

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
Juvenile Department**

**In the Matter of:** \_\_\_\_\_ ) **Case No.** \_\_\_\_\_  
 )  
 )  
 ) **ORDER ESTABLISHING**  
 ) **GUARDIANSHIP**  
 ) **(ORS 419B.366)**  
**A Child.**  
**D.O.B.** \_\_\_\_\_ **JDIS** \_\_\_\_\_

Upon motion of:  Mother  Father  Child  DHS  CASA  other party  person with limited right of participation for an Order establishing a Guardianship pursuant to ORS 419B.366, and the parties all having received notice and an opportunity to be heard and the Court being fully advised; (*“father” as used in this judgment includes the legal father and putative father, who qualifies for participation as a party under ORS 419B.875 (SB 234)*)

★**NOTICE FINDINGS:**  All parties notified;  All parties not notified, DHS will make  reasonable  active efforts to notify the following: \_\_\_\_\_  
Reason why parents were not present: \_\_\_\_\_

None of the children are over 12 years of age.  There are children over 12:  they were notified of this hearing and were transported.  They were not notified or transported - DHS will notify children over 12 and provide transportation to the hearing if they choose to appear.

Pursuant to ORS 419B.117 parents and guardians have been informed of their rights and obligations regarding payment of child support while the children are in substitute care.

Parents and guardians have been informed that they must keep the court informed of their address and phone number at all times and have submitted ADDRESS AND PHONE INFORMATION SHEET for that purpose. [ORS 419A.106(3)]

[Care Takers includes foster parents and relative placements.]

- Care Takers have been notified of this hearing and given an opportunity to be heard.
- Care Takers were not notified of this hearing - DHS shall notify them of all future hearings.
- There currently are no Care Takers in this case.

**1. Factual Findings.** The Court finds that  ICWA does not apply in this case and the following facts have been proven by a preponderance of the evidence; [or]  ICWA does apply and the Court finds that it has been proven by clear and convincing evidence that continued custody to the parents or Indian custodian will result in serious emotional or physical harm to the child for the reasons set forth:

---

---

---

---

See attached page for additional factual findings.

2.  The Court previously found that Guardianship pursuant to ORS 419B.366 is the appropriate plan in this case.

**3. Best Interests.** Guardianship is in the best interests of the child. The child's wishes were considered in making this decision.

Visitation with  mother  father  other relatives  siblings is in the child's best interests.  
 Visitation with  mother  father  other relatives  siblings is **not** in the child's best interests.

4. IT IS ORDERED THAT the following person(s) are appointed as guardian for the above named child: \_\_\_\_\_

The child is released to the custody of \_\_\_\_\_ and DHS is relieved of custody, supervision and guardianship.

The Guardian is directed to file with the court a written annual report no later than: \_\_\_\_\_.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to issue Letters of Guardianship in accordance with this order.

This matter is set for court review on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ AM/PM. This matter should be reviewed by the Citizens Review Board no later than \_\_\_\_\_. The parties are ordered to appear on the date(s) listed above.

Ordered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Circuit Court Judge

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print, Type or Stamp Name of Judge