Data	95												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1899</b>												
All Partner Data													
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -													
UD	37												
Yes	173												
No	4												
No Data	14												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>228</b>												

5b. Did the judge make a finding that the father received notice of this hearing?													
Yes	158	Finding Made	Notice Given										
No	1681	<table border="1"> <caption>All Partner Data 7/1/13 -</caption> <tr><td>UD</td><td>519</td></tr> <tr><td>Yes</td><td>1017</td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td>114</td></tr> <tr><td>No Data</td><td>81</td></tr> <tr><td>Grand Total</td><td>1731</td></tr> </table>		UD	519	Yes	1017	No	114	No Data	81	Grand Total	1731
UD	519												
Yes	1017												
No	114												
No Data	81												
Grand Total	1731												
No Data	-108												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1731</b>												
All Partner Data 7/1/13 -													
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -													
Yes	31	<table border="1"> <caption>Oregon Data 7/1/13 -</caption> <tr><td>UD</td><td>49</td></tr> <tr><td>Yes</td><td>124</td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>No Data</td><td>-36</td></tr> <tr><td>Grand Total</td><td>192</td></tr> </table>		UD	49	Yes	124	No	5	No Data	-36	Grand Total	192
UD	49												
Yes	124												
No	5												
No Data	-36												
Grand Total	192												
No	197												
No Data	-36												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>192</b>												
All Partner Data													
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -													
UD	519	Finding Made	Notice Given										
Yes	1017	<b>Grand Total 192</b>											
No	114												
No Data	81												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1731</b>												
All Partner Data													
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -													
UD	49												
Yes	124												
No	5												
No Data	14												
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>192</b>												

**5c. Did the judge make a finding that the tribe(s) received notice of this hearing?**

Yes	180	Finding Made	Notice Given	UD	254	
No	1676				Yes	1476
No Data	114				No	68
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>				No Data	172
All Partner Data 7/1/13 -					<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>
					All Partner Data	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -		Oregon Data 7/1/13 -				
Yes	44	UD		43		
No	185	Yes		169		
No Data	24	No		10		
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	No Data		31		
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	

5d. Did the judge make a finding that the Indian custodian received notice of this hearing?						
Yes	6	Finding Made	Notice Given	UD	36	
No	128				Yes	79
No Data	-24				No	33
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>110</b>				No Data	-38
All Partner Data 7/1/13 -					<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>110</b>
					All Partner Data	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -		Oregon Data 7/1/13 -				
Yes	1	UD		6		
No	3	Yes		2		
No Data	-2	No		3		
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2</b>	No Data		-9		
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2</b>	

5e. Did the judge make a finding that emergency removal was necessary to prevent imminent



physical damage or harm to the child?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	174	9.1%
No	1733	90.9%
No Data	63	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	30	12.9%
No	203	87.1%
No Data	20	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	

5f. Did the judge make a finding that the child was likely to suffer serious emotional or physical damage if continued in the custody of the parents?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	170	8.9%
No	1737	91.1%
No Data	63	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	34	14.5%
No	200	85.5%
No Data	19	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	

5g. Did the judge apply the clear and convincing evidence standard?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	116	6.2%
No	1757	93.8%
No Data	97	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	48	21.2%
No	178	78.8%
No Data	27	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	

5h. Did the judge find that the agency made active efforts to prevent removal or to return the child home?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		

Yes	335	17.7%
No	1563	82.3%
No Data	72	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	112	47.7%
No	123	52.3%
No Data	18	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	




**5i. Was testimony presented to support the active efforts finding?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	95	28.19%
No	242	71.81%
No Data	-2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>335</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	65	59.63%
No	44	40.37%
No Data	3	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>335</b>	



**5j. Did a party dispute whether active efforts were provided?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	16	4.7%
No	328	95.3%
No Data	319	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>663</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	7	6.3%
No	105	93.8%
No Data	105	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>217</b>	




**5k. If active efforts were disputed, who disputed active efforts? (Check all that apply):**


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	
Mother	7
Father	2

Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15	
Mother	4
Father	1

Child	1
Tribe(s)	3
CASA/GAL	1
Other	2




Child	0
Tribe(s)	2
CASA/GAL	0
Other	0




**Section 6: Engagement of Tribe**

**6a. Was there a discussion of how the tribe has been involved in case planning?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	307	16.1%
No	1605	83.9%
No Data	-22	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	114	48.3%
No	122	51.7%
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	



**6b. Was there a discussion of how the tribe has been involved in locating relatives as a resource for the child?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	181	9.5%
No	1726	90.5%
No Data	-17	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	47	19.9%
No	189	80.1%
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	




**6c. Was there a discussion of culturally appropriate services for the family?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	224	11.7%
No	1683	88.3%
No Data	-17	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	86	36.6%
No	149	63.4%
No Data	1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	




**6d. Did the tribe seek to intervene?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	764	40.7%
No	1113	59.3%
No Data	13	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	22	10.1%
No	195	89.9%
No Data	19	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	



6e. Did the tribe decide not to intervene?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	145	7.9%
No	1695	92.1%
No Data	50	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	51	24.1%
No	161	75.9%
No Data	24	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	




6f. Did the judge allow the tribe to intervene?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	755	99.0%
No	8	1.0%
No Data	1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>764</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	22	100.0%
No	0	0.0%
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>22</b>	





6g. Did the tribe seek to participate in the hearing?



All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
-----------------------------------	--	--



Yes	1354	72.02%
No	526	27.98%
No Data	10	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	126	55.75%
No	100	44.25%
No Data	10	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	




6h. Did the judge allow the tribe to participate?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	1418	75.71%
No	455	24.29%
No Data	17	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	167	74.55%
No	57	25.45%
No Data	12	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	




**Section 7: Recommendations**

**7a. Did the tribe seek to present a recommendation regarding placement?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	1449	76.4%
No	448	23.6%
No Data	-7	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1890</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	181	80.4%
No	44	19.6%
No Data	11	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>236</b>	




**7b. Did the judge allow the tribe to present its recommendation regarding placement?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	441	98.7%
No	6	1.3%
No Data	89	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>536</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	45	100.0%
No	0	0.0%
No Data	147	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>192</b>	




**7c. Did the judge accept the tribe's recommendation regarding placement?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	404	93.3%
No	29	6.7%
No Data	8	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>441</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	41	91.1%
No	4	8.9%
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>45</b>	






**7d. Was the tribe's recommendation regarding placement the same as the petitioner's?**



All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	377	86.1%
No	61	13.9%
No Data	3	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>441</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	39	86.7%
No	6	13.3%
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>45</b>	





**Section 8: Child Placement Order**



8d. The order for placement is:		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Continuation of placement	1302	86.7%
Change of placement	199	13.3%
No Data	-249	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1252</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Continuation of placement	147	89.6%
Change of placement	17	10.4%
No Data	-5	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>159</b>	





8e. Type of placement:		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15
Parent	465	33
Tribal Home	57	4
Non-Indian Home	102	16
Treatment Facility	75	9
Shelter	147	21
Relative	485	64
Indian Home	171	8
Group Home	36	5
UD	329	70





8f. Was there a discussion on the record as to why the child was not placed with a relative?	
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15	

Yes	196	24.9%
No	590	75.1%
No Data	90	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>876</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	34	36.2%
No	60	63.8%
No Data	84	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>178</b>	





**8g. Was there a discussion on the record of placement preference?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	278	20.5%
No	1081	79.5%
No Data	84	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1443</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	55	32.5%
No	114	67.5%
No Data	20	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>189</b>	



**8h. Did the judge make a decision in court regarding placement?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	798	42.9%
No	1064	57.1%
No Data	108	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	110	48.5%
No	117	51.5%
No Data	26	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	




**8i. Did the judge start with the first order of placement preference and work through the placement preference as outlined by ICWA?**



All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	180	14.3%



No	1075	85.7%
No Data	106	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1361</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	28	17.8%
No	129	82.2%
No Data	21	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>178</b>	



8j. Were the placement preferences followed?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	733	59.4%
No	502	40.6%
No Data	126	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1361</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	73	49.0%
No	76	51.0%
No Data	29	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>178</b>	





8k. Did the judge make a finding that there was good cause not to follow the placement preference?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	40	7.9%
No	468	92.1%
No Data	120	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>628</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	8	9.5%
No	76	90.5%
No Data	21	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>105</b>	





8l. If placement preferences were not followed, why not? (Check all that apply):		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15
Objection of parent or child	4	Objection of parent or child 1
Extraordinary needs of the child	23	Extraordinary needs of the child 9
Unavailability of suitable families	40	Unavailability of suitable families: 15

Other reason	41	Other reason	11
No reason given	96	No reason given	32



**Section 9: Tribal Placement Preferences**



**Section 10: Transfer to Tribal Court**


**10a. Was the judge asked to transfer the case to tribal court?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

Yes	35	1.9%
No	1843	98.1%

No Data 92

**Grand Total 1970**




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15

Yes	2	0.9%
No	223	99.1%

No Data 28

**Grand Total 253**



**10b. Who made the request? (check all that apply)**


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

Tribe 21

Parent 13

Indian custodian 0

Other party 1




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15

Tribe 2

Parent 0

Indian custodian 0

Other party 0



**10c. Did the judge make a decision in court on the request to transfer?**


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15

Yes 26 76.5%

No 8 23.5%

No Data 1

**Grand Total 35**




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15

Yes 2 100.0%

No 0 0.0%

No Data 0

**Grand Total 2**





**10d. Did the judge grant the request to transfer to tribal court?**



All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15



Yes	22	81.5%
No	5	18.5%
No Data	-1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>26</b>	
<b>Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15</b>		
Yes	1	50.0%
No	1	50.0%
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>26</b>	




<b>10e. Did the judge make a finding of good cause to deny the request to transfer the case?</b>		
<b>All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15</b>		
Yes	2	50.0%
No	2	50.0%
No Data	1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15</b>		
Yes	0	0.0%
No	1	100.0%
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>5</b>	



**Section 11: Permanency**

**11a. Did the judge order permanency in court for the child?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	93	5.0%
No	1780	95.0%
No Data	97	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	22	9.7%
No	204	90.3%
No Data	27	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	



**11b. Did the judge make a decision in court regarding termination of parental rights?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	19	19.6%
No	78	80.4%
No Data	-4	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>93</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	0	0.0%
No	24	100.0%
No Data	-2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>22</b>	



**11c. Did the judge order termination of parental rights?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	14	66.7%
No	7	33.3%
No Data	-2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>19</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	0	#DIV/0!
No	0	#DIV/0!
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	




**11d. Did the judge apply the beyond a reasonable doubt standard?**


All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		



Yes	6	46.2%
No	7	53.8%
No Data	1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>14</b>	
<b>Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15</b>		
Yes	0	#DIV/0!
No	0	#DIV/0!
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	



<b>11e. Is the child placed in an adoptive home?</b>		
<b>All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15</b>		
Undetermined	5	33.3%
Yes	5	33.3%
No	5	33.3%
No Data	-1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>14</b>	
<b>Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15</b>		
Undetermined	0	#DIV/0!
Yes	0	#DIV/0!
No	0	#DIV/0!
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	



**Section 12: Notice of Petition**

12a. Did the judge make a finding on the record that the tribe(s) received notice of the proceedings by registered mail, return receipt requested?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	48	2.6%
Yes	87	4.6%
No	1736	92.8%
No Data	99	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	11	4.9%
Yes	9	4.0%
No	203	91.0%
No Data	30	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	




12b. Has the tribe(s) received notice of the proceedings by registered mail, return receipt requested, at least ten days prior to this hearing?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	721	38.7%
Yes	807	43.3%
No	334	17.9%
No Data	108	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	185	82.6%
Yes	13	5.8%
No	26	11.6%
No Data	29	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	




12c. Have all parents or Indian Custodians received notice of the proceedings by registered mail, return receipt requested, at least ten days prior to this hearing?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	780	42.0%
Yes	680	36.7%
No	395	21.3%
No Data	115	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	199	88.8%
Yes	6	2.7%
No	19	8.5%
No Data	29	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	



**Section 13: Expert Witness Testimony**

13a. Was qualified expert witness testimony to support out of home placement for the child provided?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	143	11.1%
Yes	221	17.1%
No	926	71.8%
No Data	71	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1361</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	25	15.3%
Yes	20	12.3%
No	118	72.4%
No Data	15	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>178</b>	




13b. Was qualified expert testimony to support the court's order for permanency provided?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	16	17.6%
Yes	18	19.8%
No	57	62.6%
No Data	2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>93</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	10	34.5%
Yes	4	13.8%
No	15	51.7%
No Data	-7	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>22</b>	



13c. Did a party dispute the qualifications of the qualified expert witness?

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
UD	75	6.9%
Yes	5	0.5%
No	1005	92.6%
No Data	258	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1343</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
UD	21	14.9%
Yes	0	0.0%
No	120	85.1%
No Data	33	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>174</b>	




**Section 14: Voluntary Proceeding?**

**14a. Is this a voluntary proceeding?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	17	0.9%
No	1854	99.1%
No Data	99	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1970</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	0	0.0%
No	223	100.0%
No Data	30	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>253</b>	




**14b. Was the voluntary consent in writing and signed before a judge?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	9	45.0%
No	11	55.0%
No Data	-3	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17</b>	




Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	0	0.0%
No	2	100.0%
No Data	-2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	




**14c. Did the judge make a finding that the parent understood the terms and consequences of the consent?**

All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	9	50.0%
No	9	50.0%
No Data	-1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17</b>	



Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	0	#DIV/0!
No	0	#DIV/0!
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	



**14d. Did the judge make a finding that the explanation of the consent was in a language the**

parent understood?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	5	31.3%
No	11	68.8%
No Data	1	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	0	#DIV/0!
No	0	#DIV/0!
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	

14e. Was the consent given 10 days after the birth of the child?		
All Partner Data 7/1/13 - 4/29/15		
Yes	10	66.7%
No	5	33.3%
No Data	2	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17</b>	
Oregon Data 7/1/13 -4/29/15		
Yes	0	#DIV/0!
No	0	#DIV/0!
No Data	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>0</b>	